



# **Functional Programming**

Week 4 – Polymorphism

René Thiemann James Fox Lukas Hofbauer Christian Sternagel Tobias Niederbrunner

Department of Computer Science

#### Last Lecture

- function definitions by pattern matching
  - allow several equations for each function
  - equations are tried from top to bottom
- patterns
  - x, \_, CName pat1 ... patN, x@pat
  - variable names must be distinct
  - patterns describe shape of inputs
- recursion
  - ullet in a defining equation of function  ${f f}$  one can use  ${f f}$  already in the rhs

```
f pat1 ... patN = ... (f expr1 ... exprN) ...
```

• the arguments in each recursive call should be smaller than in the lhs

# **List Examples**

- task 1: append two lists, e.g., appending [1, 5] and [3] yields [1, 5, 3]• prerequisite: concrete representation of abstract lists in Haskell
- data List = Empty | Cons Integer List
- -- abstract list [1,5] is represented as Cons 1 (Cons 5 Empty) • solution to task 1: pattern matching and recursion on first argument

```
append Empty ys = ys
append (Cons x xs) ys = Cons x (append xs ys)
```

- interpretation of the second equation
- first append the remaining list xs and ys (append xs ys), afterwards insert x in front of the result
- task 2: determine last element of list.
- solution: consider three cases (list with at least two elements, singleton list, empty list)

lastElem (Cons xs@(Cons )) = lastElem xs lastElem (Cons x ) = x -- here the order of eq. matters

## Example - Datatypes Expr and List

• consider datatype for expressions

```
data Expr = Number Integer | Plus Expr Expr | Negate Expr
```

- task: create list of all numbers that occur in expression
- solution

```
numbers :: Expr -> List
numbers (Number x) = Cons x Empty
numbers (Plus e1 e2) = append (numbers e1) (numbers e2)
numbers (Negate e) = numbers e
```

- remarks
  - the rhs of the first equation must be Cons x Empty and not just x: the result must be a list of numbers
  - numbers (and also append) is defined via structural recursion:
     invoke the function recursively for each recursive argument of a datatype
     (e1 and e2 for Plus e1 e2, and e for Negate e, but not x of Number x)

## **Decomposition and Auxiliary Functions**

- during the definition of new functions, often some functionality is missing
- task: define a function to remove all duplicates from a list
- solution:

```
remdups Empty = Empty
remdups (Cons x xs) = Cons x (remove x (remdups xs))
-- subtask: define "remove x xs" to delete each x from list xs
remove x Empty = Empty
remove x (Cons y ys) = rHelper (x == y) y (remove x ys)
rHelper True _ xs = xs
rHelper False y xs = Cons y xs
```

- remarks
  - solution above uses structural recursion: remdups (Cons x xs) invokes remdups xs
  - alternative solution with non-structural recursion: replace 2nd equation by

```
remdups (Cons x xs) = Cons x (remdups (remove x xs))
```

RT et al. (DCS @ UIBK) Week 4 5/22

Parametric Polymorphism

## **Limitations of Datatype Definitions**

• task: define a datatype for lists of numbers and a function to compute their length

```
data IntList = EmptyIL | ConsIL Integer IntList
lenIL EmptyIL = 0
lenIL (ConsIL _ xs) = 1 + lenIL xs
```

• task: define a datatype for lists of strings and a function to compute their length

```
data StringList = EmptySL | ConsSL String StringList
lenSL EmptySL = 0
lenSL (ConsSL _ xs) = 1 + lenSL xs
```

- observations
  - the datatype and function definitions are nearly identical:
  - only difference is type of elements (Integer/String) and type/function/constructor names

     creating a copy for each new element type is not desirable for many reasons
    - writing the same functionality over and over again initially is tedious and error-prone
    - changing the implementation later on is even more tedious and error-prone integrate changes for every element type
  - aim: define one generic list datatype and functions on these generic lists polymorphism

## Two Kinds of Polymorphism

- parametric polymorphism
  - key idea: provide one definition that can be used in various ways
  - examples
    - a datatype definition for arbitrary lists (parametrized by type of elements)
    - a datatype definition for arbitrary pairs (parametrized by two types)
    - ..
    - a function definition that works on parametric lists, pairs, . . .;
       examples: length, append two lists, first component of pair, . . .
- ad-hoc polymorphism
  - key idea: provide similar functionality under same name for different types
  - examples
    - (==) is equality operator; different implementations for strings, integers, floats, . . .
    - (+) is addition operator; different implementations for integers, floats, ...
    - ullet minBound gives smallest value for bounded types; different implementations for Int, Char,  $\dots$
  - advantage: uniform access (instead of ==Int, ==String, ==Double)

