1) There are 7 steps in:

$(s, \vdash 0010 \sqcup^{\infty}, 0)$	$\rightarrow \delta(s,\vdash) = (s,\vdash,R)$	$(s, \vdash 0010 \sqcup^{\infty}, 1)$
	$\xrightarrow{M} \delta(s,0) = (s,0,R)$	$(s, \vdash 0010 \sqcup^{\infty}, 2)$
	$\xrightarrow{M} \delta(s,0) = (s,0,R)$	$(s, \vdash$ 0010 $\sqcup^{\infty}, 3)$
	$\xrightarrow{M} \delta(s,1) = (s,1,R)$	$(s, \vdash 0010 \sqcup^{\infty}, 4)$
	$\xrightarrow{M} \delta(s,0) = (s,1,R)$	$(s, \vdash 0010 \sqcup^{\infty}, 5)$
	$\xrightarrow{M} \delta(s, \sqcup) = (p, \sqcup, L)$	$(p, \vdash 0010 \sqcup^{\infty}, 4)$
	$\xrightarrow{M} \delta(p,0) = (t,1,L)$	$(t, \vdash 0011 \sqcup^{\infty}, 3)$

1. On input \vdash 0010, the following TN halts after 7 steps with \vdash 0011 on the tape.

- $0 \vdash \vdash r 0 \\ 0 0 0 r 0 \\ 0 1 1 r 0 \\ 0 1 p \\ p \vdash \vdash r halt$
- p 0 1 l halt
- p 0 1 l halt
- The following TM computes n² in unary, by n times copying n. In it, C marks the last copied position, the position of K mod n is the number of the iteration (+1 if K is left of L), and L marks the leftmost bit of the working copy of n at the tail. E.g. ⊢11111C1K11L indicates that we are in the process of producing the 3rd copy of 11111 (K is left of L, at position 2 mod 5), and we have just copied its leftmost symbol L (as marked by the C).

```
0 \vdash \vdash r init; initialisation, detect 0,1 cases, otherwise put markers C,K,L
init _ _ * halt; 0^2 = 0
init 1 C r initC
initC _ _ l one
one C 1 r halt; 1^2 = 1
initC 1 K r appendL
shiftCright 1 C r append1; loop, shifting C to the right, stopping when K,L adjacent
shiftCright L C r appendL
shiftCright K 1 r shiftCKright
shiftCKright L 1 r append1halt; adjacent so clean up and halt
shiftCKright 1 C r append1K
left C 1 r shiftCright; searching left for marker C and then shift it to the right
left * * l left
appendL _ L l left ; appending various symbols
appendL * * r appendL
append1 _ 1 l left
append1 * * r append1
append1halt _ 1 r halt
append1halt * * r append1halt
append1K _ 1 r appendK
```

```
append1K * * r append1K
appendK _ K l left; (only called if symbol is known to be a blank)
• data Nat = Zer | Suc Nat deriving Show
square :: Nat -> Nat
square x = times x x
times, add :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat
times Zer k = Zer
times (Suc n) k = add k (times n k)
add Zer k = k
add (Suc n) k = Suc (add n k)
```

- 3) Suppose there were $i \leq j$ such that $n_i \not\leq n_j$ with j i minimal. Then $j i \geq 2$ (i = j contradicts reflexivity of \leq , and i + 1 = j local \leq -sortedness). Hence there is i < k < j with k i, j k < j i, so $n_i \leq n_k \leq n_j$. But then $n_i < n_j$ by transitivity. Contradiction.
 - Take $R = \{(n,n), (n, n+1), (m, n) \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m > n+1\}$ and the list [1, 2, 3]. The important point is that R is not transitive: 1 R 2 and 2 R 3, but not 1 R 3. (This R is even total.)
 - (*) Suppose $l = [n_1, \ldots, n_k]$ is a locally *R*-sorted list with *R* a total relation, and that we have arrived at position i+1 trying to insert *m*, starting from the head. Because we have arrived there, we know that $m \not R n_i$, hence by totality $n_i R m$. If $m R n_{i+1}$, we may insert *m* and have local *R*-sortedness: $n_i R m R n_{i+1}$. Otherwise, we continue with i+2.
- 4*) The idea is that a base *n*-number is divisible by n-1 iff its digits sum up to n-1. For instance, in base-10 the number 684 is divisible by 9 since 6+8+4=14+4=1+4+4=5+4=9. The process only needs numbers < 10; in the TM only < 4, the states. The complexity is n.

```
0 \vdash \vdash r 0
0 _ * r halt-reject
00*r0
01*r1
02*r2
03 * r 3
1 _ * r halt-reject
10 * r 1
11*r2
12*r3
13 * r 1
2 _ * r halt-reject
20 * r 2
21*r3
22*r1
23*r2
3 _ * r halt-accept
30 * r 3
31 * r 1
32*r2
33*r3
```