



Computability Theory

Aart Middeldorp

Outline

- 1. Summary of Previous Lecture**
- 2. Arithmetization**
- 3. Second Fixed Point Theorem**
- 4. Undecidability**
- 5. Typing**
- 6. Summary**

Definitions

- ▶ (**many-step**) **strategy** \mathcal{S} for ARS $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \rightarrow \rangle$ is relation $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}$ on A such that $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \rightarrow^+$ and $\text{NF}(\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}) = \text{NF}(\mathcal{A})$
- ▶ **one-step** strategy satisfies $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \rightarrow$
- ▶ strategy \mathcal{S} is **deterministic** if $a = b$ whenever $a \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}} b$
- ▶ strategy \mathcal{S} for ARS \mathcal{A} is **normalizing** if every normalizing element is \mathcal{S} -terminating
- ▶ strategy \mathcal{S} for ARS \mathcal{A} is **hyper-normalizing** if every normalizing element is terminating with respect to $\rightarrow^* \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \rightarrow^*$
- ▶ strategy \mathcal{S}_{\bullet} for ARS \mathcal{A} with Z property for \bullet : $a \xrightarrow{\bullet} b$ if $a \notin \text{NF}(\mathcal{A})$ and $b = a^{\bullet}$
- ▶ **root reduction** $\xrightarrow{\epsilon}$: $I t \xrightarrow{\epsilon} t$ $K t u \xrightarrow{\epsilon} t$ $S t u v \xrightarrow{\epsilon} t v (u v)$
- ▶ **leftmost outermost reduction** $\xrightarrow{\text{lo}}$:

$$\frac{t \xrightarrow{\epsilon} u}{t \xrightarrow{\text{lo}} u} \qquad \frac{t \xrightarrow{\text{lo}} u \quad t v \in \text{NF}(\xrightarrow{\epsilon})}{t v \xrightarrow{\text{lo}} u v} \qquad \frac{t \xrightarrow{\text{lo}} u \quad v t \in \text{NF}(\xrightarrow{\epsilon}) \quad v \in \text{NF}(\rightarrow)}{t v \xrightarrow{\text{lo}} v u}$$
- ▶ $t \xrightarrow{\neg \text{lo}} u$ if $t \rightarrow u$ but not $t \xrightarrow{\text{lo}} u$

Theorem

\mathcal{S}_\bullet is hyper-normalizing for every ARS with Z property for •

Theorem (Factorization)

$$\rightarrow^* \subseteq \xrightarrow{lo}^* \cdot \xrightarrow{\neg lo}^*$$

Normalization Theorem

leftmost outermost reduction is **hyper-normalizing**

Theorem

partial recursive functions are CL-representable by combinators in normal form

Part I: Recursive Function Theory

Ackermann function, bounded minimization, bounded recursion, course-of-values recursion, diagonalization, diophantine sets, elementary functions, fixed point theorem, Fibonacci numbers, Gödel numbering, Gödel's β function, Grzegorzcyk hierarchy, loop programs, minimization, normal form theorem, partial recursive functions, primitive recursion, recursive enumerability, recursive inseparability, s-m-n theorem, total recursive functions, undecidability, while programs, ...

Part II: Combinatory Logic and Lambda Calculus

α -equivalence, abstraction, arithmetization, β -reduction, CL-representability, combinators, combinatorial completeness, Church numerals, Church-Rosser theorem, Curry-Howard isomorphism, de Bruijn notation, η -reduction, fixed point theorem, intuitionistic propositional logic, λ -definability, normalization theorem, termination, typing, undecidability, Z property, ...

Part I: Recursive Function Theory

Ackermann function, bounded minimization, bounded recursion, course-of-values recursion, diagonalization, diophantine sets, elementary functions, fixed point theorem, Fibonacci numbers, Gödel numbering, Gödel's β function, Grzegorzcyk hierarchy, loop programs, minimization, normal form theorem, partial recursive functions, primitive recursion, recursive enumerability, recursive inseparability, s-m-n theorem, total recursive functions, undecidability, while programs, ...

Part II: Combinatory Logic and Lambda Calculus

α -equivalence, abstraction, **arithmetization**, β -reduction, CL-representability, combinators, combinatorial completeness, Church numerals, Church-Rosser theorem, Curry-Howard isomorphism, de Bruijn notation, η -reduction, **fixed point theorem**, intuitionistic propositional logic, λ -definability, normalization theorem, termination, **typing**, **undecidability**, Z property, ...

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
- 2. Arithmetization**
3. Second Fixed Point Theorem
4. Undecidability
5. Typing
6. Summary

Theorem

function φ is partial recursive $\iff \varphi$ is CL-representable

Theorem

function φ is partial recursive $\iff \varphi$ is CL-representable

Remark (Hindley and Seldin, CUP 2008)

The main theorem of this chapter will be that every partial recursive function can be represented in both λ and CL.

Theorem

function φ is partial recursive $\iff \varphi$ is CL-representable

Remark (Hindley and Seldin, CUP 2008)

The main theorem of this chapter will be that every partial recursive function can be represented in both λ and CL.

