



Computability Theory

Aart Middeldorp

Definitions

- Gödel number of CL-term is defined inductively:

$$g(l) = \langle 0 \rangle \quad g(K) = \langle 1 \rangle \quad g(S) = \langle 2 \rangle \quad g(tu) = \langle 3, g(t), g(u) \rangle \quad g(x_i) = \langle 4, i \rangle$$

$$\text{enc}(n) = g(n)$$

- sets T and U of CL-terms are **recursively separable** if $\{g(t) \mid t \in T\}$ and $\{g(u) \mid u \in U\}$ are recursively separable
- set T of CL-terms is **conversion-closed** if $u \in T$ whenever $t \in T$ and $t \leftrightarrow^* u$

Theorem

CL-representable functions are **partial recursive**

Notation

$\ulcorner t \urcorner = g(t)$ is Church numeral of Gödel number of CL-term t

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
3. Type Inference
4. Type Inhabitation
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary
7. Test

Theorem

\forall CL-term $F \ \exists$ CL-term X such that $F \ulcorner X \urcorner \leftrightarrow^* X$

Theorem

- non-empty conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are recursively inseparable
- non-trivial conversion-closed sets of CL-terms are not recursive

Corollary

set of normalizing CL-terms is not recursive: decision problem

instance: CL-term t

question: is t normalizing?

is undecidable

Definition (Types)

set \mathbb{T} of **types** is defined inductively:

- $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ infinite set of **type variables**
- $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ **type constants**
- if $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{T}$ then $(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \in \mathbb{T}$

Definition (Type Assignment, Curry-style)

- type assignment formula $t : \tau$ with CL-term t and type τ
- type assignment system **TA**

$$\frac{\overline{I : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{S : (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau}}{t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad u : \sigma \quad \frac{}{tu : \tau}}$$

for all types σ, τ, ρ and CL-terms s and t

Notation

$\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ if $t : \tau$ can be derived in TA from assumptions in Γ

Theorem

if $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash t : \tau$ and $x \notin \text{Var}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash [x]t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$

Theorem (Subject Reduction)

if $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $t \rightarrow u$ then $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$

Definition

CL-term t with $\text{Var}(t) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is **typable** if

$$x_1 : \rho_1, \dots, x_n : \rho_n \vdash t : \tau$$

for some types $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n, \tau$

Part I: Recursive Function Theory

Ackermann function, bounded minimization, bounded recursion, course-of-values recursion, diagonalization, diophantine sets, elementary functions, fixed point theorem, Fibonacci numbers, Gödel numbering, Gödel's β function, Grzegorczyk hierarchy, loop programs, minimization, normal form theorem, partial recursive functions, primitive recursion, recursive enumerability, recursive inseparability, s-m-n theorem, total recursive functions, undecidability, while programs, ...

Part II: Combinatory Logic and Lambda Calculus

α -equivalence, abstraction, arithmetization, β -reduction, CL-representability, combinators, combinatorial completeness, Church numerals, Church-Rosser theorem, Curry-Howard isomorphism, de Bruijn notation, η -reduction, fixed point theorem, intuitionistic propositional logic, λ -definability, normalization theorem, termination, typing, undecidability, Z property, ...

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
3. Type Inference
4. Type Inhabitation
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary
7. Test

Theorem (Strong Normalization)

typable CL-terms are terminating (SN)

Definition

typable CL-term t is **strongly computable (SC)** if

- t has atomic type $\tau \in V \cup C$ and is SN
- t has type $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and tu is SC whenever $u : \sigma$ is SC

Lemma ①

for any type τ

- ① every term $xu_1 \dots u_n$ of type τ with variable x and SN terms u_1, \dots, u_n is SC
- ② every SC term of type τ is SN

Proof

induction on τ

- base case: τ is atomic
 - ① $t = xu_1 \dots u_n$ is SN $\implies t$ is SC
 - ② $t : \tau$ is SC $\implies t$ is SN
- step case: $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$
 - ① $v : \rho$ is SC $\implies v$ is SN $\implies xu_1 \dots u_n v : \sigma$ is SC $\implies xu_1 \dots u_n : \tau$ is SC
 - ② consider SC term $t : \tau$ and $x : \rho$ with $x \in V \setminus \text{Var}(t)$
 $\implies x$ is SC $\implies tx$ is SC $\implies tx$ is SN $\implies t$ is SN

