



## Functional Programming

### Week 9 – Generic Fold, Scope, Modules

René Thiemann James Fox Lukas Hofbauer Christian Sternagel Tobias Niederbrunner

Department of Computer Science

### Last Lecture – List Comprehension

- list comprehension
  - shape: `[ (x,y,z) | x <- [1..n], let y = x ^ 2, y > 100, Just z <- f y]`
  - consists of guards, generators, local declarations
  - translated via `concatMap`

- examples

```
prime n = n >= 2 && null [ x | x <- [2 .. n - 1], n `mod` x == 0]
```

```
ptriples n = [ (x,y,z) |
  x <- [1..n], y <- [x..n], z <- [y..n], x^2 + y^2 == z^2]
```

### Last Lecture – Library Functions

```
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b -- also: foldl1, foldl
```

```
take, drop :: Int -> [a] -> [a]
```

```
splitAt :: Int -> [a] -> ([a], [a])
```

```
takeWhile, dropWhile :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
```

```
span :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> ([a], [a])
```

```
zipWith :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c]
```

```
zip :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a, b)]
```

```
unzip :: [(a, b)] -> ([a], [b])
```

```
words, lines :: String -> [String]
```

```
unwords, unlines :: [String] -> String
```

```
concatMap :: (a -> [b]) -> [a] -> [b]
```

```
($) :: (a -> b) -> a -> b
```

RT et al. (DCS @ UIBK)

Week 9

2/25

### Further Example Applications: Sorting and Removing Duplicates

- example for list comprehension: quicksort

```
qsort [] = []
qsort (x : xs) =
  qsort [y | y <- xs, y < x] ++ [x] ++ qsort [y | y <- xs, y >= x]
```

- example for fold and list comprehension: removing duplicates of a list

```
remdups = foldr (\ x xs -> [x | not $ x `elem` xs] ++ xs) []
```

## Fold on Arbitrary Datatypes

## Fold on Arbitrary Datatypes

- recall `foldr f e`
  - main idea: replace `[]` by `e` and every `(:)` by `f`
  - generalize the idea of a `fold` to arbitrary datatypes
    - `fold` replaces every  $n$ -ary constructor with a user-provided  $n$ -ary function
- examples

```
foldMaybe :: (a -> b) -> b -> Maybe a -> b
foldMaybe f e (Just x) = f x
foldMaybe f e Nothing = e

foldEither :: (a -> c) -> (b -> c) -> Either a b -> c
foldEither f g (Left x)  = f x
foldEither f g (Right y) = g y
```

## Example: Fold on Arithmetic Expressions

```
data Expr v a = Number a | Var v | Plus (Expr v a) (Expr v a)

foldExpr :: (a -> b) -> (v -> b) -> (b -> b -> b) -> Expr v a -> b
foldExpr fn _ _ (Number x) = fn x
foldExpr _ fv _ (Var v) = fv v
foldExpr fn fv fp (Plus e1 e2) = fp (foldExpr fn fv fp e1) (foldExpr fn fv fp e2)

eval :: Num a => (v -> a) -> Expr v a -> a
eval v = foldExpr id v (+)

variables :: Expr v a -> [v]
variables = foldExpr (const []) (\ v -> [v]) (++) -- const x = \ _ -> x

substitute :: (v -> Expr w a) -> Expr v a -> Expr w a
substitute s = foldExpr Number s Plus

renameVars :: (v -> w) -> Expr v a -> Expr w a
renameVars r = substitute (Var . r)

countAdditions :: Expr v a -> Int
countAdditions = foldExpr (const 0) ((+) . (+1))
```

## Summary on Fold

- a fold-function can be defined for most datatypes
  - `fold` replaces constructors by functions
- after having programmed fold for an individual datatype, one can define many recursive algorithms just by suitable invocations of fold

