## Summary last week

- A countably infinite if enumeration  $\mathbb{N} \to A$ ; countable if finite or countably infinite.
- countability preserved by subset, image, union, cartesian product
- non-countability of infinite sequences,  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  by diagonalisation (Cantor)
- injections  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g : B \rightarrow A$ , then exists bijection  $A \rightarrow B$  (Schröder–Bernstein)
- collections  $|\_|$  of equinumerous sets partially ordered by injections;  $\,\mathbb{N}\,<\,\mathbb{R}\,.$

## Summary last week

- A countably infinite if enumeration  $\mathbb{N} \to A$ ; countable if finite or countably infinite.
- countability preserved by subset, image, union, cartesian product
- non-countability of infinite sequences,  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  by diagonalisation (Cantor)
- injections  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g : B \rightarrow A$ , then exists bijection  $A \rightarrow B$  (Schröder–Bernstein)
- collections  $|\_|$  of equinumerous sets partially ordered by injections;  $\,\mathbb{N}\,<\,\mathbb{R}\,.$
- equivalence relation if reflexive, transitive, and symmetric
- if  $\sim$  equivalence on A, then  $[a] = \{b \mid a \sim b\}$  is equivalence class of  $a \in A$
- b representative of [a] if  $b \in [a]$
- *B* system of representatives if for all  $a \in A$ , unique representative *b* of [a] in *B*
- bijection between partitionings *P* and equivalences  $a \sim b$  if  $\exists B \in P$ ,  $a, b \in B$ .
- reflexive, transitive relation  $\leq$  induces equivalence relation  $\leq$   $\cap$   $\geq$

## Summary last week

- A countably infinite if enumeration  $\mathbb{N} \to A$ ; countable if finite or countably infinite.
- countability preserved by subset, image, union, cartesian product
- non-countability of infinite sequences,  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  by diagonalisation (Cantor)
- injections  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g : B \rightarrow A$ , then exists bijection  $A \rightarrow B$  (Schröder–Bernstein)
- collections  $|\_|$  of equinumerous sets partially ordered by injections;  $\,\mathbb{N}\,<\,\mathbb{R}\,.$
- equivalence relation if reflexive, transitive, and symmetric
- if  $\sim$  equivalence on A, then  $[a] = \{b \mid a \sim b\}$  is equivalence class of  $a \in A$
- b representative of [a] if  $b \in [a]$
- *B* system of representatives if for all  $a \in A$ , unique representative *b* of [a] in *B*
- bijection between partitionings *P* and equivalences  $a \sim b$  if  $\exists B \in P$ ,  $a, b \in B$ .
- reflexive, transitive relation  $\leq$  induces equivalence relation  $\leq$   $\cap$   $\geq$
- algorithm for gcd(x, y) with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  by subtraction, division modulo (Euclid)
- extended algorithm for u, v with  $gcd(x, y) = u \cdot x + v \cdot y$  (Bézout);  $lcm(x, y) = \frac{x \cdot y}{gcd(x, y)}$

## Course themes

- directed and undirected graphs
- relations and functions
- orders and induction
- trees and dags
- finite and infinite counting
- elementary number theory
- Turing machines, algorithms, and complexity
- decidable and undecidable problem

## Discrete structures



for  $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$  not zero, there exist  $u,v\in\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\gcd(a,b)=u\cdot a+v\cdot b$ 

**Example (**1 = gcd(77, 30)**)** 

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  not zero, there exist  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $gcd(a, b) = u \cdot a + v \cdot b$ 

Example (1 = gcd(77, 30)) (1) 77 =  $1 \cdot 77 + 0 \cdot 30$ 

Example (1 = $gcd(77, 30)$ )						
(1)	77 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	0.30			
(2)	30 =	0.77+	<b>1</b> · 30			

Exam	ple (1 $=$ gcd(77,3	(0)			
(1)	77 =	<b>1</b> · <b>77</b> +	0 · 30		
(2)	30 =	$0\cdot77+$	1.30		
(3)	77 - 30 =	$(1-0) \cdot 77+$	<b>(0</b> −1) · 30	(1) - (2)	

Example (1 = $gcd(77, 30)$ )						
(1)	77 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	0 · 30			
(2)	30 =	0.77+	1.30			
(3)	47 =	1.77+	$(-1) \cdot 30$	(1) - (2)		

Example ( $1 = g$	gcd(77, 30) <b>)</b>			
(1)	77 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	0 · 30	
(2)	30 =	0.77+	1.30	
(3)	47 =	1.77+	$(-1) \cdot 30$	(1) - (2)
(4)	17 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	(-2) · 30	( <b>3</b> ) – (2)

Example (1 = $gcd(77, 30)$ )							
(1)	77 =	1.77+	0 · 30				
(2)	30 =	0 · 77+	1.30				
(3)	47 <del>–</del>	$1 \cdot 77 +$	$(-1) \cdot 30$	(1) - (2)			
(4)	17 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	(−2) · 30	(3) - (2)			
(5)	13 =	$(-1) \cdot 77 +$	3 · 30	( <mark>2)</mark> – (4)			

Example (1 = $gcd(77, 30)$ )							
(1)	77 =	1.77 +	0 · 30				
(2)	30 =	0.77+	1.30				
(3)	47 <b>=</b>	1.77+	$(-1) \cdot 30$	(1) - (2)			
(4)	17 =	1.77+	(-2) · 30	(3) – (2)			
(5)	13 =	$(-1) \cdot 77 +$	3 · 30	(2) - (4)			
(6)	4 =	2 · 77+	$(-5) \cdot 30$	<b>(4</b> ) – (5)			

Example (1 = $gcd(77, 30)$ )							
(1)	77 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	0 · 30				
(2)	30 =	0 · 77+	1.30				
(3)	47 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	$(-1) \cdot 30$	(1) - (2)			
(4)	17 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	(-2) · 30	(3) – (2)			
(5)	13 =	$(-1) \cdot 77 +$	3 · 30	(2) - (4)			
(6)	4 =	2 · 77+	(-5) · 30	(4) - (5)			
(7)	9 =	(-3) · 77+	8 · 30	( <mark>5</mark> ) – (6)			

Example (1 = $gcd(77, 30)$ )							
(1)	77 =	1.77+	0 · 30				
(2)	30 =	0·77+	1.30				
(3)	47 =	1.77+	$(-1) \cdot 30$	(1) - (2)			
(4)	17 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	(−2) · 30	(3) - (2)			
(5)	13 =	$(-1) \cdot 77 +$	3 · 30	(2) - (4)			
(6)	4 =	<b>2</b> · <b>77</b> +	$(-5) \cdot 30$	(4) - (5)			
(7)	9 =	(-3) · 77+	8 · 30	(5) - (6)			
(8)	5 =	$(-5) \cdot 77 +$	13.30	( <b>7</b> ) – (6)			

Example (1 = $gcd(77, 30)$ )							
(1)	77 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	0 · 30				
(2)	30 =	0.77+	1.30				
(3)	47 =	$1 \cdot 77 +$	$(-1) \cdot 30$	(1) - (2)			
(4)	17 =	1.77+	(−2) · 30	(3) - (2)			
(5)	13 =	$(-1) \cdot 77 +$	3 · 30	(2) - (4)			
(6)	4 =	2 · 77+	$(-5) \cdot 30$	(4) - (5)			
(7)	9 =	$(-3) \cdot 77 +$	8 · 30	(5) - (6)			
(8)	5 =	$(-5) \cdot 77 +$	13.30	(7) - (6)			
(9)	1=	$(-7) \cdot 77 +$	18.30	( <mark>8</mark> ) – (6)			

