



Computability Theory

Aart Middeldorp

Outline

- 1. Summary of Previous Lecture**
- 2. Strong Normalization**
- 3. Type Inference**
- 4. Type Inhabitation**
- 5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic**
- 6. Summary**
- 7. Test**

Definitions

- ▶ **Gödel number** of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(\mathbf{I}) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{K}) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(\mathbf{S}) = \langle 2 \rangle \quad g(tu) = \langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle \quad g(x_i) = \langle 4, i \rangle$$

- ▶ $\text{enc}(n) = g(\underline{n})$
- ▶ sets T and U of CL-terms are **recursively separable** if $\{g(t) \mid t \in T\}$ and $\{g(u) \mid u \in U\}$ are recursively separable
- ▶ set T of CL-terms is **conversion-closed** if $u \in T$ whenever $t \in T$ and $t \leftrightarrow^* u$

Theorem

CL-representable functions are **partial recursive**

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = g(\underline{t})$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Theorem

- ▶ non-empty conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are recursively inseparable
- ▶ non-trivial conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are not recursive

Corollary

set of normalizing CL-terms is not recursive: decision problem

instance: CL-term t

question: is t normalizing?

is undecidable

Definition (Types)

set \mathbb{T} of **types** is defined inductively:

- ▶ $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ infinite set of **type variables**
- ▶ $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ **type constants**
- ▶ if $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{T}$ then $(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \in \mathbb{T}$

Definition (Type Assignment, Curry-style)

- ▶ type assignment formula $t : \tau$ with CL-term t and type τ
- ▶ type assignment system **TA**

$$\overline{I : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{S : (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau}$$

$$\frac{t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad u : \sigma}{tu : \tau}$$

for all types σ, τ, ρ and CL-terms s and t

Notation

$\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ if $t : \tau$ can be derived in TA from assumptions in Γ

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Definition

CL-term t with $\text{Var}(t) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is **typable** if

$$x_1 : \rho_1, \dots, x_n : \rho_n \vdash t : \tau$$

for some types $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n, \tau$

Part I: Recursive Function Theory

Ackermann function, bounded minimization, bounded recursion, course-of-values recursion, diagonalization, diophantine sets, elementary functions, fixed point theorem, Fibonacci numbers, Gödel numbering, Gödel's β function, Grzegorzcyk hierarchy, loop programs, minimization, normal form theorem, partial recursive functions, primitive recursion, recursive enumerability, recursive inseparability, s-m-n theorem, total recursive functions, undecidability, while programs, ...

Part II: Combinatory Logic and Lambda Calculus

α -equivalence, abstraction, arithmetization, β -reduction, CL-representability, combinators, combinatorial completeness, Church numerals, Church-Rosser theorem, Curry-Howard isomorphism, de Bruijn notation, η -reduction, fixed point theorem, intuitionistic propositional logic, λ -definability, normalization theorem, termination, typing, undecidability, Z property, ...

Part I: Recursive Function Theory

Ackermann function, bounded minimization, bounded recursion, course-of-values recursion, diagonalization, diophantine sets, elementary functions, fixed point theorem, Fibonacci numbers, Gödel numbering, Gödel's β function, Grzegorzcyk hierarchy, loop programs, minimization, normal form theorem, partial recursive functions, primitive recursion, recursive enumerability, recursive inseparability, s-m-n theorem, total recursive functions, undecidability, while programs, ...

Part II: Combinatory Logic and Lambda Calculus

α -equivalence, abstraction, arithmetization, β -reduction, CL-representability, combinators, combinatorial completeness, Church numerals, Church-Rosser theorem, Curry-Howard isomorphism, de Bruijn notation, η -reduction, fixed point theorem, **intuitionistic propositional logic**, λ -definability, normalization theorem, **termination**, **typing**, undecidability, Z property, ...