## Scope

## Scope

- consider program (1 compile error)

```
radius = 15
area radius = pi^2 * radius

squares x = [ x^2 | x <- [0 .. x]]

length [] = 0
length (_:xs) = 1 + length xs

data Rat = Rat Integer Integer
createRat n d = normalize $ Rat n d where normalize ... = ...
```
- **scope**
  - need rules to resolve ambiguities
  - scope defines which names of variables, functions, types, ... are visible at a given program position
  - control scope to structure larger programs (imports / exports)

## Scope of Names

```
radius = 15
area radius = pi^2 * radius
```

- in the following we assume that `name_i` in the real code is always just `name` and the `_i` is used for addressing the different occurrences of `name`
- renamed Haskell program

```
radius_1 = 15
area_1 radius_2 = pi_1^2 * radius_3
```
- scope of names in right-hand sides of equations
  - is `radius_3` referring to `radius_2` or `radius_1`?
  - what is `pi_1` referring to?
- rule of thumb for searching `name`: search **inside-out**
  - think of abstract syntax tree of expression
  - whenever you pass a `let`, `where`, `case`, or function definition where `name` is **bound**, then refer to that **local** name
  - if nothing is found, then search **global** function `name`, also in Prelude
- `radius_3` refers to `radius_2`, `pi_1` to `Prelude.pi`

## Local Names in Case-Expressions

- general case: `case expr of { pat1 -> expr1; ...; patN -> exprN }`
  - each `patI` binds the variables that occur in `patI`
  - these variables can be used in `exprI`
  - the newly bound variables of `patI` bind stronger than any previously bound variables
- example Haskell expression

```
case xs_1 of -- renamed Haskell expression
  [] -> xs_2
(x_1 : xs_3) -> case xs_4 ++ ys_1 of
  [] -> ys_2
(x_2 : xs_5) -> x_3 : xs_6 ++ ys_3
```

  - `x_3` refers to `x_2` (since `x_2` is further inside than `x_1`)
  - `xs_6` refers to `xs_5` (since `xs_5` is further inside than `xs_3`)
  - `xs_4` refers to `xs_3`
  - `xs_1`, `xs_2`, `ys_1`, `ys_2`, and `ys_3` are not bound in this expression (the proper references need to be determined further outside)

## Local Names in Let-Expressions

```
let {  
    pat1 = expr1; ...; patN = exprN;  
    f1 pats1 = fexpr1; ...; fM patsM = fexprM  
} in expr
```

- all variables in `pat1 ... patN` and all names `f1 ... fM` are bound
- these can be used in `expr`, in each `exprI` and in each `fexprJ`
- variables of `patsJ` bind strongest, but only in `fexprJ`
- `let (x_1, y_1) = (y_2 + 1, 5) -- renamed Haskell expression`  
    `f_1 x_2 = x_3 + g_1 y_3 id_1`  
    `g_2 y_4 f_2 = f_3 $ g_3 x_4 f_4`  
in `(f_5, g_4, x_5, y_5)`
  - `y_2, y_3` and `y_5` refer to `y_1`
  - `x_3` refers to `x_2` since `x_2` binds stronger than `x_1`
  - `x_4` and `x_5` refer to `x_1`
  - `f_3` and `f_4` refer to `f_2` since `f_2` binds stronger than `f_1`
  - `g_1, g_3` and `g_4` refer to `g_2`
  - `f_5` refers to `f_1`
  - `id_1` is not bound in this expression

## Global Function Definitions

- general case:  
    `fname pats = expr`
  - all variables in `pats` are bound locally and can be used in `expr`
  - `fname` is **not** locally bound, but added to global lookup table
  - all variables/names in `expr` without local reference will be looked up in global lookup table
  - lookup in global table does not permit ambiguities
- `radius_1 = 15` -- renamed Haskell program  
    `area_2 radius_2 = pi_1^2 * radius_3`  
    `length_1 [] = 0`  
    `length_2 (_:xs_1) = 1 + length_3 xs_2`
  - `radius_1, area_2` and `length_1/2` are stored in global lookup table
  - global lookup table has ambiguity: `length_1/2` vs. `Prelude.length`
  - `pi_1` is not locally bound and therefore refers to `Prelude.pi`
  - `radius_3` refers to local `radius_2` and not to global `radius_1`
  - `xs_2` refers to `xs_1`
  - `length_3` is not locally bound and because of mentioned ambiguity, this leads to a compile error