Example (1 = $gcd(77, 30)$ )							
(1)	77 =	1·77+	0 · 30				
(2)	30 =	0.77+	1.30				
(3)	47 <b>=</b>	$1 \cdot 77 +$	$(-1) \cdot 30$	(1) - (2)			
(4)	17 =	$1\cdot77+$	(−2) · 30	(3) – (2)			
(5)	13 =	$(-1) \cdot 77 +$	3 · 30	(2) – (4)			
(6)	4 =	$2 \cdot 77 +$	(−5) · 30	(4) - (5)			
(7)	9 =	$(-3) \cdot 77 +$	8 · 30	(5) - (6)			
(8)	5 =	$(-5)\cdot 77+$	13.30	(7) - (6)			
(9)	1=	$(-7) \cdot 77 +$	18.30	(8) - (6)			
may stop at 1 s	since 1 is	least possible d	ivisor, it's triv	vial. $u = -7$ and $v = 18$			
indeed $1 = gcd$	(77, 30) =	$= (-7) \cdot 77 + 18$	$\cdot 30 = -539$	+ 540			

# The divisibility order | (recall from weeks 4 and 5)

#### Lemma

divisibility | is a well-founded partial order on the positive natural numbers  $\,\mathbb{N}_{\,>0}$ 

#### Lemma

divisibility | is a well-founded partial order on the positive natural numbers  $\,\mathbb{N}_{\,>0}$ 

#### Proof.

#### Lemma

divisibility | is a well-founded partial order on the positive natural numbers  $\,\mathbb{N}_{\,>0}$ 

## Proof.

- note: if  $x \mid y$  then  $x + \ldots + x = y$  hence  $x \leq y$  (for y positive)
  - reflexivity:  $x \mid x$  since  $x \cdot 1 = x$

#### Lemma

divisibility  $\mid$  is a well-founded partial order on the positive natural numbers  $\,\mathbb{N}_{\,>0}$ 

### Proof.

- reflexivity:  $x \mid x$  since  $x \cdot 1 = x$
- transitivity: if  $x \mid y$  and  $y \mid z$ , then  $x \cdot y' = y$  and  $y \cdot z' = z$  for some y', z'. Hence setting  $x' := y' \cdot z'$ , we have  $x \cdot x' = x \cdot y' \cdot z' = y \cdot z' = z$ , so  $x \mid z$

#### Lemma

divisibility  $\mid$  is a well-founded partial order on the positive natural numbers  $~\mathbb{N}_{\,>0}$ 

### Proof.

- reflexivity:  $x \mid x$  since  $x \cdot 1 = x$
- transitivity: if  $x \mid y$  and  $y \mid z$ , then  $x \cdot y' = y$  and  $y \cdot z' = z$  for some y', z'. Hence setting  $x' := y' \cdot z'$ , we have  $x \cdot x' = x \cdot y' \cdot z' = y \cdot z' = z$ , so  $x \mid z$
- anti-symmetry: if  $x \mid y$  and  $y \mid x$ , then  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ , hence x = y by anti-symmetry of  $\leq$

#### Lemma

divisibility  $\mid$  is a well-founded partial order on the positive natural numbers  $~\mathbb{N}_{\,>0}$ 

## Proof.

- reflexivity:  $x \mid x$  since  $x \cdot 1 = x$
- transitivity: if  $x \mid y$  and  $y \mid z$ , then  $x \cdot y' = y$  and  $y \cdot z' = z$  for some y', z'. Hence setting  $x' := y' \cdot z'$ , we have  $x \cdot x' = x \cdot y' \cdot z' = y \cdot z' = z$ , so  $x \mid z$
- anti-symmetry: if  $x \mid y$  and  $y \mid x$ , then  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ , hence x = y by anti-symmetry of  $\leq$
- well-founded: if  $\ldots x'' \mid x' \mid x$  were an infinite descending chain, then so would  $\ldots x'' < x' < x$ , contradicting well-foundedness of  $\leq$

#### Lemma

divisibility  $\mid$  is a well-founded partial order on the positive natural numbers  $~\mathbb{N}_{\,>0}$ 

## Proof.

note: if  $x \mid y$  then  $x + \ldots + x = y$  hence  $x \leq y$  (for y positive)

- reflexivity:  $x \mid x$  since  $x \cdot 1 = x$
- transitivity: if  $x \mid y$  and  $y \mid z$ , then  $x \cdot y' = y$  and  $y \cdot z' = z$  for some y', z'. Hence setting  $x' := y' \cdot z'$ , we have  $x \cdot x' = x \cdot y' \cdot z' = y \cdot z' = z$ , so  $x \mid z$
- anti-symmetry: if  $x \mid y$  and  $y \mid x$ , then  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ , hence x = y by anti-symmetry of  $\leq$
- well-founded: if  $\ldots x'' \mid x' \mid x$  were an infinite descending chain, then so would  $\ldots x'' < x' < x$ , contradicting well-foundedness of  $\leq$

 $\Rightarrow$  proofs by well-founded induction on | for statements on  $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$  and  $\mathbb{N}_{>1} = \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1\}$ 

- p is prime if  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  and for all x, y, if  $p \mid x \cdot y$  then  $p \mid x$  or  $p \mid y$
- p is irreducible or indecomposable if  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  and p only has trivial divisors

- p is prime if  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  and for all x, y, if  $p \mid x \cdot y$  then  $p \mid x$  or  $p \mid y$
- p is irreducible or indecomposable if  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  and p only has trivial divisors

#### Lemma

for  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ , we have p is prime iff p is indecomposable iff p is |-minimal (on  $\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ )

- p is prime if  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  and for all x, y, if  $p \mid x \cdot y$  then  $p \mid x$  or  $p \mid y$
- p is irreducible or indecomposable if  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  and p only has trivial divisors

#### Lemma

for  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ , we have p is prime iff p is indecomposable iff p is |-minimal

## Proof.

• Assume *p* prime and suppose  $p = x \cdot y$ . By *p* being prime  $p \mid x$  or  $p \mid y$ , say w.l.o.g.  $p \mid x$ . By  $x \mid p$ , then x = p and y = 1, so both are trivial hence *p* is indecomposable

- p is prime if  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  and for all x, y, if  $p \mid x \cdot y$  then  $p \mid x$  or  $p \mid y$
- p is irreducible or indecomposable if  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  and p only has trivial divisors

#### Lemma

for  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ , we have p is prime iff p is indecomposable iff p is |-minimal

#### Proof.

- Assume p prime and suppose p = x · y. By p being prime p | x or p | y, say w.l.o.g.
  p | x. By x | p, then x = p and y = 1, so both are trivial hence p is indecomposable
- Assume *p* indecomposable and suppose x | p with  $x \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ , i.e.  $x \cdot y = p$  for some *y*. By *p* being indecomposable, then *x*, *y* are trivial, so p = x and *p* is |-minimal

- p is prime if  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  and for all x, y, if  $p \mid x \cdot y$  then  $p \mid x$  or  $p \mid y$
- p is irreducible or indecomposable if  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  and p only has trivial divisors

#### Lemma

for  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ , we have p is prime iff p is indecomposable iff p is |-minimal

#### Proof.

- Assume p prime and suppose p = x · y. By p being prime p | x or p | y, say w.l.o.g.
  p | x. By x | p, then x = p and y = 1, so both are trivial hence p is indecomposable
- Assume *p* indecomposable and suppose x | p with  $x \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ , i.e.  $x \cdot y = p$  for some *y*. By *p* being indecomposable, then *x*, *y* are trivial, so p = x and *p* is |-minimal
- Assume  $p \mid$ -minimal and suppose  $p \mid x \cdot y$ , i.e.  $p \cdot d = x \cdot y$  for some d. Either  $p \mid x$  or else gcd(p, x) = 1 by p being  $\mid$ -minimal. Then  $1 = u \cdot p + v \cdot x$  for some u, v (Bézout):  $y = y \cdot 1 = y \cdot (u \cdot p + v \cdot x) = y \cdot u \cdot p + y \cdot v \cdot x = y \cdot u \cdot p + v \cdot p \cdot d = (y \cdot u + v \cdot d) \cdot p$ hence  $p \mid y$ . That is, either  $p \mid x$  or  $p \mid y$ , so p is prime

every natural number greater than one can be written as a **product of prime numbers**, its prime **factors**, which are unique up to their order.

every natural number greater than one can be written as a product of prime numbers, its prime factors, which are unique up to their order.