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
- 2. Strong Normalization**
3. Type Inference
4. Type Inhabitation
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary
7. Test

Theorem (Strong Normalization)

typable CL-terms are terminating

Theorem (Strong Normalization)

typable CL-terms are terminating (SN)

Theorem (Strong Normalization)

typable CL-terms are terminating (SN)

Definition

typable CL-term t is **strongly computable (SC)** if

- ▶ t has atomic type $\tau \in \mathbb{V} \cup \mathbb{C}$ and is SN

Theorem (Strong Normalization)

typable CL-terms are terminating (SN)

Definition

typable CL-term t is **strongly computable (SC)** if

- ▶ t has atomic type $\tau \in \mathbb{V} \cup \mathbb{C}$ and is SN
- ▶ t has type $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and tu is SC whenever $u : \sigma$ is SC

Lemma ①

for any type τ

① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC

Lemma 1

for any type τ

- 1 every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- 2 every SC term of type τ is SN

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

Lemma 1

for any type τ

- 1 every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- 2 every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

- ▶ base case: τ is atomic

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

- ① $t = x u_1 \cdots u_n$ is SN

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \quad \implies \quad t \text{ is SC}$$

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

① $t = x u_1 \cdots u_n$ is SN $\implies t$ is SC

② $t : \tau$ is SC

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

① $t = x u_1 \cdots u_n$ is SN $\implies t$ is SC

② $t : \tau$ is SC $\implies t$ is SN

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

① $v : \rho$ is SC

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad v : \rho \text{ is SC} \implies v \text{ is SN}$$

Lemma 1

for any type τ

- every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad v : \rho \text{ is SC} \implies v \text{ is SN} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n v : \sigma \text{ is SC}$$

Lemma 1

for any type τ

- every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad v : \rho \text{ is SC} \implies v \text{ is SN} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n v : \sigma \text{ is SC} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n : \tau \text{ is SC}$$

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad v : \rho \text{ is SC} \implies v \text{ is SN} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n v : \sigma \text{ is SC} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n : \tau \text{ is SC}$$

② consider SC term $t : \tau$

Lemma 1

for any type τ

- every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad v : \rho \text{ is SC} \implies v \text{ is SN} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n v : \sigma \text{ is SC} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n : \tau \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{consider SC term } t : \tau \text{ and } x : \rho \text{ with } x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \text{Var}(t)$$

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad v : \rho \text{ is SC} \implies v \text{ is SN} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n v : \sigma \text{ is SC} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n : \tau \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{consider SC term } t : \tau \text{ and } x : \rho \text{ with } x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \text{Var}(t)$$

$$\implies x \text{ is SC}$$

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad v : \rho \text{ is SC} \implies v \text{ is SN} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n v : \sigma \text{ is SC} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n : \tau \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{consider SC term } t : \tau \text{ and } x : \rho \text{ with } x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \text{Var}(t)$$

$$\implies x \text{ is SC} \implies t x \text{ is SC}$$

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad v : \rho \text{ is SC} \implies v \text{ is SN} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n v : \sigma \text{ is SC} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n : \tau \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{consider SC term } t : \tau \text{ and } x : \rho \text{ with } x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \text{Var}(t)$$

$$\implies x \text{ is SC} \implies tx \text{ is SC} \implies tx \text{ is SN}$$

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $x u_1 \cdots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

► base case: τ is atomic

$$\textcircled{1} \quad t = x u_1 \cdots u_n \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad t : \tau \text{ is SC} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

► step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad v : \rho \text{ is SC} \implies v \text{ is SN} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n v : \sigma \text{ is SC} \implies x u_1 \cdots u_n : \tau \text{ is SC}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{consider SC term } t : \tau \text{ and } x : \rho \text{ with } x \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \text{Var}(t)$$

$$\implies x \text{ is SC} \implies t x \text{ is SC} \implies t x \text{ is SN} \implies t \text{ is SN}$$

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$

▶ consider arbitrary SC terms $s : \sigma \quad t : \tau \quad u_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n : \sigma_n$

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

- ▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$
- ▶ consider arbitrary SC terms $s : \sigma \quad t : \tau \quad u_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n : \sigma_n$
- ▶ s is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SC

