

## Homework

Mark your solved exercise in OLAT and also upload your solution as a PDF.

1. The deterministic Nelson–Oppen method requires stably infinite and convex theories. What can go wrong in the deterministic Nelson–Oppen method in case of non-convex theories (without the case-splitting extension)?
  - (a) The method outputs "satisfiable" for an unsatisfiable formula. (1p.)
  - (b) The method outputs "unsatisfiable" for a satisfiable formula. (1p.)

For each of the cases provide an answer with a justification, i.e., if something can go wrong provide a witness, e.g., a formula in the theories of LIA and EUF, or give a brief explanation why that case cannot happen.

2. Consider the following formula for applying Cooper's method.

$$\varphi := \forall x y z. 14x - 21y + 11 \neq 63z$$

- (a) Convert  $\varphi$  into an equivalent formula  $\psi$  where the quantification of  $z$  is removed. Write down each step that is performed in Cooper's method. You can use intermediate arithmetic simplifications and should simplify the final formula. (1p.)
- (b) The formula that you have computed in the previous exercise might be:

$$\psi := \neg \exists x y. 63 \mid 14x - 21y + 11 \equiv \varphi$$

Transform  $\psi$  further into an equivalent quantifier free formula  $\chi$  using Cooper's method. Use the optimizations from the lecture! (1p.)

- (c) Finally compute whether  $\chi$  is valid, e.g., by writing a computer program that evaluates  $\chi$ . (1p.)
3. In the lecture it was just briefly stated that without divisibility predicates there is no quantifier-free LIA formula  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi$  is equivalent to  $\exists y. 2y = x$ .  
Think about a proof of such a statement and describe the idea of your proof informally. (2p.)

4. In order to simplify the proof of PSPACE-completeness of QBNF, the standard definition of acceptance of a deterministic Turing machines was changed. The original acceptance condition is defined as reachability of some configuration  $(t, y, n)$  where  $t$  is the accept state,  $y$  is an arbitrary tape content and  $n$  an arbitrary position on the tape.

The alternative acceptance condition is defined as reachability of the configuration  $(t, \vdash_{\omega}, 0)$ .

Show that Turing machines using the original acceptance can be simulated by Turing machines using the alternative acceptance condition, i.e., define a translation of an arbitrary TM  $M$  into some machine  $M'$  such that for every input  $x$ ,  $x$  is accepted by  $M$  using the original acceptance condition if and only if  $x$  is accepted by  $M'$  using the alternative acceptance condition. You may assume that the input alphabet does not contain the blank-symbol  $\sqcup$ .

(a) Describe the idea of the translation informally. (1p.)

(b) Describe the translation formally. (2p.)

You do not have to prove that your translation is correct.