



# Computability Theory

**Aart Middeldorp**

# Outline

- 1. Summary of Previous Lecture**
- 2. Evaluation**
- 3. Hilbert Systems**
- 4. Curry–Howard Isomorphism**
- 5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic**
- 6. Summary**

## Theorem (Strong Normalization)

typable CL-terms are terminating (SN)

## Definition

typable CL-term  $t$  is **strongly computable (SC)** if

- ▶  $t$  has atomic type  $\tau \in \mathbb{V} \cup \mathbb{C}$  and is SN
- ▶  $t$  has type  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$  and  $tu$  is SC whenever  $u : \sigma$  is SC

## Lemma

every typable term is SC and every SC term is terminating

## Theorem

problem

instance: CL-term  $t$

question: is  $t$  typable ?

is decidable

## Definition

**principal type** of combinator  $t$  is any type  $\sigma$  such that

- ①  $\vdash t : \sigma$
- ② if  $\vdash t : \tau$  then  $\tau$  is substitution instance of  $\sigma$

## Theorem

every typable combinator has principal type

## Type Inference

principle types can be computed by typing rules of TA (with type variables  $\sigma, \tau, \rho$ )

$$\overline{I : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \overline{S : (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau} \quad \frac{t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad u : \sigma}{tu : \tau}$$

and unification algorithm

## Definition

type  $\tau$  is **inhabited** if  $\vdash t : \tau$  for some combinator  $t$

## Theorem

problem

instance: type  $\tau$

question: is  $\tau$  inhabited ?

is decidable

## Intuitionistic Propositional Logic

- ▶ basic connectives  $\rightarrow \wedge \vee \perp$
- ▶ derived connectives
  - ▶  $\neg\varphi$  abbreviates  $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$
  - ▶  $\top$  abbreviates  $\perp \rightarrow \perp$
  - ▶  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$  abbreviates  $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- ▶ **implication fragment** contains only  $\rightarrow$

## Definition

**Kripke model** is triple  $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$  with

- ▶ non-empty set  $C$  of states
  - ▶ partial order  $\leq$  on  $C$
  - ▶ binary relation  $\Vdash$  between elements of  $C$  and propositional atoms
- such that  $d \Vdash p$  whenever  $c \Vdash p$  and  $c \leq d$

## Definition

Kripke model  $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ ,  $c \in C$

- ▶  $c \Vdash \varphi \wedge \psi$  if and only if  $c \Vdash \varphi$  and  $c \Vdash \psi$
- ▶  $c \Vdash \varphi \vee \psi$  if and only if  $c \Vdash \varphi$  or  $c \Vdash \psi$
- ▶  $c \Vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$  if and only if  $d \Vdash \psi$  for all  $d \geq c$  with  $d \Vdash \varphi$
- ▶  $c \not\Vdash \perp$

## Terminology

$c$  **forces**  $p$  if  $c \Vdash p$

## Definition

Kripke model  $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$ ,  $c \in C$

- ▶  $c \Vdash \Gamma$  if  $c \Vdash \varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma$
- ▶  $\mathcal{C} \Vdash \varphi$  if  $c \Vdash \varphi$  for all  $c \in C$

## Definition

$\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$  if  $c \Vdash \varphi$  whenever  $c \Vdash \Gamma$  for all Kripke models  $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \leq, \Vdash \rangle$  and  $c \in C$

## Lemma (Monotonicity)

if  $c \leq d$  and  $c \Vdash \varphi$  then  $d \Vdash \varphi$

## Lemma

if  $\Vdash \varphi \vee \psi$  then  $\Vdash \varphi$  or  $\Vdash \psi$



## Part I: Recursive Function Theory

Ackermann function, bounded minimization, bounded recursion, course-of-values recursion, diagonalization, diophantine sets, elementary functions, fixed point theorem, Fibonacci numbers, Gödel numbering, Gödel's  $\beta$  function, Grzegorzcyk hierarchy, loop programs, minimization, normal form theorem, partial recursive functions, primitive recursion, recursive enumerability, recursive inseparability, s-m-n theorem, total recursive functions, undecidability, while programs, ...

## Part II: Combinatory Logic and Lambda Calculus

$\alpha$ -equivalence, abstraction, arithmetization,  $\beta$ -reduction, CL-representability, combinators, combinatorial completeness, Church numerals, Church-Rosser theorem, **Curry-Howard isomorphism**, de Bruijn notation,  $\eta$ -reduction, fixed point theorem, **intuitionistic propositional logic**,  $\lambda$ -definability, normalization theorem, termination, typing, undecidability, Z property, ...

# Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
- 2. Evaluation**
3. Hilbert Systems
4. Curry–Howard Isomorphism
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary

## Online Evaluation in Presence

<https://lv-analyse.uibk.ac.at/evasys/public/online/index>

## Definition

**Hilbert system** (for implication fragment) consists of two axioms and modus ponens:

$$\frac{}{\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \varphi}$$

$$\frac{}{(\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \chi}$$

$$\frac{\varphi \quad \varphi \rightarrow \psi}{\psi}$$

## Definitions

- ▶ **derivation** in Hilbert system from set  $\Gamma$  of formulas is finite sequence of formulas such that each formula is
  - ▶ axiom or
  - ▶ member of  $\Gamma$  or
  - ▶ follows from earlier formulas by modus ponens
- ▶  $\varphi$  is **consequence** of set  $\Gamma$  ( $\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi$ ) if  $\varphi$  is last line of derivation from  $\Gamma$
- ▶ **proof** in Hilbert system is derivation from  $\emptyset$
- ▶ formula  $\varphi$  is **theorem** ( $\vdash_h \varphi$ ) if  $\varphi$  is consequence of  $\emptyset$

## Example

$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$  is theorem:

- |   |   |                   |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | $(\varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$ | axiom             |
| 2 | $\varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \varphi$   | axiom             |
| 3 | $(\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$   | modus ponens 1, 2 |
| 4 | $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$   | axiom             |
| 5 | $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$   | modus ponens 3, 4 |

## Deduction Theorem

$$\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash_h \psi \iff \Gamma \vdash_h \varphi \rightarrow \psi$$

## Proof ( $\Leftarrow$ )

- ▶ suppose  $\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi \rightarrow \psi$
- ▶  $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash_h \varphi \rightarrow \psi$  and  $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash_h \varphi \implies \Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash_h \psi$  by modus ponens

## Example

$(\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \chi$  is theorem:

▶  $\{\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi, \psi, \varphi\} \vdash_{\text{h}} \chi$

1  $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi$

2  $\varphi$

3  $\psi \rightarrow \chi$                    modus ponens 1, 2

4  $\psi$

5  $\chi$                                modus ponens 3, 4

▶  $\{\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi, \psi\} \vdash_{\text{h}} \varphi \rightarrow \chi$     by deduction theorem

▶  $\{\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi\} \vdash_{\text{h}} \psi \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \chi$    by deduction theorem

▶  $\vdash_{\text{h}} (\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \chi$  by deduction theorem

## Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ )

- ▶ suppose  $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash_h \psi$
- ▶ let  $\Pi_1: \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  be derivation of  $\psi$  from  $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\}$ , so  $\chi_n = \psi$
- ▶ consider new sequence  $\Pi_2: \varphi \rightarrow \chi_1, \dots, \varphi \rightarrow \chi_n$
- ▶ insert extra lines into  $\Pi_2$  and use modus ponens, as follows:
  - ① if  $\chi_i$  is axiom or member of  $\Gamma$   
insert  $\chi_i$  and  $\chi_i \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \chi_i$  before  $\varphi \rightarrow \chi_i$
  - ② if  $\chi_i = \varphi$   
insert steps of proof of  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$  before it
  - ③ if  $\chi_i$  is derived with modus ponens from  $\chi_j$  and  $\chi_k$  with  $j, k < i$  then  $\chi_k = (\chi_j \rightarrow \chi_i)$   
insert  $(\varphi \rightarrow \chi_j \rightarrow \chi_i) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \chi_j) \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \chi_i$  and  $(\varphi \rightarrow \chi_j) \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \chi_i$  before  $\varphi \rightarrow \chi_i$
- ▶ resulting sequence is derivation of  $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$  from  $\Gamma$

## Theorem

Hilbert system is sound and complete with respect to Kripke models for implication fragment:

$$\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi \iff \Gamma \Vdash \varphi$$



## Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ )

suppose  $\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi$ , we prove  $\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$  by induction on length of derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi$ :

- ▶  $\varphi \in \Gamma$   
 $\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$  holds trivially
- ▶  $\varphi = (\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2 \rightarrow \psi_1)$   
 $\Vdash \varphi$  by definition of  $\Vdash$  and thus also  $\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$
- ▶  $\varphi = ((\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2 \rightarrow \psi_3) \rightarrow (\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2) \rightarrow \psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_3)$   
 $\Vdash \varphi$  by definition of  $\Vdash$  and thus also  $\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$
- ▶  $\varphi$  is obtained by modus ponens  
 $\Gamma \vdash_h \psi$  and  $\Gamma \vdash_h \psi \rightarrow \varphi$  are shorter derivations  
 $\Gamma \Vdash \psi$  and  $\Gamma \Vdash \psi \rightarrow \varphi$  by induction hypothesis  
 $\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$  by definition of  $\Vdash$