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

- ▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$
- ▶ consider arbitrary SC terms $s : \sigma \quad t : \tau \quad u_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n : \sigma_n$
- ▶ s is SC \implies $su_1 \dots u_n$ is SC \implies $su_1 \dots u_n$ is SN

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

- ▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$
- ▶ consider arbitrary **SC terms** $s : \sigma$ $t : \tau$ $u_1 : \sigma_1$... $u_n : \sigma_n$
- ▶ s is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN
- ▶ t is SC $\implies t$ is SN

Lemma 2

S , K and I are SC

Proof

$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

- ▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$
- ▶ consider arbitrary SC terms $s : \sigma \quad t : \tau \quad u_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n : \sigma_n$
- ▶ s is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN
- ▶ t is SC $\implies t$ is SN
- ▶ $K s t u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

- ▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$
- ▶ consider arbitrary SC terms $s : \sigma \quad t : \tau \quad u_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n : \sigma_n$
- ▶ s is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN
- ▶ t is SC $\implies t$ is SN
- ▶ $K s t u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN: any infinite reduction starts with

$$K s t u_1 \dots u_n \rightarrow^* K s' t' u'_1 \dots u'_n$$

with $s \rightarrow^* s' \quad t \rightarrow^* t' \quad u_1 \rightarrow^* u'_1 \quad u_n \rightarrow^* u'_n$

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

K : $\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

- ▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$
- ▶ consider arbitrary SC terms $s : \sigma \quad t : \tau \quad u_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n : \sigma_n$
- ▶ s is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN
- ▶ t is SC $\implies t$ is SN
- ▶ $K s t u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN: any infinite reduction starts with

$$K s t u_1 \dots u_n \rightarrow^* K s' t' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow s' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow^* \dots$$

with $s \rightarrow^* s' \quad t \rightarrow^* t' \quad u_1 \rightarrow^* u'_1 \quad u_n \rightarrow^* u'_n$

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

- ▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$
- ▶ consider arbitrary SC terms $s : \sigma \quad t : \tau \quad u_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n : \sigma_n$
- ▶ s is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN
- ▶ t is SC $\implies t$ is SN
- ▶ $K s t u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN: any infinite reduction starts with

$$\begin{aligned} K s t u_1 \dots u_n &\rightarrow^* K s' t' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow s' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow^* \dots \\ &\implies s u_1 \dots u_n \rightarrow s' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow^* \dots \end{aligned}$$

with $s \rightarrow^* s' \quad t \rightarrow^* t' \quad u_1 \rightarrow^* u'_1 \quad u_n \rightarrow^* u'_n$

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

K : $\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

- ▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$
- ▶ consider arbitrary SC terms $s : \sigma \quad t : \tau \quad u_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n : \sigma_n$
- ▶ s is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN
- ▶ t is SC $\implies t$ is SN
- ▶ $K s t u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN: any infinite reduction starts with

$$\begin{aligned} K s t u_1 \dots u_n &\rightarrow^* K s' t' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow s' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow^* \dots \\ &\implies s u_1 \dots u_n \rightarrow s' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow^* \dots \end{aligned}$$

with $s \rightarrow^* s' \quad t \rightarrow^* t' \quad u_1 \rightarrow^* u'_1 \quad u_n \rightarrow^* u'_n$

Lemma 2

S, K and I are SC

Proof

K : $\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

- ▶ $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$
- ▶ consider arbitrary SC terms $s : \sigma \quad t : \tau \quad u_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n : \sigma_n$
- ▶ s is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SC $\implies s u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN
- ▶ t is SC $\implies t$ is SN
- ▶ $K s t u_1 \dots u_n$ is SN: any infinite reduction starts with

$$\begin{aligned} K s t u_1 \dots u_n &\rightarrow^* K s' t' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow s' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow^* \dots \\ &\implies s u_1 \dots u_n \rightarrow s' u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow^* \dots \end{aligned}$$

with $s \rightarrow^* s' \quad t \rightarrow^* t' \quad u_1 \rightarrow^* u'_1 \quad u_n \rightarrow^* u'_n$