## Proof.

• we first show that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  there exists a collection of prime numbers  $p_l$  such that  $x = \prod p_l$ , by induction on x well-foundedly ordered by |. recall from week 5.

every natural number greater than one can be written as a product of prime numbers, its prime factors, which are unique up to their order.

### Proof.

• we first show that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  there **exists** a collection of prime numbers  $p_I$  such that  $x = \prod p_I$ , by induction on x well-foundedly ordered by |. If x is not prime itself, then  $x = y \cdot z$  for y, z non-trivial (by the lemma), hence  $y = \prod q_J$  and  $z = \prod r_K$  for collections of primes  $q_J$  and  $r_K$  by the IH twice. Combining both,  $x = \prod q_J \cdot \prod r_K$ , i.e. we may take the concatenation of  $q_J$  and  $r_K$ .

every natural number greater than one can be written as a product of prime numbers, its prime factors, which are unique up to their order.

## Proof.

- we first show that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  there exists a collection of prime numbers  $p_I$  such that  $x = \prod p_I$ , by induction on x well-foundedly ordered by |. If x is not prime itself, then  $x = y \cdot z$  for y, z non-trivial (by the lemma), hence  $y = \prod q_J$  and  $z = \prod r_K$  for collections of primes  $q_J$  and  $r_K$  by the IH twice. Combining both,  $x = \prod q_J \cdot \prod r_K$ , i.e. we may take the concatenation of  $q_J$  and  $r_K$ .
- next we show uniqueness, i.e. if  $\prod p_I = \prod q_J$  then the collections of prime numbers  $p_I$  and  $q_J$  are the same up to order, by mathematical induction on #I.

every natural number greater than one can be written as a product of prime numbers, its prime factors, which are unique up to their order.

### Proof.

- we first show that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$  there exists a collection of prime numbers  $p_I$  such that  $x = \prod p_I$ , by induction on x well-foundedly ordered by |. If x is not prime itself, then  $x = y \cdot z$  for y, z non-trivial (by the lemma), hence  $y = \prod q_J$  and  $z = \prod r_K$  for collections of primes  $q_J$  and  $r_K$  by the IH twice. Combining both,  $x = \prod q_J \cdot \prod r_K$ , i.e. we may take the concatenation of  $q_J$  and  $r_K$ .
- next we show uniqueness, i.e. if ∏ p<sub>I</sub> = ∏ q<sub>J</sub> then the collections of prime numbers p<sub>I</sub> and q<sub>J</sub> are the same up to order, by mathematical induction on #I. Suppose i ∈ I. Then p<sub>i</sub> | ∏ p<sub>I</sub> = ∏ q<sub>J</sub>, so ∃j ∈ J such that p<sub>i</sub> | q<sub>j</sub> hence p<sub>i</sub> = q<sub>j</sub> (by the lemma twice). Therefore, ∏ p<sub>I-{i}</sub> = ∏ p<sub>i</sub>/p<sub>i</sub> = ∏ q<sub>J</sub> = ∏ q<sub>J-{j</sub>}, and by the IH p<sub>I-{i}</sub> and q<sub>J-{j</sub>} are the same up to order, hence so are p<sub>I</sub> and q<sub>J</sub>.

## Theorem

there are infinitely many prime numbers.

### Theorem

there are infinitely many prime numbers.

## Proof.

for a proof by contradiction, suppose  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  were the finite list of primes
there are infinitely many prime numbers.

### Proof.

for a proof by contradiction, suppose  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  were the finite list of primes

• set  $n := \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i$ , so that  $p_i \mid n$  for each *i*.

there are infinitely many prime numbers.

### Proof.

for a proof by contradiction, suppose  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  were the finite list of primes

- set  $n := \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i$ , so that  $p_i \mid n$  for each *i*.
- by FTA n + 1 has prime factorisation, with primes among  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  by assumption

there are infinitely many prime numbers.

### Proof.

for a proof by contradiction, suppose  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  were the finite list of primes

- set  $n := \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i$ , so that  $p_i \mid n$  for each *i*.
- by FTA n + 1 has prime factorisation, with primes among  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  by assumption
- if  $p_i | n + 1$ , then also  $p_i | (n + 1) n = 1$ ; contradicting  $p_i$  is prime.

there are infinitely many prime numbers.

### Proof.

for a proof by contradiction, suppose  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  were the finite list of primes

- set  $n := \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i$ , so that  $p_i \mid n$  for each *i*.
- by FTA n + 1 has prime factorisation, with primes among  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  by assumption
- if  $p_i | n + 1$ , then also  $p_i | (n + 1) n = 1$ ; contradicting  $p_i$  is prime.

### Remark

there are countably many primes since subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

there are infinitely many prime numbers.

### Proof.

for a proof by contradiction, suppose  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  were the finite list of primes

- set  $n := \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i$ , so that  $p_i \mid n$  for each *i*.
- by FTA n + 1 has prime factorisation, with primes among  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  by assumption
- if  $p_i | n + 1$ , then also  $p_i | (n + 1) n = 1$ ; contradicting  $p_i$  is prime.

### Remark

there are countably many primes since subset of  $\,\mathbb N\,.$ 

## Remark

FTA links numbers wrt addition (+,-) to numbers wrt multiplication (·,÷). Connections between both hard in general, cf. Goldbach's conjecture: if n > 2, then  $n = p_i + p_j$ .

## Corollary (to FTA)

any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  can be uniquely written as  $p_k^e := \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$  given a long enough initial segment  $p_k$  of the prime numbers in ascending order, and collection  $e_k$  of exponents

## Corollary (to FTA)

any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  can be uniquely written as  $p_k^e := \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$  given a long enough initial segment  $p_k$  of the prime numbers in ascending order, and collection  $e_k$  of exponents

#### Example

•  $77 = 2^0 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^1$  exponents e = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1) and  $28 = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^0$ 

## Corollary (to FTA)

any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  can be uniquely written as  $p_k^e := \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$  given a long enough initial segment  $p_k$  of the prime numbers in ascending order, and collection  $e_k$  of exponents

#### Example

- $77 = 2^0 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^1$  exponents e = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1) and  $28 = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^0$
- $77 \cdot 28 = 2^{0+2} \cdot 3^{0+0} \cdot 5^{0+0} \cdot 7^{1+1} \cdot 11^{1+0} = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^1 = 2156$

## Corollary (to FTA)

any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  can be uniquely written as  $p_k^e := \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$  given a long enough initial segment  $p_k$  of the prime numbers in ascending order, and collection  $e_k$  of exponents