## Proof ( $\Leftarrow$ )

suppose  $\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi$  does not hold

define Kripke model  $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \subseteq, \Vdash \rangle$  with

▶  $C = \{ \Delta \mid \Gamma \subseteq \Delta \text{ and } \Delta = \{ \psi \mid \Delta \vdash_h \psi \} \}$

▶  $\Delta \Vdash p$  if  $p \in \Delta$  for propositional atoms  $p$

claim:  $\Delta \Vdash \psi \iff \psi \in \Delta$  for all  $\Delta \in C$  and implicative formulas  $\psi$

proof of claim (induction on  $\psi$ ): consider  $\psi = (\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2)$

$\implies$  let  $\Delta \Vdash \psi$  and define  $\Delta' = \{ \chi \mid \Delta, \psi_1 \vdash_h \chi \}$

$\psi_1 \in \Delta' \in C$  and thus  $\Delta' \Vdash \psi_1$  by induction hypothesis

$\Delta' \Vdash \psi_2$  because  $\Delta \subseteq \Delta'$  and thus  $\psi_2 \in \Delta'$  by induction hypothesis

$\Delta, \psi_1 \vdash_h \psi_2$

$\Delta \vdash_h \psi$  by deduction theorem

## Proof ( $\Leftarrow$ , cont'd)

suppose  $\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi$  does not hold

define Kripke model  $\mathcal{C} = \langle \mathbf{C}, \subseteq, \Vdash \rangle$  with

▶  $\mathbf{C} = \{ \Delta \mid \Gamma \subseteq \Delta \text{ and } \Delta = \{ \psi \mid \Delta \vdash_h \psi \} \}$

▶  $\Delta \Vdash p$  if  $p \in \Delta$  for propositional atoms  $p$

claim:  $\Delta \Vdash \psi \iff \psi \in \Delta$  for all  $\Delta \in \mathbf{C}$  and implicative formulas  $\psi$

proof of claim: consider  $\psi = (\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2)$

$\Leftarrow$  let  $\psi \in \Delta$  and **consider state**  $\Delta' \supseteq \Delta$  with  $\Delta' \Vdash \psi_1$

$\psi_1 \in \Delta'$  by induction hypothesis and thus  $\Delta' \vdash_h \psi_1$

$\Delta' \vdash_h \psi$  because  $\Delta \vdash_h \psi$  and  $\Delta \subseteq \Delta'$

$\Delta' \vdash_h \psi_2$  by modus ponens and thus  $\psi_2 \in \Delta'$

$\Delta' \Vdash \psi_2$  by induction hypothesis and thus  $\Delta' \Vdash \psi$  by definition of  $\Vdash$

## Proof ( $\Leftarrow$ , cont'd)

suppose  $\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi$  does not hold

define Kripke model  $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, \subseteq, \Vdash \rangle$  with

▶  $C = \{ \Delta \mid \Gamma \subseteq \Delta \text{ and } \Delta = \{ \psi \mid \Delta \vdash_h \psi \} \}$

▶  $\Delta \Vdash p$  if  $p \in \Delta$  for propositional atoms  $p$


claim:  $\Delta \Vdash \psi \iff \psi \in \Delta$  for all  $\Delta \in C$  and implicational formulas  $\psi$

define  $\Delta = \{ \psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_h \psi \}$

$\Delta \in C$  and  $\varphi \notin \Delta$  and thus  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$  and  $\Delta \not\Vdash \varphi$

$\Gamma \not\Vdash \varphi$  by definition of  $\Vdash$

## Example (Peirce's Law)

$\not\vdash_h ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$  because of Kripke model 

# Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Evaluation
3. Hilbert Systems
- 4. Curry-Howard Isomorphism**
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
6. Summary

## type assignment

$$\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau$$

$$\Gamma \vdash K : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$\Gamma \vdash S : (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \rho) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \rho$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash tu : \tau}$$

## Hilbert system

$$\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \varphi$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \varphi$$

$$\Gamma \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \chi$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \psi}$$

## Theorem (Curry-Howard)

- ① if  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$  then  $\text{types}(\Gamma) \vdash_h \tau$
- ② if  $\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi$  then  $\Delta \vdash t : \varphi$  for some  $t$  and  $\Delta$  with  $\text{types}(\Delta) = \Gamma$

## Theorem (Curry-Howard)

① if  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$  then  $\text{types}(\Gamma) \vdash_h \tau$

### Proof

induction on derivation of judgement  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$