S and I: homework exercise

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Proof

induction on term t

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Proof

induction on term t

▶ t is variable

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Proof

induction on term t

▶ t is variable $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ①

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Proof

induction on term t

- ▶ t is variable $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ①
- ▶ $t \in \{I, K, S\}$

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Proof

induction on term t

- ▶ t is variable $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ①
- ▶ $t \in \{I, K, S\}$ $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ②

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Proof

induction on term t

- ▶ t is variable $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ①
- ▶ $t \in \{I, K, S\}$ $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ②
- ▶ $t = t_1 t_2$

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Proof

induction on term t

- ▶ t is variable $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ①
- ▶ $t \in \{I, K, S\}$ $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ②
- ▶ $t = t_1 t_2$ $\implies t_1$ and t_2 are SC by induction hypothesis

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Proof

induction on term t

- ▶ t is variable $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ①
- ▶ $t \in \{I, K, S\}$ $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ②
- ▶ $t = t_1 t_2$ $\implies t_1$ and t_2 are SC by induction hypothesis $\implies t$ is SC

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Proof

induction on term t

- ▶ t is variable $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ①
- ▶ $t \in \{I, K, S\}$ $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ②
- ▶ $t = t_1 t_2$ $\implies t_1$ and t_2 are SC by induction hypothesis $\implies t$ is SC

Corollary

typable CL-terms are SN

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
- 3. Type Inference**
4. Type Inhabitation
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary
7. Test

Theorem

problem

instance: CL-term t

question: is t typable ?

is decidable

Theorem

problem

instance: CL-term t

question: is t typable ?

is decidable

Definition

principal type of combinator t is any type σ such that

① $\vdash t : \sigma$

Theorem

problem

instance: CL-term t

question: is t typable ?

is decidable

Definition

principal type of combinator t is any type σ such that

- ① $\vdash t : \sigma$
- ② if $\vdash t : \tau$ then τ is substitution instance of σ

Theorem

problem

instance: CL-term t

question: is t typable ?

is decidable

Definition

principal type of combinator t is any type σ such that

- ① $\vdash t : \sigma$
- ② if $\vdash t : \tau$ then τ is substitution instance of σ

Example

SKK has principal type $a \rightarrow a$ (where a is type variable)

Theorem

every typable combinator has principal type

Theorem

every typable combinator has principal type

Type Inference

principal types can be computed by typing rules of TA (with type variables σ, τ, ρ)

$$\overline{I : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{S : (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau}$$

$$\frac{t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad u : \sigma}{tu : \tau}$$

and unification algorithm

Example ①

principle type of SKK

Example ①

principle type of $SKK : \beta$

► $SK : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

Example 1

principle type of $SKK : \beta$

▶ $SK : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

Example 1

principle type of $SKK : \beta$

▶ $SK : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $K : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

Example 1

principle type of $SKK : \beta$

▶ $SK : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $K : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

Example 1

principle type of $SKK : \beta$

▶ $SK : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $K : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

Example ①

principle type of SKK : β

▶ SK : $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ K : α

▶ S : $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$ K : γ

▶ unification problem

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 & \delta &\approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta & \gamma \rightarrow \delta &\approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ \gamma &\approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 \end{aligned}$$

Example 1

principle type of $\mathbf{SKK} : \beta$

▶ $\mathbf{SK} : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $\mathbf{K} : \alpha$

▶ $\mathbf{S} : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $\mathbf{K} : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\begin{array}{l} \alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ \gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 \quad \gamma \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \end{array}$$

Example 1

principle type of $SKK : \beta$

▶ $SK : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $K : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 \quad \gamma \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\alpha \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

Example 1

principle type of $SKK : \beta$

▶ $SK : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $K : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

$$\delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\gamma \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\alpha \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2$$

$$\beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\rho_2 \approx \sigma_1$$

$$\sigma_2 \approx \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

Example 1

principle type of $\mathbf{SKK} : \beta$

▶ $\mathbf{SK} : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $\mathbf{K} : \alpha$