#### Example

- $77 = 2^0 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^1$  exponents e = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1) and  $28 = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^0$
- $77 \cdot 28 = 2^{0+2} \cdot 3^{0+0} \cdot 5^{0+0} \cdot 7^{1+1} \cdot 11^{1+0} = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^1 = 2156$
- $77 \div 28 = 2^{0 \div 2} \cdot 3^{0 \div 0} \cdot 5^{0 \div 0} \cdot 7^{1 \div 1} \cdot 11^{1 \div 0} = 2^{0} \cdot 3^{0} \cdot 5^{0} \cdot 7^{0} \cdot 11^{1} = 11$ 
  - $x \div y$  cut-off division (=  $\frac{x}{y}$  iff  $y \mid x$ ),  $x \div y$  cut-off subtraction (= x y iff  $y \le x$ )

## Corollary (to FTA)

any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  can be uniquely written as  $p_k^e := \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$  given a long enough initial segment  $p_k$  of the prime numbers in ascending order, and collection  $e_k$  of exponents

#### Example

- $77 = 2^0 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^1$  exponents e = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1) and  $28 = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^0$
- $77 \cdot 28 = 2^{0+2} \cdot 3^{0+0} \cdot 5^{0+0} \cdot 7^{1+1} \cdot 11^{1+0} = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^1 = 2156$
- $77 \div 28 = 2^{0 \div 2} \cdot 3^{0 \div 0} \cdot 5^{0 \div 0} \cdot 7^{1 \div 1} \cdot 11^{1 \div 0} = 2^{0} \cdot 3^{0} \cdot 5^{0} \cdot 7^{0} \cdot 11^{1} = 11$ 
  - $x \div y$  cut-off division (=  $\frac{x}{y}$  iff  $y \mid x$ ),  $x \div y$  cut-off subtraction (= x y iff  $y \le x$ )
- $gcd(77,28) = 2^{min(0,2)} \cdot 3^{min(0,0)} \cdot 5^{min(0,0)} \cdot 7^{min(1,1)} \cdot 11^{min(1,0)} = 2^0 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^0 = 7$

## Corollary (to FTA)

any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  can be uniquely written as  $p_k^e := \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$  given a long enough initial segment  $p_k$  of the prime numbers in ascending order, and collection  $e_k$  of exponents

#### Example

- $77 = 2^0 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^1$  exponents e = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1) and  $28 = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^0$
- $77 \cdot 28 = 2^{0+2} \cdot 3^{0+0} \cdot 5^{0+0} \cdot 7^{1+1} \cdot 11^{1+0} = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^1 = 2156$
- $77 \div 28 = 2^{0-2} \cdot 3^{0-0} \cdot 5^{0-0} \cdot 7^{1-1} \cdot 11^{1-0} = 2^0 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^0 \cdot 11^1 = 11$

 $x \div y$  cut-off division (=  $\frac{x}{y}$  iff  $y \mid x$ ),  $x \div y$  cut-off subtraction (= x - y iff  $y \le x$ )

- $gcd(77,28) = 2^{min(0,2)} \cdot 3^{min(0,0)} \cdot 5^{min(0,0)} \cdot 7^{min(1,1)} \cdot 11^{min(1,0)} = 2^0 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^0 = 7$
- $\mathsf{lcm}(77,28) = 2^{\mathsf{max}(0,2)} \cdot 3^{\mathsf{max}(0,0)} \cdot 5^{\mathsf{max}(0,0)} \cdot 7^{\mathsf{max}(1,1)} \cdot 11^{\mathsf{max}(1,0)} = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1 \cdot 11^1 = 308$

## Corollary (to FTA)

any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  can be uniquely written as  $p_k^e := \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$  given a long enough initial segment  $p_k$  of the prime numbers in ascending order, and collection  $e_k$  of exponents

### Corollary

$$p_n^e \cdot p_n^f = p_n^{e+f}$$
,  $p_n^e \div p_n^f = p_n^{e \div f}$ ,  $gcd(p_n^e, p_n^f) = p_n^{min(e,f)}$ , and  $lcm(p_n^e, p_n^f) = p_n^{max(e,f)}$ 

## Corollary (to FTA)

any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  can be uniquely written as  $p_k^e := \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$  given a long enough initial segment  $p_k$  of the prime numbers in ascending order, and collection  $e_k$  of exponents

### Corollary

$$p_n^e \cdot p_n^f = p_n^{e+f}$$
,  $p_n^e \div p_n^f = p_n^{e \div f}$ ,  $gcd(p_n^e, p_n^f) = p_n^{min(e,f)}$ , and  $lcm(p_n^e, p_n^f) = p_n^{max(e,f)}$ 

### Corollary

for  $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$  not zero,  $\mathsf{lcm}(a,b)=rac{|a|\cdot|b|}{\mathsf{gcd}(a,b)}$ 

## Corollary (to FTA)

any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  can be uniquely written as  $p_k^e := \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$  given a long enough initial segment  $p_k$  of the prime numbers in ascending order, and collection  $e_k$  of exponents

### Corollary

$$p_n^e \cdot p_n^f = p_n^{e+f}$$
,  $p_n^e \div p_n^f = p_n^{e \div f}$ ,  $\gcd(p_n^e, p_n^f) = p_n^{\min(e,f)}$ , and  $\operatorname{lcm}(p_n^e, p_n^f) = p_n^{\max(e,f)}$ 

### Corollary

for  $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$  not zero,  $\mathsf{lcm}(a,b)=rac{|a|\cdot|b|}{\gcd(a,b)}$ 

#### Proof.

writing  $|a| = p_n^e$  and  $|b| = p_n^f$  for n large enough, by the previous corollary:  $\operatorname{lcm}(a,b) = \operatorname{lcm}(p_n^e, p_n^f) = p_n^{\max(e,f)} = p_n^{e+f-\min(e,f)} = \frac{(p_n^e) \cdot (p_n^f)}{\gcd(p_n^e, p_n^f)} = \frac{|a| \cdot |b|}{\gcd(a,b)}$ using  $\max(x, y) = x + y - \min(x, y)$  for natural numbers x, y.

## Cryptography

may be based on **one-way** functions f, **easy** to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ .

## Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . caveat: not known whether one-way functions exist

## Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . RSA:  $p \cdot q$  easy to compute, factoring hard;

## Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . RSA:  $p \cdot q$  easy to compute, factoring hard; **not** hard on quantum computers (Shor)

#### Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . RSA:  $p \cdot q$  easy to compute, factoring hard; not hard on quantum computers (Shor)

**RSA outline, omitting some conditions** 

### Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . RSA:  $p \cdot q$  easy to compute, factoring hard; not hard on quantum computers (Shor)

## **RSA** outline

1 choose large primes p,q. set  $n := p \cdot q$  and  $\phi := (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$ 

### Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . RSA:  $p \cdot q$  easy to compute, factoring hard; not hard on quantum computers (Shor)

## **RSA** outline

- **1** choose large primes p,q. set  $n := p \cdot q$  and  $\phi := (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$
- **2** choose e,d such that  $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi}$ ; public key := (e,n), private key := (d)

### Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . RSA:  $p \cdot q$  easy to compute, factoring hard; not hard on quantum computers (Shor)

## **RSA** outline

- 1 choose large primes p,q. set  $n := p \cdot q$  and  $\phi := (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$
- **2** choose e,d such that  $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi}$ ; public key := (e,n), private key := (d)
- **3** encrypt message *m* into cypher text  $c := m^e \pmod{n}$

### Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . RSA:  $p \cdot q$  easy to compute, factoring hard; not hard on quantum computers (Shor)

## **RSA** outline

- **1** choose large primes p,q. set  $n := p \cdot q$  and  $\phi := (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$
- **2** choose e,d such that  $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi}$ ; public key := (e,n), private key := (d)
- **3** encrypt message *m* into cypher text  $c := m^e \pmod{n}$
- **4** decrypt cypher text *c* into original message  $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$

### Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . RSA:  $p \cdot q$  easy to compute, factoring hard; not hard on quantum computers (Shor)