*The converse is also true, that every function representable in λ or CL is partial recursive. But its proof is too **boring** to include in this book.*

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(l) = \langle 0 \rangle$$

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle$$

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{S}) = \langle 2 \rangle$$

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{S}) = \langle 2 \rangle \quad g(tu) = \langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle$$

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{S}) = \langle 2 \rangle \quad g(tu) = \langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle \quad g(x_i) = \langle 4, i \rangle$$

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{S}) = \langle 2 \rangle \quad g(tu) = \langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle \quad g(x_i) = \langle 4, i \rangle$$

Definition

predicates $I(x)$, $K(x)$, $S(x)$, $A(x)$, $V(x)$, $\text{term}(x)$ are defined inductively:

$$I(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 0$$

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{S}) = \langle 2 \rangle \quad g(tu) = \langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle \quad g(x_i) = \langle 4, i \rangle$$

Definition

predicates $I(x)$, $K(x)$, $S(x)$, $A(x)$, $V(x)$, $\text{term}(x)$ are defined inductively:

$$I(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 0$$

$$K(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 1$$

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{S}) = \langle 2 \rangle \quad g(tu) = \langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle \quad g(x_i) = \langle 4, i \rangle$$

Definition

predicates $I(x)$, $K(x)$, $S(x)$, $A(x)$, $V(x)$, $\text{term}(x)$ are defined inductively:

$$I(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 0$$

$$K(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 1$$

$$S(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 2$$

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{S}) = \langle 2 \rangle \quad g(tu) = \langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle \quad g(x_i) = \langle 4, i \rangle$$

Definition

predicates $I(x)$, $K(x)$, $S(x)$, $A(x)$, $V(x)$, $\text{term}(x)$ are defined inductively:

$$I(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 0$$

$$K(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 1$$

$$S(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 2$$

$$A(x) \iff \text{triple}(x) \wedge (x)_1 = 3 \wedge \text{term}((x)_2) \wedge \text{term}((x)_3)$$

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{S}) = \langle 2 \rangle \quad g(tu) = \langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle \quad g(x_i) = \langle 4, i \rangle$$

Definition

predicates $I(x)$, $K(x)$, $S(x)$, $A(x)$, $V(x)$, $\text{term}(x)$ are defined inductively:

$$I(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 0$$

$$K(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 1$$

$$S(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 2$$

$$A(x) \iff \text{triple}(x) \wedge (x)_1 = 3 \wedge \text{term}((x)_2) \wedge \text{term}((x)_3)$$

$$V(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 2 \wedge (x)_1 = 4$$

Definition

Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{S}) = \langle 2 \rangle \quad g(tu) = \langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle \quad g(x_i) = \langle 4, i \rangle$$

Definition

predicates $I(x)$, $K(x)$, $S(x)$, $A(x)$, $V(x)$, $\text{term}(x)$ are defined inductively:

$$I(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 0$$

$$K(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 1$$

$$S(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 1 \wedge (x)_1 = 2$$

$$A(x) \iff \text{triple}(x) \wedge (x)_1 = 3 \wedge \text{term}((x)_2) \wedge \text{term}((x)_3)$$

$$V(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge \text{len}(x) = 2 \wedge (x)_1 = 4$$

$$\text{term}(x) \iff I(x) \vee K(x) \vee S(x) \vee A(x) \vee V(x)$$

$$\overline{I t \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{K t u \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{S t u v \rightarrow t v (u v)}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{t v \rightarrow u v}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{v t \rightarrow v u}$$

Definition

predicate $\text{step}(x, y)$ is inductively defined:

$$\text{step}(x, y) \iff \text{term}(x) \wedge \text{term}(y) \wedge A(x) \wedge$$

$$\overline{I t \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{K t u \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{S t u v \rightarrow t v (u v)}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{t v \rightarrow u v}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{v t \rightarrow v u}$$

Definition

predicate $\text{step}(x, y)$ is inductively defined:

$$\text{step}(x, y) \iff \text{term}(x) \wedge \text{term}(y) \wedge A(x) \wedge \left[I((x)_2) \wedge (x)_3 = y \right]$$

$$\overline{I t \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{K t u \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{S t u v \rightarrow t v (u v)}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{t v \rightarrow u v}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{v t \rightarrow v u}$$

Definition

predicate $\text{step}(x, y)$ is inductively defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{step}(x, y) \iff & \text{term}(x) \wedge \text{term}(y) \wedge A(x) \wedge \\ & \left[\begin{array}{l} I((x)_2) \wedge (x)_3 = y \\ \vee [A((x)_2) \wedge K((x)_{2,2}) \wedge (x)_{2,3} = y] \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{I t \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{K t u \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{S t u v \rightarrow t v (u v)}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{t v \rightarrow u v}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{v t \rightarrow v u}$$

Definition

predicate $\text{step}(x, y)$ is inductively defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{step}(x, y) \iff & \text{term}(x) \wedge \text{term}(y) \wedge A(x) \wedge \\ & \left[\begin{aligned} & [I((x)_2) \wedge (x)_3 = y] \\ & \vee [A((x)_2) \wedge K((x)_{2,2}) \wedge (x)_{2,3} = y] \\ & \vee [A((x)_2) \wedge A((x)_{2,2}) \wedge S((x)_{2,2,2}) \wedge A(y) \wedge A((y)_2) \wedge A((y)_3) \wedge \\ & \quad (x)_{2,2,3} = (y)_{2,2} \wedge (x)_{2,3} = (y)_{3,2} \wedge (x)_3 = (y)_{2,3} \wedge (x)_3 = (y)_{3,3}] \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{I t \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{K t u \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{S t u v \rightarrow t v (u v)}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{t v \rightarrow u v}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{v t \rightarrow v u}$$

Definition

predicate $\text{step}(x, y)$ is inductively defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{step}(x, y) \iff & \text{term}(x) \wedge \text{term}(y) \wedge A(x) \wedge \\ & \left[\begin{aligned} & [I((x)_2) \wedge (x)_3 = y] \\ & \vee [A((x)_2) \wedge K((x)_{2,2}) \wedge (x)_{2,3} = y] \\ & \vee [A((x)_2) \wedge A((x)_{2,2}) \wedge S((x)_{2,2,2}) \wedge A(y) \wedge A((y)_2) \wedge A((y)_3) \wedge \\ & \quad (x)_{2,2,3} = (y)_{2,2} \wedge (x)_{2,3} = (y)_{3,2} \wedge (x)_3 = (y)_{2,3} \wedge (x)_3 = (y)_{3,3}] \\ & \vee [A(y) \wedge \text{step}((x)_2, (y)_2) \wedge (x)_3 = (y)_3] \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{I t \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{K t u \rightarrow t}$$

$$\overline{S t u v \rightarrow t v (u v)}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{t v \rightarrow u v}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow u}{v t \rightarrow v u}$$