▶ $\mathbf{S} : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $\mathbf{K} : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 \quad \gamma \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \rho_2 \approx \sigma_1 \quad \sigma_2 \approx \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2$$

$$\tau_3 \approx \sigma_2$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \tau_2$$

Example 1

principle type of **SKK** : β

▶ **SK** : $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ **K** : α

▶ **S** : $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$ **K** : γ

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\sigma_2 \approx \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \approx \tau_3$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_1 \approx \tau_2$$

Example 1

principle type of **SKK** : β

▶ **SK** : $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ **K** : α

▶ **S** : $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$ **K** : γ

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\sigma_2 \approx \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \approx \tau_3$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_1 \approx \tau_2$$

▶ mgu

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \alpha \mapsto \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 & \gamma \mapsto \sigma_1 \rightarrow (\tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1) \rightarrow \sigma_1 \\ \beta \mapsto \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 & \delta \mapsto (\sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1) \rightarrow \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \end{array} \right\}$$

Example 1

principle type of **SKK**: $\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$

▶ **SK**: $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ **K**: α

▶ **S**: $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$ **K**: γ

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\sigma_2 \approx \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \approx \tau_3$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_1 \approx \tau_2$$

▶ mgu

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \alpha \mapsto \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 & \gamma \mapsto \sigma_1 \rightarrow (\tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1) \rightarrow \sigma_1 \\ \beta \mapsto \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 & \delta \mapsto (\sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1) \rightarrow \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \end{array} \right\}$$

Example ②

SII

Example ②

SII

► $SI : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

Example ②

SII

▶ $SI : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $I : \alpha$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

Example ②

SII

▶ **S**I : $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ I : α

▶ **S** : $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$ I : γ

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

Example ②

SII

▶ $SI : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \qquad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

Example ②

SII

▶ $S I : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

$$\delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

Example 2

SII

▶ $SI : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

$$\delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

Example 2

SII

▶ $SI : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

$$\delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\gamma \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

Example 2

SII

▶ $SI : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

$$\delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\gamma \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

Example 2

SII

▶ $SI : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \qquad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\alpha \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \qquad \beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

Example 2

SII

▶ $SI : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\alpha \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \sigma_1 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_2$$

Example 2

SII

▶ $S I : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \qquad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \approx \sigma_1 \approx \sigma_2$$

$$\beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

Example 2

SII

▶ $SI : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \qquad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \approx \sigma_1 \approx \sigma_2$$

$$\beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

has no solution

Example 2

SII cannot be typed

▶ $SI : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \qquad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \approx \sigma_1 \approx \sigma_2$$

$$\beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

has no solution

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K$

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : \beta$

▶ $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : \beta$

▶ $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : \beta$

▶ $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $KS : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : \beta$

▶ $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $KS : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : \beta$

▶ $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $KS : \gamma$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : \beta$

▶ $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $KS : \gamma$

▶ $K : \epsilon \rightarrow \eta$ $S : \epsilon$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

Example 3

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : \beta$

▶ $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $KS : \gamma$

▶ $K : \epsilon \rightarrow \eta$ $S : \epsilon$

▶ unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$
$$\gamma \approx \eta$$

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : \beta$

▶ $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $KS : \gamma$

▶ $K : \epsilon \rightarrow \eta$ $S : \epsilon$

▶ unification problem

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 & \delta &\approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta & \gamma \rightarrow \delta &\approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ \gamma &\approx \eta & \epsilon \rightarrow \eta &\approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 \end{aligned}$$

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : \beta$

▶ $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $KS : \gamma$

▶ $K : \epsilon \rightarrow \eta$ $S : \epsilon$

▶ unification problem

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 & \delta &\approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta & \gamma \rightarrow \delta &\approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ \gamma &\approx \eta & \epsilon \rightarrow \eta &\approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 & \epsilon &\approx (\rho_4 \rightarrow \sigma_4 \rightarrow \tau_4) \rightarrow (\rho_4 \rightarrow \sigma_4) \rightarrow \rho_4 \rightarrow \tau_4 \end{aligned}$$