## **RSA outline**

- 1 choose large primes p,q. set  $n := p \cdot q$  and  $\phi := (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$
- **2** choose e,d such that  $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi}$ ; public key := (e,n), private key := (d)
- **3** encrypt message *m* into cypher text  $c := m^e \pmod{n}$
- 4 decrypt cypher text *c* into original message  $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$

correct:  $c^d \equiv (m^e)^d \equiv m^{e \cdot d} \equiv m^{1+k \cdot (p-1) \cdot (q-1)} \equiv m \cdot (m^{(p-1) \cdot (q-1)})^k \stackrel{Euler}{\equiv} m \cdot 1 \equiv m \pmod{n}$ 

### Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . RSA:  $p \cdot q$  easy to compute, factoring hard; not hard on quantum computers (Shor)

## **RSA outline**

- 1 choose large primes p,q. set  $n := p \cdot q$  and  $\phi := (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$
- **2** choose e,d such that  $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi}$ ; public key := (e,n), private key := (d)
- **3** encrypt message *m* into cypher text  $c := m^e \pmod{n}$
- 4 decrypt cypher text *c* into original message  $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$

correct:  $c^d \equiv (m^e)^d \equiv m^{e \cdot d} \equiv m^{1+k \cdot (p-1) \cdot (q-1)} \equiv m \cdot (m^{(p-1) \cdot (q-1)})^k \stackrel{Euler}{\equiv} m \cdot 1 \equiv m \pmod{n}$ secure: to decrypt *c* given (e, n), need (d) so  $\phi = (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$  given  $p \cdot q$ ; factoring!

### Cryptography

may be based on one-way functions f, easy to compute f, hard to compute  $f^{-1}$ . RSA:  $p \cdot q$  easy to compute, factoring hard; not hard on quantum computers (Shor)

### **RSA outline**

- 1 choose large primes p,q. set  $n := p \cdot q$  and  $\phi := (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$
- **2** choose e,d such that  $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi}$ ; public key := (e,n), private key := (d)
- **3** encrypt message *m* into cypher text  $c := m^e \pmod{n}$
- 4 decrypt cypher text *c* into original message  $m \equiv c^d \pmod{n}$

correct:  $c^d \equiv (m^e)^d \equiv m^{e \cdot d} \equiv m^{1+k \cdot (p-1) \cdot (q-1)} \equiv m \cdot (m^{(p-1) \cdot (q-1)})^k \stackrel{Euler}{\equiv} m \cdot 1 \equiv m \pmod{n}$ secure: to decrypt *c* given (*e*, *n*), need (*d*) so  $\phi = (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$  given  $p \cdot q$ ; factoring!

### RSA ingredients developed on following slides:

#### modulo, Euler (RSA case), fast exponentiation, Chinese remainder (speed-up)

### **Definition (modulo some positive natural number** *n***)**

• integers a, b are congruent modulo n, denoted by  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  if remainders  $a \mod n$  and  $b \mod n$  after division by n are the same

- integers a, b are congruent modulo n, denoted by  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  if remainders  $a \mod n$  and  $b \mod n$  after division by n are the same
- congruence modulo *n* is equivalence relation

- integers a, b are congruent modulo n, denoted by  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  if remainders  $a \mod n$  and  $b \mod n$  after division by n are the same
- congruence modulo *n* is equivalence relation
- congruence modulo n is +,-congruence: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ , then  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$  and  $a \cdot c \equiv b \cdot d \pmod{n}$

- integers a, b are congruent modulo n, denoted by  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  if remainders  $a \mod n$  and  $b \mod n$  after division by n are the same
- congruence modulo *n* is equivalence relation
- congruence modulo n is +,-congruence: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ , then  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$  and  $a \cdot c \equiv b \cdot d \pmod{n}$
- equivalence class of *a* is congruence or residue class:  $\overline{a} := \{a + z \cdot n \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

- integers a, b are congruent modulo n, denoted by  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  if remainders  $a \mod n$  and  $b \mod n$  after division by n are the same
- congruence modulo *n* is equivalence relation
- congruence modulo n is +,-congruence: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ , then  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$  and  $a \cdot c \equiv b \cdot d \pmod{n}$
- equivalence class of *a* is congruence or residue class:  $\overline{a} := \{a + z \cdot n \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all congruence classes modulo n

#### **Definition (modulo some positive natural number** *n***)**

- integers a, b are congruent modulo n, denoted by  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  if remainders  $a \mod n$  and  $b \mod n$  after division by n are the same
- congruence modulo *n* is equivalence relation
- congruence modulo n is +,-congruence: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ , then  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$  and  $a \cdot c \equiv b \cdot d \pmod{n}$
- equivalence class of *a* is congruence or residue class:  $\overline{a} := \{a + z \cdot n \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all congruence classes modulo n

#### Remark

As system of representatives we usually employ the smallest non-negative remainders  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  or the absolutely-smallest remainders  $\begin{cases} \{-n/2+1, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, n/2\} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \{-(n-1)/2, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, (n-1)/2\} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$ 

## Modulo (continued)

### Example

We have  $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}\} = \{\overline{-2}, \overline{-1}, \overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}\}$ ; moreover  $\overline{0} = \{0, 5, 10, 15, \ldots\} = \overline{5}$ , and  $\overline{2} + \overline{4} = \overline{6} = \overline{1}$  and  $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{4} \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{4 \cdot 4} \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{1} \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{3}$ .

## Modulo (continued)

#### Example

We have  $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}\} = \{\overline{-2}, \overline{-1}, \overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}\}$ ; moreover  $\overline{0} = \{0, 5, 10, 15, \ldots\} = \overline{5}$ , and  $\overline{2} + \overline{4} = \overline{6} = \overline{1}$  and  $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{4} \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{4 \cdot 4} \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{1} \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{3}$ .

#### Lemma

The functions

$$+: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \, (\overline{a}, \overline{b}) \mapsto \overline{a} + \overline{b} := \overline{a+b}$$

$$\cdot \colon \mathbb{Z} / n \, \mathbb{Z} \, \times \, \mathbb{Z} / n \, \mathbb{Z} \, \to \, \mathbb{Z} / n \, \mathbb{Z} \, , \, (\overline{a}, \overline{b}) \mapsto \overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} := \overline{a \cdot b} \, ,$$

are well-defined

## Modulo (continued)

#### Example

We have  $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}\} = \{\overline{-2}, \overline{-1}, \overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}\}$ ; moreover  $\overline{0} = \{0, 5, 10, 15, \ldots\} = \overline{5}$ , and  $\overline{2} + \overline{4} = \overline{6} = \overline{1}$  and  $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{4} \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{4 \cdot 4} \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{1} \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{3}$ .

#### Lemma

The functions

$$+: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, (\overline{a}, \overline{b}) \mapsto \overline{a} + \overline{b} := \overline{a+b}$$

$$\cdot \colon \mathbb{Z} / n \, \mathbb{Z} \, \times \, \mathbb{Z} / n \, \mathbb{Z} \, \to \, \mathbb{Z} / n \, \mathbb{Z} \, , \, (\overline{a}, \overline{b}) \mapsto \overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} := \overline{a \cdot b} \, ,$$

are well-defined

#### Example

In many programming languages there is a data type for integers corresponding to  $\mathbb{Z}/2^{2^n}\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $n \ge 3$ . For example unsigned int in C corresponds to n = 5 resp. n = 6. For n = 5, i.e. a 32-bits architecture, the sum of  $2^{2^5} - 1 = 2^{32} - 1$  and 1 is 0.