Lemma ②

S, K and I are SC

Proof

$K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$

- $\sigma = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \theta$ with atomic type θ and $n \geq 0$
- consider arbitrary SC terms $s : \sigma$ $t : \tau$ $u_1 : \sigma_1 \dots u_n : \sigma_n$
- s is SC $\implies su_1 \dots u_n$ is SC $\implies su_1 \dots u_n$ is SN
- t is SC $\implies t$ is SN
- $Kstu_1 \dots u_n$ is SN: any infinite reduction starts with

$$\begin{aligned} Kstu_1 \dots u_n &\rightarrow^* Ks't'u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow s'u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow^* \dots \\ &\implies su_1 \dots u_n \rightarrow s'u'_1 \dots u'_n \rightarrow^* \dots \end{aligned}$$

with $s \rightarrow^* s'$ $t \rightarrow^* t'$ $u_1 \rightarrow^* u'_1$ $u_n \rightarrow^* u'_n$

S and I: homework exercise

Lemma

every typable CL-term is SC

Proof

induction on term t

- t is variable $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ①
- $t \in \{I, K, S\}$ $\implies t$ is SC by lemma ②
- $t = t_1 t_2$ $\implies t_1$ and t_2 are SC by induction hypothesis $\implies t$ is SC

Corollary

typable CL-terms are SN

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture

2. Strong Normalization

3. Type Inference

4. Type Inhabitation

5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic

6. Summary

7. Test

Theorem

problem

instance: CL-term t

question: is t typable?

is decidable

Definition

principal type of combinator t is any type σ such that

① $\vdash t : \sigma$

② if $\vdash t : \tau$ then τ is substitution instance of σ

Example

SKK has principal type $a \rightarrow a$ (where a is type variable)

Theorem

every typable combinator has principal type

Type Inference

principle types can be computed by typing rules of TA (with type variables σ, τ, ρ)

$$\frac{\text{I} : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \quad \text{K} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma \quad \text{S} : (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau}{\frac{t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad u : \sigma}{tu : \tau}}$$

and unification algorithm

Example ①

principle type of $SKK : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1$

► $SK : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad K : \alpha$

► $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad K : \gamma$

► unification problem

$$\alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 \quad \gamma \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$$

$$\alpha \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \rho_2 \approx \sigma_1 \quad \sigma_2 \approx \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \approx \tau_3$$

$$\sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_1 \approx \tau_2 \quad \tau_3 \approx \sigma_2 \quad \sigma_3 \approx \tau_2$$

► mgu

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha \mapsto \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \gamma \mapsto \sigma_1 \rightarrow (\tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1) \rightarrow \sigma_1 \\ \beta \mapsto \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \delta \mapsto (\sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1) \rightarrow \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \end{array} \right\}$$

Example ②

SII cannot be typed

► $S I : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad I : \alpha$

► $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad I : \gamma$

► unification problem

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 & \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta & \gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ \gamma \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 & \gamma \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 & \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ \sigma_3 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \approx \sigma_1 \approx \sigma_2 & & \\ \alpha \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 & \beta \approx \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 & \sigma_1 \approx \rho_2 \approx \sigma_2 \end{array}$$

has no solution

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
3. Type Inference
- 4. Type Inhabitation**
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary
7. Test

Example ③

principle type of $B = S(KS)K : (\sigma_4 \rightarrow \tau_4) \rightarrow (\rho_4 \rightarrow \sigma_4) \rightarrow \rho_4 \rightarrow \tau_4$

► $S(KS) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad K : \alpha$

► $S : \gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad KS : \gamma$

► $K : \epsilon \rightarrow \eta \quad S : \epsilon$

► unification problem

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha \approx \sigma_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 & \delta \approx \alpha \rightarrow \beta & \gamma \rightarrow \delta \approx (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ \gamma \approx \eta & \epsilon \rightarrow \eta \approx \sigma_3 \rightarrow \tau_3 \rightarrow \sigma_3 & \epsilon \approx (\rho_4 \rightarrow \sigma_4 \rightarrow \tau_4) \rightarrow (\rho_4 \rightarrow \sigma_4) \rightarrow \rho_4 \rightarrow \tau_4 \end{array}$$