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : (\sigma_4 \rightarrow \tau_4) \rightarrow (\rho_4 \rightarrow \sigma_4) \rightarrow \rho_4 \rightarrow \tau_4$

▶ $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ $K : \alpha$

▶ $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ $KS : \gamma$

▶ $K : \epsilon \rightarrow \eta$ $S : \epsilon$

▶ unification problem

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 & \delta &\approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta & \gamma \rightarrow \delta &\approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ \gamma &\approx \eta & \epsilon \rightarrow \eta &\approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 & \epsilon &\approx (\rho_4 \rightarrow \sigma_4 \rightarrow \tau_4) \rightarrow (\rho_4 \rightarrow \sigma_4) \rightarrow \rho_4 \rightarrow \tau_4 \end{aligned}$$

▶ mgu

$$\left\{ \beta \mapsto (\sigma_4 \rightarrow \tau_4) \rightarrow (\rho_4 \rightarrow \sigma_4) \rightarrow \rho_4 \rightarrow \tau_4 \quad \dots \right\}$$

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
3. Type Inference
- 4. Type Inhabitation**
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary
7. Test

Definition

type τ is **inhabited** if $\vdash t : \tau$ for some combinator t

Definition

type τ is inhabited if $\vdash t : \tau$ for some combinator t

Remark

not every type is inhabited

Definition

type τ is inhabited if $\vdash t : \tau$ for some combinator t

Remark

not every type is inhabited

Theorem

problem

instance: type τ

question: is τ inhabited ?

is decidable

Remark

$$I : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$S : (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau$$

Remark

$$\sigma \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$(\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau$$

valid formulas in propositional logic

Remark

$$\sigma \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$(\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau$$

valid formulas in **intuitionistic** propositional logic

Theorem (Curry–Howard)

type τ (without type constants) is inhabited if and only if τ is valid formula in implication fragment of intuitionistic propositional logic

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
3. Type Inference
4. Type Inhabitation
- 5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic**
Kripke models
6. Summary
7. Test

▶ basic connectives \rightarrow \wedge \vee \perp

Syntax

- ▶ basic connectives $\rightarrow \wedge \vee \perp$
- ▶ derived connectives
 - ▶ $\neg\varphi$ abbreviates $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$

Syntax

- ▶ basic connectives $\rightarrow \wedge \vee \perp$
- ▶ derived connectives
 - ▶ $\neg\varphi$ abbreviates $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ \top abbreviates $\perp \rightarrow \perp$

Syntax

- ▶ basic connectives $\rightarrow \wedge \vee \perp$
- ▶ derived connectives
 - ▶ $\neg\varphi$ abbreviates $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ \top abbreviates $\perp \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ abbreviates $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$

Syntax

- ▶ basic connectives $\rightarrow \wedge \vee \perp$
- ▶ derived connectives
 - ▶ $\neg\varphi$ abbreviates $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ \top abbreviates $\perp \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ abbreviates $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- ▶ **implication fragment** contains only \rightarrow

Syntax

- ▶ basic connectives $\rightarrow \wedge \vee \perp$
- ▶ derived connectives
 - ▶ $\neg\varphi$ abbreviates $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ \top abbreviates $\perp \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ abbreviates $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- ▶ implication fragment contains only \rightarrow

Formal Semantics

- ▶ Heyting algebras
- ▶ Kripke models

Syntax

- ▶ basic connectives $\rightarrow \wedge \vee \perp$
- ▶ derived connectives
 - ▶ $\neg\varphi$ abbreviates $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ \top abbreviates $\perp \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ abbreviates $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- ▶ implication fragment contains only \rightarrow

Formal Semantics

- ▶ Heyting algebras
- ▶ **Kripke models**

Definition

Kripke model is triple $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$

Definition

Kripke model is triple $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with

