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## Definition (Theory of Linear Arithmetic over C)

- for variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, formulas built according to grammar

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi & : \\
t & =\varphi \wedge \varphi|t=t| t<t \mid t \leqslant t \\
t & =a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n}+b \quad \text { for } a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b \in \text { in carrier } C
\end{aligned}
$$

- axioms are equality axioms plus calculation rules of arithmetic over $C$
- solution assigns values in $C$ to $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$


## Definitions

- carrier $\mathbb{Q}$ : linear real arithmetic (LRA), $\operatorname{DPLL}(T)$ simplex algorithm is decision procedure
- carrier $\mathbb{Z}$ : linear integer arithmetic (LIA)


## DPLL( $T$ ) Simplex Algorithm (1)

- linear arithmetic constraint solving over real or rational variables
- $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are split into dependent variables $\bar{x}_{D}$ and independent variables $\bar{x}_{1}$


## Input

constraints plus upper and lower bounds for $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A \bar{x}_{I}=\bar{x}_{D} & \text { with tableau } A \in \mathbb{Q}^{|D| \times|I|} \\
I_{i} \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant u_{i} & \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

## Output

satisfying assignment or "unsatisfiable"

## Invariant

(1) is satisfied and (2) holds for all independent variables $x_{i}$

## DPLL( $T$ ) Simplex Algorithm (2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \bar{x}_{I}=\bar{x}_{D}  \tag{1}\\
& l_{i} \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant u_{i} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Method

- if (2) holds for all dependent variables, return current assignment
- otherwise select dependent variable $x_{i} \in D$ which violates (2)
- select suitable independent variable $x_{j} \in I$ such that $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ can be swapped in a pivoting step, resulting in new tableau

$$
A^{\prime} x_{I^{\prime}}=x_{D^{\prime}}
$$

with $I^{\prime}=I \cup\left\{x_{i}\right\}-\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ and $D^{\prime}=D \cup\left\{x_{j}\right\}-\left\{x_{i}\right\}$

- change value of $x_{i}$ to $l_{i}$ or $u_{i}$, update values of dependent variables accordingly


## DPLL( $T$ ) Simplex Algorithm (3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \bar{x}_{I}=\bar{x}_{D}  \tag{1}\\
& l_{i} \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant u_{i} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Pivoting

- swap dependent $x_{i}$ and non-dependent $x_{j}$

$$
x_{i}=\sum_{x_{k} \in I} A_{i k} x_{k} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x_{j}=\underbrace{\frac{1}{A_{i j}}\left(x_{i}-\sum_{x_{k} \in I-\left\{x_{j}\right\}} A_{i k} x_{k}\right)}_{t}
$$

- new tableau $A^{\prime}$ consists of $(\star)$ and $x_{m}=A_{m j} t+\sum_{x_{k} \in I-\left\{x_{j}\right\}} A_{m k} x_{k} \forall x_{m} \in D-\left\{x_{i}\right\}$


## Update

- assignment of $x_{i}$ is updated to previously violated bound $l_{i}$ or $u_{i}$,
- assignment of $x_{k}$ is updated using $A^{\prime}$ for all $\forall x_{k} \in D-\left\{x_{i}\right\}$


## DPLL( $T$ ) Simplex Algorithm (4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \bar{x}_{I}=\bar{x}_{D}  \tag{1}\\
& I_{i} \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant u_{i} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Suitability

- dependent variable $x_{i}$ violates lower and/or upper bound
- pick independent variable $x_{j}$ such that
- if $x_{i}<I_{i}: A_{i j}>0$ and $x_{j}<u_{j}$ or $A_{i j}<0$ and $x_{j}>I_{j}$
- if $x_{i}>u_{i}: A_{i j}>0$ and $x_{j}>l_{j}$ or $A_{i j}<0$ and $x_{j}<u_{j}$
- problem is unsatisfiable if no suitable pivot exists


## Bland's Rule

- pick lexicographically smallest $(i, j)$ that is suitable pivot
- guarantees termination
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## Example

$$
-1 \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant 0 \quad-4 \leqslant x_{2} \leqslant 0 \quad-5 \leqslant x_{3} \leqslant-4 \quad-7 \leqslant x_{4} \leqslant 1
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
x_{1} & x_{2}
\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{lllllll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4}
\end{array}, \quad x_{1} \quad x_{4}, ~ x_{1} \quad x_{2} \quad x_{3},
$$

$$
x_{3} \quad x_{2}
$$

$$
\uparrow
$$

$x_{1}$
$x_{4}$\(\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 \& -2 <br>

2 \& -3\end{array}\right) \quad\)| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -4 | 0 | -4 | -8 |

$\downarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3} \quad x_{4} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\
\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} \\
\hline-\frac{10}{3}-\frac{1}{3} & -4 & -7
\end{array} \\
& \downarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1 \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant 0 \quad-4 \leqslant x_{2} \leqslant 0 \quad-5 \leqslant x_{3} \leqslant-4 \quad-7 \leqslant x_{4} \leqslant 1 \\
& \left.\left.\begin{array}{c} 
\\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array} \begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} & x_{2} \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2
\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \downarrow \\
& x_{1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & -2 \\
2 & -3
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{array}{llll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} \\
\hline
\end{array} \quad \text { violation of Bland's rule } \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l} 
\\
\\
x_{2} \\
x_{4}
\end{array} \begin{array}{ccc}
x_{3} & x_{1} & \downarrow \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{array}{llll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} \\
\hline-1-\frac{3}{2}-4-\frac{7}{2}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

trajectory of assignments ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ )



## Disclaimer

if advice of Dr. Bland is neglected, no cure is guaranteed!
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## - Fourier-Motzkin Elimination

## How to Be Lazy



## Theory $T$

- equality logic
- equality + uninterpreted functions (EUF) congruence closure
- linear real arithmetic (LRA)
- linear integer arithmetic (LIA)
- bitvectors (BV)
- arrays (A)


## $T$-solving method

equality graphs
$\checkmark$ congruence closure
$\operatorname{DPLL}(T)$ Simplex
$\operatorname{DPLL}(T)$ Simplex + cuts

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 x-2 y & \geqslant-1 \\
y & \leqslant 4 \\
2 x+y & \geqslant 5 \\
3 x-y & \leqslant 7
\end{aligned}
$$

- looking for solution in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$
- infinite $\mathbb{Q}^{2}$ solution space, six solutions in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$
- Simplex returns $\left(\frac{9}{7}, \frac{17}{7}\right)$



## Idea (Branch and Bound)

- add constraints that exclude solution in $\mathbb{Q}^{2}$ but do not change solutions in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$
- in current solution $1<x<2$, so use Simplex on two augmented problems:
- $C \wedge x \leqslant 1$
- $C \wedge x \geqslant 2$
unsatisfiable
satisfiable, Simplex can return $(2,1)$


## Algorithm BranchAndBound $(\varphi)$

Input: LIA constraint $\varphi$
Output: unsatisfiable, or satisfying assignment
$S \leftarrow$ decide $\varphi$ over $\mathbb{Q}$
$\triangleright$ e.g. by Simplex
if $S^{\prime}=$ unsatisfiable then
return unsatisfiable
else if $S$ is solution over $\mathbb{Z}$ then
return $S$
else
$x \leftarrow$ variable assigned non-integer value $q$ in $S$
$S^{\prime}=\operatorname{BranchAndBound}(\varphi \wedge x \leqslant\lfloor q\rfloor)$
if $S^{\prime} \neq$ unsatisfiable then return $S^{\prime}$
else
return $\operatorname{Branch} A n d B o u n d(\varphi \wedge x \geqslant\lceil q\rceil)$

## Definition

$\mathbb{Q}^{2}$-solution space of linear arithmetic problem $A x \leqslant b$ is bounded if for all $x_{i}$ there exist $l_{i}, u_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that all $\mathbb{Q}^{2}$-solutions $v$ satisfy $l_{i} \leqslant v\left(x_{i}\right) \leqslant u_{i}$

## Example



- $3 x-3 y \geqslant 1 \wedge 3 x-3 y \leqslant 2$
- unbounded problem
- no solution in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$
- BranchAndBound keeps adding $x \geqslant n, y \geqslant m$

$$
x \leqslant n, y \leqslant m
$$

## Remarks

- BranchAndBound might not terminate if solution space is unbounded
- methods exist to derive solution bounds from tableau, but bounds are often too high for efficient practical procedures
- use cutting planes to restrict solution space more efficiently


## LIA Application: Finding Work Schedules

## Example (Scheduling Problem)

Is there a six-week cyclic work schedule for 22 employees who work 8-hour shifts, 24/7, 5 working days per week such that the following holds: In morning and afternoon shifts 6 employees are present, in night shifts 3 . Joe does only morning shifts, Sally does not work on Sundays. Nobody works more than 6 days in a row.

## Shift Schedule Requirements

- number of employees $n$
- set of shifts $A$ (activities to be distributed)
- length of schedule (e.g. one week) and cyclicity
- requirement matrix $R$ : $R_{i j}$ is \# employees required in shift $i$ of day $j$
- prohibited shift sequences, maximal length of work blocks, ...


## LIA Encoding

- integer variable corresponding to employee for each activity
- cardinality constraints for requirement matrix
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## Fourier-Motzkin Elimination

## Aim

build theory solver for linear rational arithmetic (LRA):
decide whether conjunction of linear (in)equalities $\varphi$ is satisfiable over $\mathbb{Q}$
Preprocessing: eliminate $\neq$
$\left(t_{1} \neq t_{2}\right) \wedge \varphi$ is satisfiable iff $\left(t_{1}<t_{2}\right) \wedge \varphi$ or $\left(t_{1}>t_{2}\right) \wedge \varphi$ are satisfiable

## Definition (Elimination step)

- for variable $x$ in $\varphi$, can write $\varphi$ as

$$
\bigwedge_{i}\left(x<U_{i}\right) \wedge \bigwedge_{j}\left(x \leqslant u_{j}\right) \wedge \bigwedge_{k}\left(L_{k}<x\right) \wedge \bigwedge_{m}\left(\ell_{m} \leqslant x\right) \wedge \psi
$$

where $U_{i}, u_{j}, L_{k}, \ell_{m}, \psi$ are without $x$
formula without $x$

- let elim $(\varphi, x)$ be conjunction of

$$
\bigwedge_{i} \bigwedge_{k}\left(L_{k}<U_{i}\right) \quad \bigwedge_{i} \bigwedge_{m}\left(\ell_{m}<U_{i}\right) \quad \bigwedge_{j} \bigwedge_{k}\left(L_{k}<u_{j}\right) \quad \bigwedge_{j} \bigwedge_{m}\left(\ell_{m} \leqslant u_{j}\right) \quad \psi
$$

Lemma
$\varphi$ is LRA-satisfiable iff elim $(\varphi, x)$ is $L R A$-satisfiable

## Observation

- can subsequently eliminate all variables
- checking satisfiability of formula without variables is easy
- so obtain decision procedure for LRA!


## Example

... on blackboard
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