### Type Variables

- definition of polymorphic types and functions requires type variables
- type variables
  - start with a lowercase letter; usually a single letter is used, e.g., a, b, . . .
    - a type variable represents any type
  - type variables can be substituted by (more concrete) types
- type ty1 is more general than ty2 if ty2 can be obtained from ty1 by a type substitution
- important: it is allowed to replace generic types with more concrete ones; whenever expr :: ty1 and ty1 is more general than ty2 then expr :: ty2
- types ty1 and ty2 are equivalent if ty1 is more general than ty2 and vice versa
- examples
  - a is more general than any other type

  - a -> b -> a is more general than Int -> Char -> Int, a -> Bool -> a, c -> c -> c
  - a -> b -> a is equivalent to b -> a -> b
  - a -> b -> a is not more general than a -> b -> c • someFun  $\underbrace{\text{True}}_{a} \underbrace{x}_{b} \underbrace{y}_{c} = \underbrace{x}_{d}$  is a function with type  $\underbrace{\text{Bool}}_{c/2c-1} > b \rightarrow c \rightarrow b$

RT et al. (DCS @ UIBK)

### Types Revisited

• a

- already known: definition of (basic) Haskell expressions and patterns
- now: definition of types
- prerequisite: type constructors (TConstr)
  - similarity to (value-)constructors (Cons, True, ...)
    - start with uppercase letter
      - have a fixed arity
- difference to constructors: type constructors are used to construct types
- a Haskell type has one of the following three shapes
- a Hasken type has one of the following three shapes
- TConstr ty1 ... tyN
   a type constructor of arity N applied to N types
- (ty) parentheses are allowed
   examples (type constructors of arity 0: Char, Bool, Integer, ...; arity 2: ->)
- -> without the two arguments is not a type
  - a -> Int type of functions that take an arbitrary input and deliver an Int
    - Bool -> (a -> Int) type of f. that take a Bool and deliver a f. of type a -> Int
- Bool -> a -> Int same as above (!), -> associates to the right
   (Bool -> a) -> Int take a function of type Bool -> a as input, deliver an Int

a type variable

## Class Assertions and Predefined Type Classes

• often a type variable a needs to be constrained to belong to a certain type class

```
a type a for which (+), (-), (*) is defined:
a type a for which (/) is defined:
a type a for which (==), (/=) is defined:
a type a for which (<), (<=), ... is defined:</li>
a type a for which show :: a -> String is defined:
type class Fractional a type class Eq a type class Ord a type class Ord a type class Show a
```

these constraints are called class assertions in Haskell, notation via =>

examples

- type substitutions need to respect class assertions
  - g False True is not allowed since Bool is not an instance of Num
  - i (5 :: Int) is allowed since Int is an instance of both Num and Show

RT et al. (DCS @ UIBK) Week 4 11/22

# **Datatypes with Parametric Polymorphism**

previous definition

```
data TName =
     CName1 type1_1 ... type1_N1
| ...
| CNameM typeM_1 ... typeM_NM
```

data TConstr a1 ... aK =

new definition

```
CName1 type1_1 ... type1_N1
| ...
| CNameM typeM_1 ... typeM_NM

• new definition is more general (K can be zero)
• a1 ... aK have to be distinct type variables
```

• TConstr is a new type constructor with arity K

• a1 ... aK can be used in any of the types typeI\_J, but no other type variables

• CName1 :: type1\_1 -> ... -> type1\_N1 -> TConstr a1 ... aK, etc.

# Examples using Parametric Polymorphism

# Parametric Lists

- data List a = Empty | Cons a (List a)
- List is unary type constructor
  - List a list of arbitrary elements
  - List Integer list of integers
  - List Bool list of Booleans
  - List (List Integer) list whose elements are lists of integers
- type of constructors

example types

- Empty :: List a • Cons :: a -> List a -> List a
- example values

RT et al. (DCS @ UIBK)

- Empty :: List a, Empty :: List Integer, Empty :: List (List Bool),... Cons 7 (Cons 5 Empty) :: List Integer, Cons True Empty :: List Bool, ...
  - Cons (Cons 7 (Cons 5 Empty)) (Cons Empty Empty ):: List (List Int) Int

List Int • Cons True (Cons 7 Empty)

Int List Int List Int List (List Int) List (List Int)

not allowed, cannot mix element types Week 4

14/22

#### **Functions on Parametric Lists**

```
data List a = Empty | Cons a (List a)
```

example programs

```
len :: List a -> Int -- parametric function definition
len Empty = 0
len (Cons _xs) = 1 + len xs
first :: List a -> a
first (Cons x ) = x
```