- ▶ non-empty set C of states

Definition

Kripke model is triple $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with

- ▶ non-empty set C of states
- ▶ partial order \leq on C

Definition

Kripke model is triple $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with

- ▶ non-empty set C of states
- ▶ partial order \leq on C
- ▶ binary relation \Vdash between elements of C and propositional atoms

Definition

Kripke model is triple $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with

- ▶ non-empty set C of states
- ▶ partial order \leq on C
- ▶ binary relation \Vdash between elements of C and propositional atoms such that $d \Vdash p$ whenever $c \Vdash p$ and $c \leq d$

Definition

Kripke model is triple $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with

- ▶ non-empty set C of states
- ▶ partial order \leq on C
- ▶ binary relation \Vdash between elements of C and propositional atoms such that $d \Vdash p$ whenever $c \Vdash p$ and $c \leq d$

Definition

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$, $c \in C$

- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \wedge \psi$ if and only if $c \Vdash \varphi$ and $c \Vdash \psi$

Definition

Kripke model is triple $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with

- ▶ non-empty set C of states
- ▶ partial order \leq on C
- ▶ binary relation \Vdash between elements of C and propositional atoms such that $d \Vdash p$ whenever $c \Vdash p$ and $c \leq d$

Definition

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$, $c \in C$

- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \wedge \psi$ if and only if $c \Vdash \varphi$ and $c \Vdash \psi$
- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \vee \psi$ if and only if $c \Vdash \varphi$ or $c \Vdash \psi$

Definition

Kripke model is triple $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with

- ▶ non-empty set C of states
- ▶ partial order \leq on C
- ▶ binary relation \Vdash between elements of C and propositional atoms such that $d \Vdash p$ whenever $c \Vdash p$ and $c \leq d$

Definition

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$, $c \in C$

- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \wedge \psi$ if and only if $c \Vdash \varphi$ and $c \Vdash \psi$
- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \vee \psi$ if and only if $c \Vdash \varphi$ or $c \Vdash \psi$
- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ if and only if $d \Vdash \psi$ for all $d \geq c$ with $d \Vdash \varphi$

Definition

Kripke model is triple $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with

- ▶ non-empty set C of states
- ▶ partial order \leq on C
- ▶ binary relation \Vdash between elements of C and propositional atoms such that $d \Vdash p$ whenever $c \Vdash p$ and $c \leq d$

Definition

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$, $c \in C$

- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \wedge \psi$ if and only if $c \Vdash \varphi$ and $c \Vdash \psi$
- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \vee \psi$ if and only if $c \Vdash \varphi$ or $c \Vdash \psi$
- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ if and only if $d \Vdash \psi$ for all $d \geq c$ with $d \Vdash \varphi$
- ▶ $c \not\Vdash \perp$

Terminology

c forces p if $c \Vdash p$

Terminology

c forces p if $c \Vdash p$

Example

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with $C = \{a, b, c\}$, $a \leq b$, $a \leq c$, $b \Vdash p$, $c \Vdash q$

Terminology

c forces p if $c \Vdash p$

Example

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with $C = \{a, b, c\}$, $a \leq b$, $a \leq c$, $b \Vdash p$, $c \Vdash q$

► $a \Vdash (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$

Terminology

c forces p if $c \Vdash p$

Example

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with $C = \{a, b, c\}$, $a \leq b$, $a \leq c$, $b \Vdash p$, $c \Vdash q$

- ▶ $a \Vdash (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$
- ▶ $a \Vdash \neg\neg(p \vee q)$

Terminology

c forces p if $c \Vdash p$

Example

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with $C = \{a, b, c\}$, $a \leq b$, $a \leq c$, $b \Vdash p$, $c \Vdash q$

- ▶ $a \Vdash (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$
- ▶ $a \Vdash \neg\neg(p \vee q)$
- ▶ $a \not\Vdash p \vee \neg p$

Terminology

c forces p if $c \Vdash p$

Example

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with $C = \{a, b, c\}$, $a \leq b$, $a \leq c$, $b \Vdash p$, $c \Vdash q$