► mgu

$$\{ \beta \mapsto (\sigma_4 \rightarrow \tau_4) \rightarrow (\rho_4 \rightarrow \sigma_4) \rightarrow \rho_4 \rightarrow \tau_4 \dots \}$$

Definition

type τ is **inhabited** if $\vdash t : \tau$ for some combinator t

Remark

not every type is inhabited

Theorem

problem

instance: type τ

question: is τ inhabited?

is decidable

Remark

$$I : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \quad K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma \quad S : (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau$$

valid formulas in **intuitionistic** propositional logic

Theorem (Curry–Howard)

type τ (without type constants) is inhabited if and only if τ is valid formula in implication fragment of intuitionistic propositional logic

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
3. Type Inference
4. Type Inhabitation
5. **Intuitionistic Propositional Logic**
 - Kripke models
6. Summary
7. Test

Syntax

- ▶ basic connectives $\rightarrow \wedge \vee \perp$
- ▶ derived connectives
 - ▶ $\neg\varphi$ abbreviates $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ \top abbreviates $\perp \rightarrow \perp$
 - ▶ $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ abbreviates $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- ▶ **implication fragment** contains only \rightarrow

Formal Semantics

- ▶ Heyting algebras
- ▶ **Kripke models**

Definition

Kripke model is triple $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leqslant, \Vdash \rangle$ with

- ▶ non-empty set C of states
- ▶ partial order \leqslant on C
- ▶ binary relation \Vdash between elements of C and propositional atoms such that $d \Vdash p$ whenever $c \Vdash p$ and $c \leqslant d$

Definition

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leqslant, \Vdash \rangle$, $c \in C$

- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \wedge \psi$ if and only if $c \Vdash \varphi$ and $c \Vdash \psi$
- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \vee \psi$ if and only if $c \Vdash \varphi$ or $c \Vdash \psi$
- ▶ $c \Vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ if and only if $d \Vdash \psi$ for all $d \geqslant c$ with $d \Vdash \varphi$
- ▶ $c \not\Vdash \perp$

Terminology

c forces p if $c \Vdash p$

Example

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ with $C = \{a, b, c\}$, $a \leq b$, $a \leq c$, $b \Vdash p$, $c \Vdash q$

- ▶ $a \Vdash (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$
- ▶ $a \Vdash \neg\neg(p \vee q)$
- ▶ $a \nVdash p \vee \neg p$

Definition

Kripke model $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$, $c \in C$

- ▶ $c \Vdash \Gamma$ if $c \Vdash \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \Gamma$
- ▶ $\mathcal{C} \Vdash \varphi$ if $c \Vdash \varphi$ for all $c \in C$

Definition

$\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$ if $c \Vdash \varphi$ whenever $c \Vdash \Gamma$ for all Kripke models $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ and $c \in C$

Lemma (Monotonicity)

if $c \leq d$ and $c \Vdash \varphi$ then $d \Vdash \varphi$

Lemma

if $\Vdash \varphi \vee \psi$ then $\Vdash \varphi$ or $\Vdash \psi$

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
3. Type Inference
4. Type Inhabitation
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary
7. Test

Important Concepts

- | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| ▶ \Vdash | ▶ Kripke model | ▶ type inference |
| ▶ implication fragment | ▶ principal type | ▶ type inhabitation |
| ▶ intuitionistic propositional logic | ▶ SC | |

homework for January 8

next lecture (January 8): online evaluation in presence \implies bring device

Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Strong Normalization
3. Type Inference
4. Type Inhabitation
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary
7. Test

Test on January 29

- ▶ 15:15–18:00 in HS 10
- ▶ online registration required before 10 am on January 23
- ▶ closed book

Earlier Exams/Tests

- | | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| ▶ SS 2022 (test) | ▶ WS 2014 – 1 | ▶ SS 2007 |
| ▶ WS 2017 – 2 | ▶ SS 2012 | ▶ SS 2006 – 2 |
| ▶ WS 2017 – 1 | ▶ SS 2008 – 2 | ▶ SS 2006 – 1 |
| ▶ WS 2014 – 2 | ▶ SS 2008 – 1 | ▶ WS 2004 |