Week 4 15/22

#### **Parametric Lists Continued**

```
data List a = Empty | Cons a (List a)
```

- function definitions can enforce certain class assertions
  - example: replace all occurrences of x by y in a list

```
replace :: Eq a => a -> a -> List a -> List a
replace _ _ Empty = Empty
replace x y (Cons z zs) = rHelper (x == z) y z (replace x y zs)
rHelper True y _ xs = Cons y xs
rHelper False _ z xs = Cons z xs
```

- class assertion Eq a => is required since list elements are compared via ==
- function definitions can enforce a concrete element type
  - example: replace all occurrences of 'A' by 'B' in a list

```
replaceAB :: List Char -> List Char
replaceAB xs = replace 'A' 'B' xs
```

• important: since replace asserts class Eq a, and this a is instantiated by Char in replaceAB, it is checked that Char indeed is in type class Eq

#### Lists in Haskell

- the list type from previous three slides is actually predefined in Haskell
- only difference: names
  - instead of List a one writes [a]
  - instead of Empty one writes []
  - instead of Cons x xs one writes x : xs
  - in total
    - data [a] = [] | a : [a]
- list constructor (:) associates to the right:
  - 1:2:3:[] = 1:(2:(3:[]))
- special list syntax for finite lists: [1, 2, 3] = 1 : 2 : 3 : []
- example: append on Haskell lists

```
append :: [a] -> [a] -> [a] append [] ys = ys
```

append (x : xs) ys = x : append xs ys

(and: is called "Cons")

## **Tuples**

- tuples are a frequently used datatype to provide several outputs at once; example: a division-with-remainder function should return two numbers, the quotient and the remainder
- it is easy to define various tuples in Haskell

example: find value of key 'y' in list of key/value-pairs

remark: one would usually define a function to search for arbitrary keys

RT et al. (DCS @ UIBK) Week 4 18/22

### **Tuples in Haskell**

- tuples are predefined in Haskell (so there is no need to define Pair, Triple, ...)
- for every  $n \neq 1$  Haskell provides:
  - a type constructor ( , ..., )
  - ullet a (value) constructor ( , ..., )
- examples
  - Pair a b and Triple a b c are equivalent to (a, b) and (a, b, c)
  - (5, True, "foo") :: (Int, Bool, String)
  - () :: ()
  - (5) is just the number 5, so no 1-tuple
  - (1, 2, 3) is neither the same as ((1, 2), 3) nor as (1, (2, 3))
- example program from previous slide using predefined tuples

(with n entries)

(with n entries)

```
    Maybe is predefined Haskell type to specify optional results

• example application: safe division without runtime errors
```

divSafe :: Double -> Double -> Maybe Double

```
divSafe \times 0 = Nothing
divSafe x y = Just (x / y)
```

data Expr = Plus Expr Expr | Div Expr Expr | Number Double

```
eval :: Expr -> Maybe Double
eval (Number x) = Just x
```

eval (Plus x y) = plusMaybe (eval x) (eval y) eval (Div x y) = divMaybe (eval x) (eval y)

```
plusMaybe _ _
                             = Nothing
```

data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a

plusMaybe (Just x) (Just y) = Just (x + y)

divMaybe (Just x) (Just y) = divSafe x y

20/22

```
data Either a b = Left \ a \mid Right \ b
  • Either is predefined Haskell type for specifying alternative results
```

• example application: model optional values with error messages divSafe :: Double -> Double -> Either String Double

```
divSafe \times 0 = Left ("don't divide" ++ show \times ++ " by 0")
divSafe x y = Right (x / y)
```

data Expr = Plus Expr Expr | Div Expr Expr | Number Double eval :: Expr -> Either String Double

```
eval (Number x) = Right x
eval (Plus x y) = plusEither (eval x) (eval y)
```

eval (Div x y) = divEither (eval x) (eval y) divEither (Right x) (Right y) = divSafe x y divEither e@(Left ) = e -- new case analysis required

Week 4

divEither e **=** e

plusEither ... = ...

RT et al. (DCS @ UIBK)

## Summary

- usage of type variables and parametric polymorphism
  - datatypes with type variables
  - polymorphic functions, potentially include class assertions
     (example: f :: (Eq a, Show b) => a -> Bool -> a -> b -> String, ...)
- predefined datatypes
  - lists [a]
  - tuples (..,..,..)
  - option type Maybe a
  - sum type Either a b
- predefined type classes
  - arithmetic except division: Num a
  - arithmetic including division: Fractional a
  - equality between elements: Eq a
  - smaller than and greater than: Ord a
  - conversion to Strings: Show a