Definition

predicate $\text{step}(x, y)$ is inductively defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{step}(x, y) \iff & \text{term}(x) \wedge \text{term}(y) \wedge A(x) \wedge \\ & \left[\begin{aligned} & [I((x)_2) \wedge (x)_3 = y] \\ & \vee [A((x)_2) \wedge K((x)_{2,2}) \wedge (x)_{2,3} = y] \\ & \vee [A((x)_2) \wedge A((x)_{2,2}) \wedge S((x)_{2,2,2}) \wedge A(y) \wedge A((y)_2) \wedge A((y)_3) \wedge \\ & \quad (x)_{2,2,3} = (y)_{2,2} \wedge (x)_{2,3} = (y)_{3,2} \wedge (x)_3 = (y)_{2,3} \wedge (x)_3 = (y)_{3,3}] \\ & \vee [A(y) \wedge \text{step}((x)_2, (y)_2) \wedge (x)_3 = (y)_3] \\ & \vee [A(y) \wedge (x)_2 = (y)_2 \wedge \text{step}((x)_3, (y)_3)] \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Definitions

- ▶ predicates **reduction(x)** and **conversion(x)**

$$\text{reduction}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1})]$$

Definitions

- ▶ predicates $\text{reduction}(x)$ and $\text{conversion}(x)$

$$\text{reduction}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1})]$$

$$\text{conversion}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1}) \vee \text{step}((x)_{i+1}, (x)_i)]$$

Definitions

- ▶ predicates $\text{reduction}(x)$ and $\text{conversion}(x)$

$$\text{reduction}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1})]$$

$$\text{conversion}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1}) \vee \text{step}((x)_{i+1}, (x)_i)]$$

- ▶ predicates $\text{zero}(x)$ and $\text{numeral}(x)$

$$\text{zero}(x) \iff A(x) \wedge K((x)_2) \wedge I((x)_3)$$

Definitions

- ▶ predicates $\text{reduction}(x)$ and $\text{conversion}(x)$

$$\text{reduction}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1})]$$

$$\text{conversion}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1}) \vee \text{step}((x)_{i+1}, (x)_i)]$$

- ▶ predicates $\text{zero}(x)$ and **numeral**(x)

$$\text{zero}(x) \iff A(x) \wedge K((x)_2) \wedge I((x)_3)$$

$$\text{numeral}(x) \iff \text{zero}(x) \vee [A(x) \wedge A((x)_2) \wedge S((x)_{2,2}) \wedge B((x)_{2,3}) \wedge \text{numeral}((x)_3)]$$

Definitions

- ▶ predicates $\text{reduction}(x)$ and $\text{conversion}(x)$

$$\text{reduction}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1})]$$

$$\text{conversion}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1}) \vee \text{step}((x)_{i+1}, (x)_i)]$$

- ▶ predicates $\text{zero}(x)$ and $\text{numeral}(x)$

$$\text{zero}(x) \iff A(x) \wedge K((x)_2) \wedge I((x)_3)$$

$$\text{numeral}(x) \iff \text{zero}(x) \vee [A(x) \wedge A((x)_2) \wedge S((x)_{2,2}) \wedge B((x)_{2,3}) \wedge \text{numeral}((x)_3)]$$

- ▶ $\text{enc}(n) = \underline{g(n)}$

Definitions

- ▶ predicates $\text{reduction}(x)$ and $\text{conversion}(x)$

$$\text{reduction}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1})]$$

$$\text{conversion}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1}) \vee \text{step}((x)_{i+1}, (x)_i)]$$

- ▶ predicates $\text{zero}(x)$ and $\text{numeral}(x)$

$$\text{zero}(x) \iff A(x) \wedge K((x)_2) \wedge I((x)_3)$$

$$\text{numeral}(x) \iff \text{zero}(x) \vee [A(x) \wedge A((x)_2) \wedge S((x)_{2,2}) \wedge B((x)_{2,3}) \wedge \text{numeral}((x)_3)]$$

- ▶ $\text{enc}(n) = \text{g}(n)$

Example

$$\text{enc}(0) = \text{g}(KI)$$

Definitions

- ▶ predicates $\text{reduction}(x)$ and $\text{conversion}(x)$

$$\text{reduction}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1})]$$

$$\text{conversion}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1}) \vee \text{step}((x)_{i+1}, (x)_i)]$$

- ▶ predicates $\text{zero}(x)$ and $\text{numeral}(x)$

$$\text{zero}(x) \iff A(x) \wedge K((x)_2) \wedge I((x)_3)$$

$$\text{numeral}(x) \iff \text{zero}(x) \vee [A(x) \wedge A((x)_2) \wedge S((x)_{2,2}) \wedge B((x)_{2,3}) \wedge \text{numeral}((x)_3)]$$

- ▶ $\text{enc}(n) = \text{g}(n)$

Example

$$\text{enc}(0) = \text{g}(\text{KI}) = \langle 3, \langle 1 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle \rangle$$

Definitions

- ▶ predicates $\text{reduction}(x)$ and $\text{conversion}(x)$

$$\text{reduction}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1})]$$

$$\text{conversion}(x) \iff \text{seq}(x) \wedge (\forall i < \text{len}(x) \dot{-} 1) [\text{step}((x)_i, (x)_{i+1}) \vee \text{step}((x)_{i+1}, (x)_i)]$$

- ▶ predicates $\text{zero}(x)$ and $\text{numeral}(x)$

$$\text{zero}(x) \iff A(x) \wedge K((x)_2) \wedge I((x)_3)$$

$$\text{numeral}(x) \iff \text{zero}(x) \vee [A(x) \wedge A((x)_2) \wedge S((x)_{2,2}) \wedge B((x)_{2,3}) \wedge \text{numeral}((x)_3)]$$