- ▶  $t = x$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma', x : \tau \implies \text{types}(\Gamma) = \text{types}(\Gamma'), \tau$  and thus  $\text{types}(\Gamma) \vdash_h \tau$
- ▶  $t = \mathbf{K}$  and  $\tau = (\sigma \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \sigma) \implies \text{types}(\Gamma) \vdash_h \tau$  by axiom K
- ▶  $t = \mathbf{S}$  and  $\tau = ((\sigma \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \rho) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \chi) \implies \text{types}(\Gamma) \vdash_h \tau$  by axiom S
- ▶  $t = uv$  and  $\Gamma \vdash u : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$  and  $\Gamma \vdash v : \sigma$ 
  - $\implies \text{types}(\Gamma) \vdash_h \sigma \rightarrow \tau$  and  $\text{types}(\Gamma) \vdash_h \sigma$  by induction hypothesis
  - $\implies \text{types}(\Gamma) \vdash_h \tau$  by modus ponens

## Theorem (Curry-Howard)

② if  $\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi$  then  $\Delta \vdash t : \varphi$  for some  $t$  and  $\Delta$  with  $\text{types}(\Delta) = \Gamma$

### Proof

induction on derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi$

interesting case:  $\varphi$  is obtained by modus ponens

$\Gamma \vdash_h \psi \rightarrow \varphi$  and  $\Gamma \vdash_h \psi$

induction hypothesis:  $\Delta_1 \vdash t_1 : \psi \rightarrow \varphi$  and  $\Delta_2 \vdash t_2 : \psi$

for some  $t_1, \Delta_1, t_2, \Delta_2$  with  $\text{types}(\Delta_1) = \text{types}(\Delta_2) = \Gamma$

suppose  $\Gamma = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n\}$

$\Delta_1 = \{x_1 : \chi_1, \dots, x_n : \chi_n\}$  and  $\Delta_2 = \{y_1 : \chi_1, \dots, y_n : \chi_n\}$

let  $t'_2$  be obtained from  $t_2$  by replacing every  $y_i$  with  $x_i$

$\Delta_1 \vdash t'_2 : \psi$  and thus  $\Delta_1 \vdash t_1 t'_2 : \varphi$



# Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Evaluation
3. Hilbert Systems
4. Curry–Howard Isomorphism
- 5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic**
6. Summary

## Definition

**Hilbert system** for **intuitionistic propositional logic** consists of modus ponens and axioms

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \varphi$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad (\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \chi$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \varphi \wedge \psi \rightarrow \varphi$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \varphi \wedge \psi \rightarrow \psi$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \varphi \wedge \psi$$

$$\textcircled{6} \quad \varphi \rightarrow \varphi \vee \psi$$

$$\textcircled{7} \quad \psi \rightarrow \varphi \vee \psi$$

$$\textcircled{8} \quad (\varphi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow \varphi \vee \psi \rightarrow \chi$$

$$\textcircled{9} \quad \perp \rightarrow \varphi$$

## Remarks

- ▶  $\neg\varphi$  is shortcut for  $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$
- ▶ adding axiom  $\varphi \vee \neg\varphi$  (law of excluded middle) gives (classical) propositional logic
- ▶ intuitionistic propositional logic is known as **IPC** in literature

## Theorem

Hilbert system for IPC is sound and complete with respect to Kripke models:

$$\Gamma \vdash_h \varphi \iff \Gamma \Vdash \varphi$$

## Theorem (Finite Model Property)

$\vdash_h \varphi \iff \mathcal{C} \Vdash \varphi$  for all **finite** Kripke models  $\mathcal{C}$

## Theorem

problem

instance: formula  $\varphi$

question:  $\vdash_h \varphi$ ?

is decidable and PSPACE-complete

## Theorem (Glivenko 1929)

$$\vdash \varphi \iff \vdash_h \neg\neg\varphi$$

## Remark

Glivenko's theorem does not extend to predicate logic

## Definition (Gödel's Negative Translation)

- ▶  $p^n = \neg\neg p$  for propositional atoms  $p$
- ▶  $(\varphi \wedge \psi)^n = \varphi^n \wedge \psi^n$
- ▶  $(\varphi \vee \psi)^n = \neg(\neg\varphi^n \wedge \neg\psi^n)$
- ▶  $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)^n = \varphi^n \rightarrow \psi^n$
- ▶  $\perp^n = \perp$

## Theorem

$$\vdash \varphi \iff \vdash_h \varphi^n$$

# Outline

1. Summary of Previous Lecture
2. Evaluation
3. Hilbert Systems
4. Curry–Howard Isomorphism
5. Intuitionistic Propositional Logic
- 6. Summary**

## Important Concepts

- ▶  $\varphi^n$
- ▶  $\vdash_h$
- ▶ Curry–Howard isomorphism
- ▶ finite model property
- ▶ Glivenko's theorem
- ▶ Gödel's negative translation
- ▶ Hilbert system
- ▶ intuitionistic propositional logic

homework for January 15