## Inverses modulo

#### Definition

A congruence class  $\overline{a}$  modulo n is invertible, if there is a congruence class  $\overline{b}$  modulo n such that  $\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} \equiv \overline{1} \pmod{n}$ , i.e. if  $a \cdot b - 1 = k \cdot n$  for some k.
### Inverses modulo

#### Definition

A congruence class  $\overline{a}$  modulo n is invertible, if there is a congruence class  $\overline{b}$  modulo n such that  $\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} \equiv \overline{1} \pmod{n}$ , i.e. if  $a \cdot b - 1 = k \cdot n$  for some k.

#### Lemma

 $\overline{a}$  modulo n is invertible for non-zero a iff gcd(a,n) = 1; in that case, we can compute using Bézout's lemma, integers u, v such that  $u \cdot a + v \cdot n = 1$  and  $\overline{a}^{-1} = \overline{u}$ 

### Inverses modulo

#### Definition

A congruence class  $\overline{a}$  modulo n is invertible, if there is a congruence class  $\overline{b}$  modulo n such that  $\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} \equiv \overline{1} \pmod{n}$ , i.e. if  $a \cdot b - 1 = k \cdot n$  for some k.

#### Lemma

 $\overline{a}$  modulo n is invertible for non-zero a iff gcd(a,n) = 1; in that case, we can compute using Bézout's lemma, integers u, v such that  $u \cdot a + v \cdot n = 1$  and  $\overline{a}^{-1} = \overline{u}$ 

#### Proof.

if gcd(a, n) = 1 and  $u \cdot a + v \cdot n = 1$ , then  $\overline{1} = \overline{u} \cdot \overline{a} + \overline{v} \cdot \overline{n} = \overline{u} \cdot \overline{a}$ . vice versa, if  $\overline{a}$  invertible, then  $\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} = \overline{1}$  for some b, hence  $\overline{a \cdot b - 1} = \overline{0}$ ; and therefore  $n \mid (a \cdot b - 1)$ . thus gcd(a, n) = 1, as gcd(a, n) divides n hence  $a \cdot b - 1$ , and a hence  $a \cdot b$ 

### Inverses modulo

#### Definition

A congruence class  $\overline{a}$  modulo n is invertible, if there is a congruence class  $\overline{b}$  modulo n such that  $\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} \equiv \overline{1} \pmod{n}$ , i.e. if  $a \cdot b - 1 = k \cdot n$  for some k.

#### Lemma

 $\overline{a}$  modulo n is invertible for non-zero a iff gcd(a, n) = 1; in that case, we can compute using Bézout's lemma, integers u, v such that  $u \cdot a + v \cdot n = 1$  and  $\overline{a}^{-1} = \overline{u}$ 

#### Proof.

if gcd(a, n) = 1 and  $u \cdot a + v \cdot n = 1$ , then  $\overline{1} = \overline{u} \cdot \overline{a} + \overline{v} \cdot \overline{n} = \overline{u} \cdot \overline{a}$ . vice versa, if  $\overline{a}$  invertible, then  $\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} = \overline{1}$  for some b, hence  $\overline{a \cdot b - 1} = \overline{0}$ ; and therefore  $n \mid (a \cdot b - 1)$ . thus gcd(a, n) = 1, as gcd(a, n) divides n hence  $a \cdot b - 1$ , and a hence  $a \cdot b$ 

Corollary (cancellation by multiplication with  $\overline{a}^{-1}$ )

if 0 < a < p and  $a \cdot b \equiv a \cdot c \pmod{p}$  with p prime, then  $b \equiv c \pmod{p}$ 

for prime p, and integer a with  $p \nmid a$ , we have  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

for prime p, and integer a with  $p \nmid a$ , we have  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

#### Proof.

by cancellation of  $1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1)$  from

$$\overline{1\cdot 2\cdots (p-1)}\cdot \overline{a^{p-1}} = \overline{1\cdot a}\cdot \overline{2\cdot a}\cdots \overline{(p-1)\cdot a} = \overline{1\cdot 2\cdots (p-1)}\cdot \overline{1}$$

where we use cancellation again to show  $\overline{1 \cdot a}, \overline{2 \cdot a}, \ldots, \overline{(p-1) \cdot a}$  are all distinct and also from  $\overline{0}$ , so that they must be a permutation of the congruence classes  $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{(p-1)}$ , to conclude their products are the same (double counting).

for prime p, and integer a with  $p \nmid a$ , we have  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

#### Proof.

by cancellation of  $1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1)$  from

$$\overline{1\cdot 2\cdots (p-1)}\cdot \overline{a^{p-1}} = \overline{1\cdot a}\cdot \overline{2\cdot a}\cdots \overline{(p-1)\cdot a} = \overline{1\cdot 2\cdots (p-1)}\cdot \overline{1}$$

where we use cancellation again to show  $\overline{1 \cdot a}, \overline{2 \cdot a}, \ldots, \overline{(p-1) \cdot a}$  are all distinct and also from  $\overline{0}$ , so that they must be a permutation of the congruence classes  $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{(p-1)}$ , to conclude their products are the same (double counting).

#### Corollary (Euler's theorem, RSA case)

for all primes p, q, and integers a with  $gcd(a, p \cdot q) = 1$ ,  $a^{(p-1) \cdot (q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p \cdot q}$ 

for prime p, and integer a with  $p \nmid a$ , we have  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

#### Proof.

by cancellation of  $1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1)$  from

$$\overline{1\cdot 2\cdots (p-1)}\cdot \overline{a^{p-1}} = \overline{1\cdot a}\cdot \overline{2\cdot a}\cdots \overline{(p-1)\cdot a} = \overline{1\cdot 2\cdots (p-1)}\cdot \overline{1}$$

where we use cancellation again to show  $\overline{1 \cdot a}, \overline{2 \cdot a}, \ldots, \overline{(p-1) \cdot a}$  are all distinct and also from  $\overline{0}$ , so that they must be a permutation of the congruence classes  $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{(p-1)}$ , to conclude their products are the same (double counting).

#### Corollary (Euler's theorem, RSA case)

for all primes p, q, and integers a with  $gcd(a, p \cdot q) = 1$ ,  $a^{(p-1) \cdot (q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p \cdot q}$ 

#### Proof.

By FTA and  $p, q \mid a^{(p-1)\cdot(q-1)} - 1$ , from FLT twice, with  $a^{p-1}$ , q resp.  $a^{q-1}$ , p.

### Fast exponentiation

### Example

We compute:  $3^9 = 3^{(1001)_2} = 3^{2^3} \cdot 3^{2^0} = 3^8 \cdot 3^1 = ((3^2)^2)^2 \cdot 3 = 19683$ . The computation uses 4 multiplications, of which 3 are for squaring.

### Fast exponentiation

### Example

We compute:  $3^9 = 3^{(1001)_2} = 3^{2^3} \cdot 3^{2^0} = 3^8 \cdot 3^1 = ((3^2)^2)^2 \cdot 3 = 19683$ . The computation uses 4 multiplications, of which 3 are for squaring.

### Theorem (exponentiation by squaring)

Let a be an integer and let n be a positive integer with binary representation  $b_k b_{k-1} \cdots b_0$  where  $b_k = 1$ ; in symbols  $(b_k b_{k-1} \cdots b_0)_2 = n$ . We can then compute the power  $a^n$  by squaring (and possibly multiplying) k-times:

Set x = a. For i from k - 1 down to 0 repeat: Set  $x = x^2$ . If  $b_i = 1$ , set x = x \* a.