- ▶ $\text{enc}(n) = \text{g}(n)$

Example

$$\text{enc}(0) = \text{g}(\text{KI}) = \langle 3, \langle 1 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle \rangle = 18375000$$

Definition

► function $\text{dec}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{dec}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{zero}(x) \\ \text{dec}((x)_3) + 1 & \text{if } \text{numeral}(x) \wedge \neg \text{zero}(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition

▶ function $\text{dec}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{dec}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{zero}(x) \\ \text{dec}((x)_3) + 1 & \text{if } \text{numeral}(x) \wedge \neg \text{zero}(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

CL-representable functions are **partial recursive**

Definition

▶ function $\text{dec}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{dec}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{zero}(x) \\ \text{dec}((x)_3) + 1 & \text{if } \text{numeral}(x) \wedge \neg \text{zero}(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

CL-representable functions are partial recursive

Proof

$$\text{first}(x) = (x)_1 \quad \text{last}(x) = (x)_{\text{len}(x)}$$

Definition

▶ function $\text{dec}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{dec}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{zero}(x) \\ \text{dec}((x)_3) + 1 & \text{if } \text{numeral}(x) \wedge \neg \text{zero}(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

CL-representable functions are partial recursive

Proof

$$\text{first}(x) = (x)_1 \quad \text{last}(x) = (x)_{\text{len}(x)}$$

$$\text{reduction}(i) \wedge \text{first}(i) = g(F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n}) \wedge \text{numeral}(\text{last}(i))$$

Definition

▶ function $\text{dec}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{dec}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{zero}(x) \\ \text{dec}((x)_3) + 1 & \text{if } \text{numeral}(x) \wedge \neg \text{zero}(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

CL-representable functions are partial recursive

Proof

$$\text{first}(x) = (x)_1 \quad \text{last}(x) = (x)_{\text{len}(x)}$$

$$\text{reduction}(i) \wedge \text{first}(i) = \mathbf{g(F \underline{x}_1 \cdots \underline{x}_n)} \wedge \text{numeral}(\text{last}(i))$$

Definition

▶ function $\text{dec}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{dec}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{zero}(x) \\ \text{dec}((x)_3) + 1 & \text{if } \text{numeral}(x) \wedge \neg \text{zero}(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

CL-representable functions are partial recursive

Proof

$$\text{first}(x) = (x)_1 \quad \text{last}(x) = (x)_{\text{len}(x)} \quad \mathfrak{g}(F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n}) = \langle 3, \dots \langle 3, \mathfrak{g}(F), \text{enc}(x_1) \rangle, \dots \text{enc}(x_n) \rangle$$

$$\text{reduction}(i) \wedge \text{first}(i) = \mathfrak{g}(F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n}) \wedge \text{numeral}(\text{last}(i))$$

Definition

▶ function $\text{dec}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{dec}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{zero}(x) \\ \text{dec}((x)_3) + 1 & \text{if } \text{numeral}(x) \wedge \neg \text{zero}(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

CL-representable functions are partial recursive

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \text{first}(x) &= (x)_1 & \text{last}(x) &= (x)_{\text{len}(x)} & \mathfrak{g}(F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n}) &= \langle 3, \dots \langle 3, \mathfrak{g}(F), \text{enc}(x_1) \rangle, \dots \text{enc}(x_n) \rangle \\ & & & & (\mu i) [& \text{reduction}(i) \wedge \text{first}(i) = \mathfrak{g}(F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n}) \wedge \text{numeral}(\text{last}(i))] \end{aligned}$$

Definition

▶ function $\text{dec}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{dec}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{zero}(x) \\ \text{dec}((x)_3) + 1 & \text{if } \text{numeral}(x) \wedge \neg \text{zero}(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

CL-representable functions are partial recursive

Proof

$$\text{first}(x) = (x)_1 \quad \text{last}(x) = (x)_{\text{len}(x)} \quad \mathfrak{g}(F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n}) = \langle 3, \dots \langle 3, \mathfrak{g}(F), \text{enc}(x_1) \rangle, \dots \text{enc}(x_n) \rangle$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \simeq \text{dec}(\text{last}((\mu i) [\text{reduction}(i) \wedge \text{first}(i) = \mathfrak{g}(F \underline{x_1} \cdots \underline{x_n}) \wedge \text{numeral}(\text{last}(i))]))$$

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Arithmetization
- 3. Second Fixed Point Theorem**
4. Undecidability
5. Typing
6. Summary

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g}(t)$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g}(t)$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g}(t)$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{\langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle}$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{\langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle} = \underline{g(tu)}$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g(t)}, \underline{g(u)} \rangle = \underline{g(tu)} = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g(t)}, \underline{g(u)} \rangle = \underline{g(tu)} = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$
- ▶ primitive recursive function $\text{enc}(x) = \underline{g(x)}$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g}(t)$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g}(t), \underline{g}(u) \rangle = \underline{g}(tu) = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$
- ▶ primitive recursive function $\text{enc}(x) = \underline{g}(x)$ is represented by combinator E