- ▶ $a \Vdash (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$
- ▶ $a \Vdash \neg\neg(p \vee q)$
- ▶ $a \not\Vdash p \vee \neg p$

Definition

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$, $c \in C$

- ▶ $c \Vdash \Gamma$ if $c \Vdash \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \Gamma$

Terminology

c forces p if $c \Vdash p$

Example

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with $C = \{a, b, c\}$, $a \leq b$, $a \leq c$, $b \Vdash p$, $c \Vdash q$

- ▶ $a \Vdash (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$
- ▶ $a \Vdash \neg\neg(p \vee q)$
- ▶ $a \not\Vdash p \vee \neg p$

Definition

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$, $c \in C$

- ▶ $c \Vdash \Gamma$ if $c \Vdash \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \Gamma$
- ▶ $\mathcal{C} \Vdash \varphi$ if $c \Vdash \varphi$ for all $c \in C$

Definition

$\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$ if $c \Vdash \varphi$ whenever $c \Vdash \Gamma$ for all Kripke models $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ and $c \in C$

Definition

$\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$ if $c \Vdash \varphi$ whenever $c \Vdash \Gamma$ for all Kripke models $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ and $c \in C$

Lemma (Monotonicity)

if $c \leq d$ and $c \Vdash \varphi$ then $d \Vdash \varphi$

Definition

$\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$ if $c \Vdash \varphi$ whenever $c \Vdash \Gamma$ for all Kripke models $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ and $c \in C$

Lemma (Monotonicity)

if $c \leq d$ and $c \Vdash \varphi$ then $d \Vdash \varphi$

Lemma

if $\Vdash \varphi \vee \psi$ then $\Vdash \varphi$ or $\Vdash \psi$

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
3. Type Inference
4. Type Inhabitation
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
- 6. Summary**
7. Test

Important Concepts

- ▶ \Vdash
- ▶ implication fragment
- ▶ intuitionistic propositional logic
- ▶ Kripke model
- ▶ principal type
- ▶ SC
- ▶ type inference
- ▶ type inhabitation

Important Concepts

- ▶ \Vdash
- ▶ implication fragment
- ▶ intuitionistic propositional logic
- ▶ Kripke model
- ▶ principal type
- ▶ SC
- ▶ type inference
- ▶ type inhabitation

homework for January 8

Important Concepts

- ▶ \Vdash
- ▶ implication fragment
- ▶ intuitionistic propositional logic
- ▶ Kripke model
- ▶ principal type
- ▶ SC
- ▶ type inference
- ▶ type inhabitation

homework for January 8

next lecture (January 8): online evaluation in presence

Important Concepts

- ▶ \Vdash
- ▶ implication fragment
- ▶ intuitionistic propositional logic
- ▶ Kripke model
- ▶ principal type
- ▶ SC
- ▶ type inference
- ▶ type inhabitation

homework for January 8

next lecture (January 8): online evaluation in presence \implies bring device

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
3. Type Inference
4. Type Inhabitation
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary
- 7. Test**

Test on January 29

- ▶ 15:15 – 18:00 in HS 10

Test on January 29

- ▶ 15:15 – 18:00 in HS 10
- ▶ online registration required before 10 am on January 23

Test on January 29

- ▶ 15:15 – 18:00 in HS 10
- ▶ online registration required before 10 am on January 23
- ▶ closed book

Test on January 29

- ▶ 15:15 – 18:00 in HS 10
- ▶ online registration required before 10 am on January 23
- ▶ closed book

Earlier Exams / Tests

- ▶ SS 2022 (test)
- ▶ WS 2017 – 2
- ▶ WS 2017 – 1
- ▶ WS 2014 – 2
- ▶ WS 2014 – 1
- ▶ SS 2012
- ▶ SS 2008 – 2
- ▶ SS 2008 – 1
- ▶ SS 2007
- ▶ SS 2006 – 2
- ▶ SS 2006 – 1
- ▶ WS 2004