### Fast exponentation (continued)

### Proof.

- By mathematical induction on k; for k = 0 n = 1 and the algorithm yields  $a^1 = a$
- For k > 0 we write

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{k} b_i 2^i = m \cdot 2 + b_0$$
 with  $m = \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i 2^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{i+1} 2^i$ 

By the induction hypothesis, the first k - 1 loops yield the value  $a^m$ ; therefore, the last time (i = 0) yields

$$(a^m)^2 \cdot a^{b_0} = a^n$$

### Fast exponentation (continued)

### Proof.

- By mathematical induction on k; for k = 0 n = 1 and the algorithm yields  $a^1 = a$
- For k > 0 we write

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{k} b_i 2^i = m \cdot 2 + b_0$$
 with  $m = \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i 2^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{i+1} 2^i$ 

By the induction hypothesis, the first k - 1 loops yield the value  $a^m$ ; therefore, the last time (i = 0) yields

$$(a^m)^2 \cdot a^{b_0} = a^n$$

### Fast exponentation (continued)

### Proof.

- By mathematical induction on k; for k = 0 n = 1 and the algorithm yields  $a^1 = a$
- For k > 0 we write

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{k} b_i 2^i = m \cdot 2 + b_0$$
 with  $m = \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i 2^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{i+1} 2^i$ 

By the induction hypothesis, the first k - 1 loops yield the value  $a^m$ ; therefore, the last time (i = 0) yields

$$(a^m)^2 \cdot a^{b_0} = a^n$$

#### Remark

during exponentiation modulo some number n, no numbers  $\geq n$  need to be used.

#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:

### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:

Example ( $p=3,q=5$ )											
ba	0	1	2	3	4						
0	0	6		3	9	$9\mapsto (0,4)$					
1		1	7		4						
2	5		2	8							

### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:

Example ( $p = 3, q = 5$ )										
b	0	1	2	3	4					
0	0	6		3	9	$\texttt{10}\mapsto(\texttt{1},\texttt{0})$				
1	10	1	7		4					
2	5		2	8						

#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:

Example ( $p = 3, q = 5$ )										
ba	0	1	2	3	4					
0	0	6		3	9	$\texttt{l1}\mapsto(\texttt{2},\texttt{1})$				
1	10	1	7		4					
2	5	11	2	8						

### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:

Example ( $p = 3, q = 5$ )										
ba	0	1	2	3	4					
0	0	6	12	3	9	$12\mapsto(0,2)$				
1	10	1	7		4					
2	5	11	2	8						

#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:

Example ( $p = 3, q = 5$ )										
b	0	1	2	3	4					
0	0	6	12	3	9	$13\mapsto(1,3)$				
1	10	1	7	13	4					
2	5	11	2	8						

### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:

Example ( $p = 3, q = 5$ )										
b	0	1	2	3	4					
0	0	6	12	3	9	$14\mapsto(2,4)$				
1	10	1	7	13	4					
2	5	11	2	8	14					

#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:



### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:

 $x \mapsto (x \mod p, x \mod q)$ 

#### Proof.

sufficient to prove injectivity.

#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:

 $x \mapsto (x \mod p, x \mod q)$ 

#### Proof.

sufficient to prove injectivity. suppose  $0 \le x, x' . if <math>crt(x) = crt(x')$ , then  $x \equiv x' \pmod{p}$  and  $x \equiv x' \pmod{q}$ , hence  $p, q \mid x - x'$ . Thus

$$p \cdot q = rac{p \cdot q}{1} = rac{p \cdot q}{\gcd(p,q)} = \operatorname{lcm}(p,q) \mid x - x'$$

that is, solutions are  $p \cdot q$  apart, so x - x' = 0 and x = x'.

#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder, bijection)

if gcd(p,q) = 1, then the following function crt from numbers  $0 \le x to pairs <math>(a,b)$  with  $0 \le a < p$  and  $0 \le b < q$ , is a bijection:

 $x \mapsto (x \mod p, x \mod q)$ 

#### Proof.

sufficient to prove injectivity. suppose  $0 \le x, x' . if <math>crt(x) = crt(x')$ , then  $x \equiv x' \pmod{p}$  and  $x \equiv x' \pmod{q}$ , hence  $p, q \mid x - x'$ . Thus

$$p \cdot q = rac{p \cdot q}{1} = rac{p \cdot q}{\gcd(p,q)} = \operatorname{lcm}(p,q) \mid x - x'$$

that is, solutions are  $p \cdot q$  apart, so x - x' = 0 and x = x'.

#### Theorem (Chinese remainder theorem, Bézout)

Let p and q be positive integers such that gcd(p,q) = 1, and let a and b be arbitrary integers. The congruence system

 $x \equiv a \pmod{p}$  $x \equiv b \pmod{q}$ 

then has the unique solution  $x \equiv vqa + upb \pmod{pq}$  where the integers u and v such that up + vq = 1 can be computed using Bézout's lemma.
#### Theorem (Chinese remainder theorem, Bézout)

Let p and q be positive integers such that gcd(p,q) = 1, and let a and b be arbitrary integers. The congruence system

 $x \equiv a \pmod{p}$  $x \equiv b \pmod{q}$ 

then has the unique solution  $x \equiv vqa + upb \pmod{pq}$  where the integers u and v such that up + vq = 1 can be computed using Bézout's lemma.

- (existence) we show  $x = v \cdot q \cdot a + u \cdot p \cdot b$  for up + vq = 1 satisfies equations:  $x \equiv v \cdot q \cdot a + u \cdot p \cdot b \equiv v \cdot q \cdot a \equiv (1 - u \cdot p) \cdot a \equiv a - u \cdot p \cdot a \equiv a \pmod{p}$  and similarly for  $x \equiv b \pmod{q}$
- (uniqueness)

#### Theorem (Chinese remainder theorem, Bézout)

Let p and q be positive integers such that gcd(p,q) = 1, and let a and b be arbitrary integers. The congruence system

 $x \equiv a \pmod{p}$  $x \equiv b \pmod{q}$ 

then has the unique solution  $x \equiv vqa + upb \pmod{pq}$  where the integers u and v such that up + vq = 1 can be computed using Bézout's lemma.

- (existence) we show  $x = v \cdot q \cdot a + u \cdot p \cdot b$  for up + vq = 1 satisfies equations:  $x \equiv v \cdot q \cdot a + u \cdot p \cdot b \equiv v \cdot q \cdot a \equiv (1 - u \cdot p) \cdot a \equiv a - u \cdot p \cdot a \equiv a \pmod{p}$  and similarly for  $x \equiv b \pmod{q}$
- (uniqueness) as before: if both x, x' are solutions to the two equations, then  $p, q \mid (x x')$ , hence  $\operatorname{lcm}(p, q) = \frac{p \cdot q}{\gcd(p,q)} = p \cdot q \mid (x x')$ . That is, solutions are  $p \cdot q$  apart, hence unique in  $\{0, ..., p \cdot q 1\}$ .

#### Theorem (Chinese remainder theorem, Bézout)

Let p and q be positive integers such that gcd(p,q) = 1, and let a and b be arbitrary integers. The congruence system

 $x \equiv a \pmod{p}$  $x \equiv b \pmod{q}$ 

then has the unique solution  $x \equiv vqa + upb \pmod{pq}$  where the integers u and v such that up + vq = 1 can be computed using Bézout's lemma.

- (existence) we show  $x = v \cdot q \cdot a + u \cdot p \cdot b$  for up + vq = 1 satisfies equations:  $x \equiv v \cdot q \cdot a + u \cdot p \cdot b \equiv v \cdot q \cdot a \equiv (1 - u \cdot p) \cdot a \equiv a - u \cdot p \cdot a \equiv a \pmod{p}$  and similarly for  $x \equiv b \pmod{q}$
- (uniqueness) as before: if both x, x' are solutions to the two equations, then  $p, q \mid (x x')$ , hence  $\operatorname{lcm}(p, q) = \frac{p \cdot q}{\gcd(p,q)} = p \cdot q \mid (x x')$ . That is, solutions are  $p \cdot q$  apart, hence unique in  $\{0, ..., p \cdot q 1\}$ .