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g(t)}, \underline{g(u)} \rangle = \underline{g(tu)} = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$
- ▶ primitive recursive function $\text{enc}(x) = \underline{g(x)}$ is represented by combinator E
- ▶ $E \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{g(\ulcorner t \urcorner)}$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g(t)}, \underline{g(u)} \rangle = \underline{g(tu)} = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$
- ▶ primitive recursive function $\text{enc}(x) = \underline{g(x)}$ is represented by combinator E
- ▶ $E \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{g(\ulcorner t \urcorner)} = \ulcorner \ulcorner t \urcorner \urcorner$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g}(t)$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g}(t), \underline{g}(u) \rangle = \underline{g}(tu) = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$
- ▶ primitive recursive function $\text{enc}(x) = \underline{g}(x)$ is represented by combinator E
- ▶ $E \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{g}(\underline{g}(t)) = \ulcorner \ulcorner t \urcorner \urcorner$
- ▶ $Y = \langle x \rangle (F (A x (E x)))$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g(t)}, \underline{g(u)} \rangle = \underline{g(tu)} = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$
- ▶ primitive recursive function $\text{enc}(x) = \underline{g(x)}$ is represented by combinator E
- ▶ $E \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{g(\ulcorner t \urcorner)} = \ulcorner \ulcorner t \urcorner \urcorner$
- ▶ $Y = \langle x \rangle (F (A x (E x)))$ and $X = Y \ulcorner Y \urcorner$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g(t)}, \underline{g(u)} \rangle = \underline{g(tu)} = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$
- ▶ primitive recursive function $\text{enc}(x) = \underline{g(x)}$ is represented by combinator E
- ▶ $E \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{g(\ulcorner t \urcorner)} = \ulcorner \ulcorner t \urcorner \urcorner$
- ▶ $Y = \langle x \rangle (F (A x (E x)))$ and $X = Y \ulcorner Y \urcorner$
- ▶ $X \leftrightarrow^* F (A \ulcorner Y \urcorner (E \ulcorner Y \urcorner))$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g(t)}, \underline{g(u)} \rangle = \underline{g(tu)} = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$
- ▶ primitive recursive function $\text{enc}(x) = \underline{g(x)}$ is represented by combinator E
- ▶ $E \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{g(\ulcorner t \urcorner)} = \ulcorner \ulcorner t \urcorner \urcorner$
- ▶ $Y = \langle x \rangle (F (A x (E x)))$ and $X = Y \ulcorner Y \urcorner$
- ▶ $X \leftrightarrow^* F (A \ulcorner Y \urcorner (E \ulcorner Y \urcorner)) \leftrightarrow^* F (A \ulcorner Y \urcorner \ulcorner \ulcorner Y \urcorner \urcorner)$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g(t)}, \underline{g(u)} \rangle = \underline{g(tu)} = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$
- ▶ primitive recursive function $\text{enc}(x) = \underline{g(x)}$ is represented by combinator E
- ▶ $E \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{g(\ulcorner t \urcorner)} = \ulcorner \ulcorner t \urcorner \urcorner$
- ▶ $Y = \langle x \rangle (F (A x (E x)))$ and $X = Y \ulcorner Y \urcorner$
- ▶ $X \leftrightarrow^* F (A \ulcorner Y \urcorner (E \ulcorner Y \urcorner)) \leftrightarrow^* F (A \ulcorner Y \urcorner \ulcorner \ulcorner Y \urcorner \urcorner) \leftrightarrow^* F \ulcorner Y \urcorner \ulcorner Y \urcorner$

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = \underline{g(t)}$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Proof

- ▶ primitive recursive function $a(x, y) = \langle 3, x, y \rangle$ is represented by combinator A
- ▶ $A \ulcorner t \urcorner \ulcorner u \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \langle 3, \underline{g(t)}, \underline{g(u)} \rangle = \underline{g(tu)} = \ulcorner tu \urcorner$
- ▶ primitive recursive function $\text{enc}(x) = \underline{g(x)}$ is represented by combinator E
- ▶ $E \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{g(\ulcorner t \urcorner)} = \ulcorner \ulcorner t \urcorner \urcorner$
- ▶ $Y = \langle x \rangle (F (A x (E x)))$ and $X = Y \ulcorner Y \urcorner$
- ▶ $X \leftrightarrow^* F (A \ulcorner Y \urcorner (E \ulcorner Y \urcorner)) \leftrightarrow^* F (A \ulcorner Y \urcorner \ulcorner \ulcorner Y \urcorner \urcorner) \leftrightarrow^* F \ulcorner Y \urcorner \ulcorner Y \urcorner = F \ulcorner X \urcorner$

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Arithmetization
3. Second Fixed Point Theorem
- 4. Undecidability**
5. Typing
6. Summary

Definitions

- ▶ sets T and U of CL-terms are **recursively separable** if $\{g(t) \mid t \in T\}$ and $\{g(u) \mid u \in U\}$ are recursively separable

Definitions

- ▶ sets T and U of CL-terms are recursively separable if $\{g(t) \mid t \in T\}$ and $\{g(u) \mid u \in U\}$ are recursively separable
- ▶ set T of CL-terms is **conversion-closed** if $u \in T$ whenever $t \in T$ and $t \leftrightarrow^* u$

Definitions

- ▶ sets T and U of CL-terms are recursively separable if $\{g(t) \mid t \in T\}$ and $\{g(u) \mid u \in U\}$ are recursively separable
- ▶ set T of CL-terms is conversion-closed if $u \in T$ whenever $t \in T$ and $t \leftrightarrow^* u$

Theorem

non-empty conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are recursively inseparable

Definitions

- ▶ sets T and U of CL-terms are recursively separable if $\{g(t) \mid t \in T\}$ and $\{g(u) \mid u \in U\}$ are recursively separable
- ▶ set T of CL-terms is conversion-closed if $u \in T$ whenever $t \in T$ and $t \leftrightarrow^* u$

Theorem

non-empty conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are recursively inseparable

Proof

- ▶ non-empty conversion-closed sets T and U of CL-terms

Definitions

- ▶ sets T and U of CL-terms are recursively separable if $\{g(t) \mid t \in T\}$ and $\{g(u) \mid u \in U\}$ are recursively separable
- ▶ set T of CL-terms is conversion-closed if $u \in T$ whenever $t \in T$ and $t \leftrightarrow^* u$

Theorem

non-empty conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are recursively inseparable

Proof (by contradiction)

- ▶ non-empty conversion-closed sets T and U of CL-terms
- ▶ \exists recursive function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$t \in T \implies f(g(t)) = 0 \qquad t \in U \implies f(g(t)) = 1$$

Definitions

- ▶ sets T and U of CL-terms are recursively separable if $\{g(t) \mid t \in T\}$ and $\{g(u) \mid u \in U\}$ are recursively separable
- ▶ set T of CL-terms is conversion-closed if $u \in T$ whenever $t \in T$ and $t \leftrightarrow^* u$

Theorem

non-empty conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are recursively inseparable

Proof (by contradiction)

- ▶ non-empty conversion-closed sets T and U of CL-terms
- ▶ \exists recursive function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$t \in T \implies f(g(t)) = 0 \qquad t \in U \implies f(g(t)) = 1$$

- ▶ $V = \{t \mid f(g(t)) = 0\}$

Proof (cont'd)

▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

$$t \in V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{0}$$

$$t \notin V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{1}$$

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

$$t \in V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{0}$$

$$t \notin V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{1}$$

- ▶ $A \in T$ and $B \in U$

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

$$t \in V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{0}$$

$$t \notin V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{1}$$

- ▶ $A \in T$ and $B \in U$
- ▶ $G = \langle x \rangle (\text{zero? } (F x) B A)$

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

$$t \in V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{0}$$

$$t \notin V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{1}$$

- ▶ $A \in T$ and $B \in U$
- ▶ $G = \langle x \rangle (\text{zero? } (F x) B A)$

$$t \in V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B$$

$$t \notin V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A$$

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

$$t \in V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{0}$$

$$t \notin V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{1}$$

- ▶ $A \in T$ and $B \in U$
- ▶ $G = \langle x \rangle (\text{zero? } (F x) B A)$

$$t \in V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B$$

$$t \notin V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A$$

- ▶ $\exists X$ such that $G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$ by fixed point theorem

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

$$t \in V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{0}$$

$$t \notin V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{1}$$

- ▶ $A \in T$ and $B \in U$
- ▶ $G = \langle x \rangle (\text{zero? } (F x) B A)$

$$t \in V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B$$

$$t \notin V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A$$

- ▶ $\exists X$ such that $G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$ by fixed point theorem

$$X \in V$$

$$X \notin V$$

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

$$t \in V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{0}$$

$$t \notin V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{1}$$

- ▶ $A \in T$ and $B \in U$
- ▶ $G = \langle x \rangle (\text{zero? } (F x) B A)$

$$t \in V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B$$

$$t \notin V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A$$

- ▶ $\exists X$ such that $G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$ by fixed point theorem

$$X \in V \implies X \leftrightarrow^* G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B$$

$$X \notin V \implies X \leftrightarrow^* G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A$$

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

$$t \in V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{0}$$

$$t \notin V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{1}$$

- ▶ $A \in T$ and $B \in U$
- ▶ $G = \langle x \rangle (\text{zero? } (F x) B A)$

$$t \in V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B$$

$$t \notin V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A$$

- ▶ $\exists X$ such that $G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$ by fixed point theorem

$$X \in V \implies X \leftrightarrow^* G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B \implies X \in U$$

$$X \notin V \implies X \leftrightarrow^* G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A \implies X \in T$$

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

$$t \in V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{0}$$

$$t \notin V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{1}$$

- ▶ $A \in T$ and $B \in U$
- ▶ $G = \langle x \rangle (\text{zero? } (F x) B A)$

$$t \in V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B$$

$$t \notin V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A$$

- ▶ $\exists X$ such that $G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$ by fixed point theorem

$$X \in V \implies X \leftrightarrow^* G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B \implies X \in U \implies X \notin V$$

$$X \notin V \implies X \leftrightarrow^* G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A \implies X \in T \implies X \in V$$

Proof (cont'd)

- ▶ $T \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- ▶ f is represented by F

$$t \in V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{0}$$

$$t \notin V \implies F \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* \underline{1}$$

- ▶ $A \in T$ and $B \in U$
- ▶ $G = \langle x \rangle (\text{zero? } (F x) B A)$

$$t \in V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B$$

$$t \notin V \implies G \ulcorner t \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A$$

- ▶ $\exists X$ such that $G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$ by fixed point theorem

$$X \in V \implies X \leftrightarrow^* G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* B \implies X \in U \implies X \notin V$$

$$X \notin V \implies X \leftrightarrow^* G \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* A \implies X \in T \implies X \in V$$



Theorem

non-trivial conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are not recursive

Theorem

non-trivial conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are not recursive

Proof

▶ non-trivial conversion-closed set T of CL-terms

Theorem

non-trivial conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are not recursive

Proof

- ▶ non-trivial conversion-closed set T of CL-terms
- ▶ $\sim T = \{t \mid t \notin T\}$

Theorem

non-trivial conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are not recursive

Proof

- ▶ non-trivial conversion-closed set T of CL-terms
- ▶ $\sim T = \{t \mid t \notin T\}$ is non-empty conversion-closed set of CL-terms

Theorem

non-trivial conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are not recursive

Proof

- ▶ non-trivial conversion-closed set T of CL-terms
- ▶ $\sim T = \{t \mid t \notin T\}$ is non-empty conversion-closed set of CL-terms
- ▶ T and $\sim T$ are recursively inseparable

Theorem

non-trivial conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are not recursive

Proof

- ▶ non-trivial conversion-closed set T of CL-terms
- ▶ $\sim T = \{t \mid t \notin T\}$ is non-empty conversion-closed set of CL-terms
- ▶ T and $\sim T$ are recursively inseparable $\implies T$ is not recursive

Theorem

non-trivial conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are not recursive

Proof

- ▶ non-trivial conversion-closed set T of CL-terms
- ▶ $\sim T = \{t \mid t \notin T\}$ is non-empty conversion-closed set of CL-terms
- ▶ T and $\sim T$ are recursively inseparable $\implies T$ is not recursive

Corollary

set of normalizing CL-terms is not recursive

Theorem

non-trivial conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are not recursive