### Example

## The following congruence system has the unique solution $x \equiv 16 \pmod{35}$

 $x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ 

#### Example

The following congruence system has the unique solution  $x \equiv 16 \pmod{35}$ 

 $x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ 

We compute integers *u* and *v*, such that  $u \cdot 5 + v \cdot 7 = \text{gcd}(5,7)$ .

A = (5, 1, 0)	B = (7, 0, 1)	q = 0
A = (7, 0, 1)	B = (5, 1, 0)	q = 1
A = (5, 1, 0)	B = (2, -1, 1)	q = 2
A = (2, -1, -1)	B = (1, 3, -2)	q = 2

Hence u = 3, v = -2 and  $gcd(5, 7) = 3 \cdot 5 - 2 \cdot 7 = 1$ , and therefore  $\underbrace{-2}_{v} \cdot \underbrace{7}_{a} \cdot \underbrace{1}_{a} + \underbrace{3}_{u} \cdot \underbrace{5}_{a} \cdot \underbrace{2}_{b} = 16$ 

By the theorem, the solution  $x \equiv 16 \pmod{35}$  is unique

### **Theorem (Chinese remainder, RSA)**

Let gcd(p,q) = 1 and let p' be inverse of p modulo q, i.e.  $p \cdot p' \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Then

$$egin{array}{rcl} x &\equiv a \pmod{p} \ x &\equiv b \pmod{q} \end{array} & \Longleftrightarrow & x \equiv a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b-a)) egin{array}{c} \operatorname{mod} p) \pmod{p \cdot q} \end{array}$$

### Theorem (Chinese remainder, RSA)

Let gcd(p,q) = 1 and let p' be inverse of p modulo q, i.e.  $p \cdot p' \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Then

$$egin{array}{rcl} x &\equiv a \pmod{p} \ x &\equiv b \pmod{q} \end{array} & \Longleftrightarrow & x \equiv a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b-a)) \mod q) \pmod{p \cdot q} \end{array}$$

$$\Leftarrow x \equiv a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b - a)) \mod q) + k \cdot p \cdot q \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
  
 
$$x \equiv a + p \cdot p' \cdot (b - a) + k \cdot p \cdot q \equiv a + b - a \equiv b \pmod{q}$$

### Theorem (Chinese remainder, RSA)

Let gcd(p,q) = 1 and let p' be inverse of p modulo q, i.e.  $p \cdot p' \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Then

$$egin{array}{rcl} x &\equiv a \pmod{p} \ x &\equiv b \pmod{q} \end{array} & \Longleftrightarrow & x \equiv a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b-a)) \mod q) \pmod{p \cdot q} \end{array}$$

### Proof.

$$\leftarrow x \equiv a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b - a)) \mod q) + k \cdot p \cdot q \equiv a \pmod{p} \\ x \equiv a + p \cdot p' \cdot (b - a) + k \cdot p \cdot q \equiv a + b - a \equiv b \pmod{q}$$

⇒ previous item shows rhs is a solution. now show it is **unique** modulo  $p \cdot q$ .  $0 \le x, x' being solutions entails <math>x \equiv x' \pmod{p}$  and  $x \equiv x' \pmod{q}$ , hence  $p, q \mid x - x'$ . Thus,  $p \cdot q = \frac{p \cdot q}{\gcd(p,q)} = \operatorname{lcm}(p,q) \mid x - x'$ , so x - x' = 0 and x = x'.

### Theorem (Chinese remainder, RSA)

Let gcd(p,q) = 1 and let p' be inverse of p modulo q, i.e.  $p \cdot p' \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Then

$$egin{array}{rcl} x &\equiv a \pmod{p} \ x &\equiv b \pmod{q} \end{array} & \Longleftrightarrow & x \equiv a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b-a)) \mod q) \pmod{p \cdot q} \end{array}$$

#### Example

Let p = 3, q = 5 (see above). Then p' = 2 ( $3 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ ). E.g. for a = 1 and b = 2, we obtain  $x = 1 + 3 \cdot (2 \cdot (2 - 1) \mod 5) = 7$ , and 7 is indeed the number we find at coordinates (a, b) = (1, 2) in the table on slide 17. For another example, at coordinate (2, 1) in the table  $x = 2 + 3 \cdot (2 \cdot (1 - 2) \mod 5) = 2 + 3 \cdot (-2 \mod 5) = 2 + 3 \cdot 3 = 11$ .

### Theorem (Chinese remainder, RSA)

Let gcd(p,q) = 1 and let p' be inverse of p modulo q, i.e.  $p \cdot p' \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Then

$$egin{array}{rcl} x &\equiv a \pmod{p} \ x &\equiv b \pmod{q} \end{array} & \Longleftrightarrow & x \equiv a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b-a)) egin{array}{c} \operatorname{mod} p) \pmod{p \cdot q} \end{array}$$

### **Application to RSA**

Speed up computation of  $c^d \mod (p \cdot q)$  for gcd(p,q) = 1?

### Theorem (Chinese remainder, RSA)

Let gcd(p,q) = 1 and let p' be inverse of p modulo q, i.e.  $p \cdot p' \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Then

$$egin{array}{rcl} x &\equiv a \pmod{p} \ x &\equiv b \pmod{q} \end{array} & \Longleftrightarrow & x \equiv a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b-a)) egin{array}{c} \operatorname{mod} p) \pmod{p \cdot q} \end{array}$$

### **Application to RSA**

Speed up computation of  $c^d \mod (p \cdot q)$  for gcd(p,q) = 1? **1** compute  $a := c^{d \mod (p-1)} \mod p$ ; by FLT  $c^d \equiv a \pmod{p}$ 

### Theorem (Chinese remainder, RSA)

Let gcd(p,q) = 1 and let p' be inverse of p modulo q, i.e.  $p \cdot p' \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Then

$$egin{array}{rcl} x &\equiv a \pmod{p} \ x &\equiv b \pmod{q} \end{array} & \Longleftrightarrow & x \equiv a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b-a)) egin{array}{c} \operatorname{mod} p) \pmod{p \cdot q} \end{array}$$

### **Application to RSA**

Speed up computation of  $c^d \mod (p \cdot q)$  for gcd(p,q) = 1? **1** compute  $a := c^{d \mod (p-1)} \mod p$ ; by FLT  $c^d \equiv a \pmod{p}$ 

**2** compute  $b := c^{d \mod (q-1)} \mod q$ ; by FLT  $c^d \equiv b \pmod{q}$ 

### Theorem (Chinese remainder, RSA)

Let gcd(p,q) = 1 and let p' be inverse of p modulo q, i.e.  $p \cdot p' \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Then

$$egin{array}{rcl} x &\equiv a \pmod{p} \ x &\equiv b \pmod{q} \end{array} & \Longleftrightarrow & x \equiv a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b-a)) egin{array}{c} \operatorname{mod} p) \pmod{p \cdot q} \end{array}$$

### **Application to RSA**

Speed up computation of  $c^d \mod (p \cdot q)$  for gcd(p,q) = 1?

- **1** compute  $a := c^{d \mod (p-1)} \mod p$ ; by FLT  $c^d \equiv a \pmod{p}$
- **2** compute  $b := c^{d \mod (q-1)} \mod q$ ; by FLT  $c^d \equiv b \pmod{q}$

**3** compute  $m := a + p \cdot ((p' \cdot (b - a)) \mod q) \mod (p \cdot q)$ ; by **CRT**  $m \equiv c^d \pmod{p \cdot q}$ .