Proof

- ▶ non-trivial conversion-closed set T of CL-terms
- ▶ $\sim T = \{t \mid t \notin T\}$ is non-empty conversion-closed set of CL-terms
- ▶ T and $\sim T$ are recursively inseparable $\implies T$ is not recursive

Corollary

set of normalizing CL-terms is not recursive: decision problem

instance: CL-term t

question: is t normalizing ?

is undecidable

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Arithmetization
3. Second Fixed Point Theorem
4. Undecidability
- 5. Typing**
6. Summary

Definition (Types)

- ▶ infinite set \mathbb{V} of **type variables**

Definition (Types)

- ▶ infinite set \mathbb{V} of type variables
- ▶ set \mathbb{C} of **type constants**

Definition (Types)

- ▶ infinite set \mathbb{V} of type variables
- ▶ set \mathbb{C} of type constants
- ▶ set \mathbb{T} of **types** is defined inductively:
 - ▶ $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$
 - ▶ $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$
 - ▶ if $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{T}$ then $(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \in \mathbb{T}$

Definition (Types)

- ▶ infinite set \mathbb{V} of type variables
- ▶ set \mathbb{C} of type constants
- ▶ set \mathbb{T} of types is defined inductively:
 - ▶ $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$
 - ▶ $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$
 - ▶ if $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{T}$ then $(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \in \mathbb{T}$

Notation

- ▶ outermost parentheses are omitted
- ▶ \rightarrow is right-associative: $\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ stands for $\rho \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \tau)$

Definition (Type Assignment, Curry-style)

- ▶ type assignment formula $t : \tau$ with CL-term t and type τ

Definition (Type Assignment, Curry-style)

- ▶ type assignment formula $t : \tau$ with CL-term t and type τ
- ▶ type assignment system **TA**

$$\frac{}{\Gamma : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}$$

for all types σ

Definition (Type Assignment, Curry-style)

- ▶ type assignment formula $t : \tau$ with CL-term t and type τ
- ▶ type assignment system **TA**

$$\overline{I : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma}$$

for all types σ, τ

Definition (Type Assignment, Curry-style)

- ▶ type assignment formula $t : \tau$ with CL-term t and type τ
- ▶ type assignment system **TA**

$$\overline{I : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}$$

$$\overline{K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma}$$

$$\overline{S : (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau}$$

for all types σ, τ, ρ

Definition (Type Assignment, Curry-style)

- ▶ type assignment formula $t : \tau$ with CL-term t and type τ
- ▶ type assignment system **TA**

$$\overline{I : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{S : (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau}$$

$$\frac{t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad u : \sigma}{tu : \tau}$$

for all types σ, τ, ρ and CL-terms t and u

Definition (Type Assignment, Curry-style)

- ▶ type assignment formula $t : \tau$ with CL-term t and type τ
- ▶ type assignment system TA

$$\overline{I : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{S : (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau}$$

$$\frac{t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad u : \sigma}{tu : \tau}$$

for all types σ, τ, ρ and CL-terms t and u

Notation

$\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ if $t : \tau$ can be derived in TA from assumptions in Γ

Example ①

$\vdash \text{SKK} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ for all types σ

Example 1

$\vdash \text{SKK} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ for all types σ

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\text{S} : (\sigma \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{SK} : (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{SKK} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\frac{\frac{}{\text{K} : \sigma \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{K} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{SKK} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}$$

Example ①

$\vdash \text{SKK} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ for all types σ

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\text{S} : (\sigma \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{SK} : (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{SKK} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\frac{\frac{}{\text{K} : \sigma \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{K} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{SKK} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}$$

Example ②

$x : \sigma \rightarrow \tau, y : \sigma \vdash \text{Kxly} : \tau$

Example 1

$\vdash \text{SKK} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ for all types σ

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\text{S} : (\sigma \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{SK} : (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{SKK} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\frac{\frac{}{\text{K} : \sigma \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{K} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}{\text{SKK} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma}}$$

Example 2

$x : \sigma \rightarrow \tau, y : \sigma \vdash \text{Kxly} : \tau$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\text{K} : (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \rho) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau}}{\text{Kx} : (\rho \rightarrow \rho) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau}}{\text{Kxl} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau}}{\text{Kxly} : \tau}}{\frac{\frac{}{\text{I} : \rho \rightarrow \rho}}{\text{Kxly} : \tau}}{\text{Kxly} : \tau}}{y : \sigma}}$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

① $t = x$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = I$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash t:\tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t:\sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

① $t = x \implies [x]t = \mathbf{I}$ and $\sigma = \tau$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = I \text{ and } \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash I : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{l} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{l} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{l} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{I} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \notin \text{Var}(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{K}t$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash t:\tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t:\sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I}:\sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{I}:\sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \notin \text{Var}(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{K}t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t:\tau$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \notin \text{Var}(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{K}t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$$

$$\vdash \mathbf{K} : \tau \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \notin \text{Var}(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{K}t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$$

$$\vdash \mathbf{K} : \tau \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \notin \text{Var}(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{K}t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$$

$$\vdash \mathbf{K} : \tau \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad t = t_1 t_2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{S}([x]t_1)([x]t_2)$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \notin \text{Var}(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{K}t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$$

$$\vdash \mathbf{K} : \tau \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad t = t_1 t_2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{S}([x]t_1)([x]t_2) \text{ and } \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t_2 : \tau_1$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \notin \text{Var}(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{K}t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$$

$$\vdash \mathbf{K} : \tau \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad t = t_1 t_2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{S}([x]t_1)([x]t_2) \text{ and } \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t_2 : \tau_1$$

$$\Gamma \vdash [x]t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash [x]t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau_1 \text{ by induction hypothesis}$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \notin \text{Var}(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{K}t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$$

$$\vdash \mathbf{K} : \tau \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad t = t_1 t_2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{S}([x]t_1)([x]t_2) \text{ and } \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t_2 : \tau_1$$

$\Gamma \vdash [x]t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash [x]t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau_1$ by induction hypothesis

$$\vdash \mathbf{S} : (\sigma \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \tau_1) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Proof

induction on definition of $[x]t$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x \notin \text{Var}(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{K}t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$$

$$\vdash \mathbf{K} : \tau \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad t = t_1 t_2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [x]t = \mathbf{S}([x]t_1)([x]t_2) \text{ and } \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t_2 : \tau_1$$

$\Gamma \vdash [x]t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash [x]t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau_1$ by induction hypothesis

$$\vdash \mathbf{S} : (\sigma \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \tau_1) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}([x]t_1)([x]t_2) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

① $t = \lambda t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \lambda : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

① $t = \lambda t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \lambda : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

① $t = \lambda t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \lambda : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma \\ \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho$$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sigma = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \rho = \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1 : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \rho$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1 : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \rho \implies \vdash \mathbf{S} : \mu \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \mu$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1 : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \rho \implies \vdash \mathbf{S} : \mu \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \mu$
 $\implies \rho = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1$ and $\mu = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1 : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \rho \implies \vdash \mathbf{S} : \mu \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \mu$
 $\implies \rho = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1$ and $\mu = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1t_3 : \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1 : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \rho \implies \vdash \mathbf{S} : \mu \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \mu$
 $\implies \rho = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1$ and $\mu = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1t_3 : \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2t_3 : \rho_1$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1 : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \rho \implies \vdash \mathbf{S} : \mu \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \mu$
 $\implies \rho = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1$ and $\mu = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1t_3 : \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2t_3 : \rho_1$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1 : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \rho \implies \vdash \mathbf{S} : \mu \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \mu$
 $\implies \rho = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1$ and $\mu = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1t_3 : \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2t_3 : \rho_1$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ④ $t = t_1t_2 \rightarrow u_1t_2 = u$ with $t_1 \rightarrow u_1$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1 : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \rho \implies \vdash \mathbf{S} : \mu \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \mu$
 $\implies \rho = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1$ and $\mu = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1t_3 : \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2t_3 : \rho_1$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ④ $t = t_1t_2 \rightarrow u_1t_2 = u$ with $t_1 \rightarrow u_1 \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{I}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1 : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \rho \implies \vdash \mathbf{S} : \mu \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \mu$
 $\implies \rho = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1$ and $\mu = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1t_3 : \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2t_3 : \rho_1$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ④ $t = t_1t_2 \rightarrow u_1t_2 = u$ with $t_1 \rightarrow u_1 \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash u_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ by induction hypothesis

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof

- ① $t = \mathbf{!}t_1 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \vdash \mathbf{!} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \implies \sigma = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ② $t = \mathbf{K}t_1t_2 \rightarrow t_1 = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{K}t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \vdash \mathbf{K} : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \rho \implies \rho = \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ③ $t = \mathbf{S}t_1t_2t_3 \rightarrow t_1t_3(t_2t_3) = u \implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1t_2 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{S}t_1 : \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \rho \implies \vdash \mathbf{S} : \mu \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \mu$
 $\implies \rho = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1$ and $\mu = \sigma \rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1t_3 : \rho_1 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2t_3 : \rho_1$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$
- ④ $t = t_1t_2 \rightarrow u_1t_2 = u$ with $t_1 \rightarrow u_1 \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash u_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ by induction hypothesis $\implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof (cont'd)

⑤ $t = t_1 t_2 \rightarrow t_1 u_2 = u$ with $t_2 \rightarrow u_2$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof (cont'd)

⑤ $t = t_1 t_2 \rightarrow t_1 u_2 = u$ with $t_2 \rightarrow u_2 \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof (cont'd)

⑤ $t = t_1 t_2 \rightarrow t_1 u_2 = u$ with $t_2 \rightarrow u_2 \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash u_2 : \sigma$ by induction hypothesis

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof (cont'd)

⑤ $t = t_1 t_2 \rightarrow t_1 u_2 = u$ with $t_2 \rightarrow u_2 \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash u_2 : \sigma$ by induction hypothesis $\implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Proof (cont'd)

⑤ $t = t_1 t_2 \rightarrow t_1 u_2 = u$ with $t_2 \rightarrow u_2 \implies \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : \sigma$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash u_2 : \sigma$ by induction hypothesis $\implies \Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Definition

CL-term t with $\text{Var}(t) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is **typable** if

$$x_1 : \rho_1, \dots, x_n : \rho_n \vdash t : \tau$$

for some types $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n, \tau$

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Arithmetization
3. Second Fixed Point Theorem
4. Undecidability
5. Typing
- 6. Summary**

Important Concepts

- ▶ $\lceil t \rceil$
- ▶ arithmetization
- ▶ \mathbb{C}
- ▶ conversion-closed
- ▶ $\text{dec}(n)$
- ▶ $\text{enc}(n)$
- ▶ $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$
- ▶ $g(t)$
- ▶ Gödel number
- ▶ recursive separability
- ▶ SN
- ▶ subject reduction
- ▶ \mathbb{T}
- ▶ TA
- ▶ type
- ▶ type assignment
- ▶ type constant
- ▶ \mathbb{V}

Important Concepts

- ▶ $\lceil t \rceil$
- ▶ arithmetization
- ▶ \mathbb{C}
- ▶ conversion-closed
- ▶ $\text{dec}(n)$
- ▶ $\text{enc}(n)$
- ▶ $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$
- ▶ $g(t)$
- ▶ Gödel number
- ▶ recursive separability
- ▶ SN
- ▶ subject reduction
- ▶ \mathbb{T}
- ▶ TA
- ▶ type
- ▶ type assignment
- ▶ type constant
- ▶ \mathbb{V}

homework for December 11