## Global vs. Local Definitions

```
length :: [a] -> Int
```

```
-- choose definition 1,
```

```
length = foldr (const (1 +)) 0
```

```
-- definition 2,
```

```
length =
```

```
let { length [] = 0; length (x : xs) = 1 + length xs }  
in length
```

```
-- or definition 3
```

```
length [] = 0
```

```
length (_ : xs) = 1 + length xs
```

- definitions 1 and 2 compile since there is no `length` in the rhs that needs a global lookup
- in contrast, definition 3 does not compile
- still definitions 1 and 2 result in ambiguities in global lookup table  
    → study Haskell's module system

## Modules

## Modules

- so far
  - Haskell program is a **single** file, consisting of several definitions
  - all global definitions are visible to user

```
-- functions on rational numbers
data Rat = Rat Integer Integer    -- internal definition of datatype
normalize (Rat n d) = ...         -- internal function
createRat n d = normalize $ Rat n d -- function for external usage
...
-- application: approximate pi to a certain precision
piApprox :: Integer -> Rat
piApprox p = ...
```

- motivation for modules
  - structure programs into smaller **reusable** parts without copying
  - distinguish between **internal** and **external** definitions
    - clear interface for users of modules
    - maintain invariants
    - improve maintainability

## Modules in Haskell

```
-- first line of file ModuleName.hs
module ModuleName(exportList) where
-- standard Haskell type and function definitions
```

- each `ModuleName` has to start with uppercase letter
- each module is usually stored in separate file `ModuleName.hs`
- if Haskell file contains no `module` declaration, ghci inserts module name `Main`
- `exportList` is comma-separated list of function-names and type-names, these functions and types will be accessible for users of the module
- if `(exportList)` is omitted, then everything is exported
- for types there are different export possibilities
  - `module Name(Type)` exports `Type`, but no constructors of `Type`
  - `module Name(Type(..))` exports `Type` and its constructors

## Example: Rational Numbers

```
module Rat(Rat, createRat, numerator, denominator) where
data Rat = Rat Integer Integer
normalize = ...
createRat n d = normalize $ Rat n d
numerator (Rat n d) = n
...
instance Num Rat where ...
instance Show Rat where ...
```

- external users know that a type `Rat` exists
- they only see functions `createRat`, `numerator` and `denominator`
- they don't have access to constructor `Rat` and therefore cannot form expressions like `Rat 2 4` which break invariant of cancelled fractions
- they can perform calculations with rational numbers since they have access to `(+)` of class `Num`, etc., in particular for the instance `Rat`
- for the same reason, they can display rational numbers via `show`

## Example: Rational Numbers – Improved Implementation

since external users cannot form expressions like `Rat 2 4`, we may assume that only normalized rational numbers appear as input, provided that our implementation in this module obeys the invariant

```
module Rat(Rat, createRat, numerator, denominator) where
data Rat = Rat Integer Integer
    deriving Eq -- sound because of invariant
instance Show Rat where -- no normalization required
    show (Rat n d) = if d == 1 then show n else show n ++ "/" ++ show d
normalize = ...
createRat n d = normalize $ Rat n d
instance Num Rat where
    -- for negation no further normalization required
    negate (Rat n d) = Rat (- n) d
    -- multiplication requires normalization to obey invariant
    Rat n1 d1 * Rat n2 d2 = createRat (n1 * n2) (d1 * d2)
```

## Example: Application

```
module PiApprox(piApprox, Rat) where
-- Prelude is implicitly imported
-- import everything that is exported by module Rat
import Rat
-- or only import certain parts
import Rat(Rat, createRat)
-- import declarations must be before other definitions
piApprox :: Integer -> Rat
piApprox n = let initApprox = createRat 314 100 in ...
```

- there can be multiple `import` declarations
- what is imported is not automatically exported
  - when importing `PiApprox`, type `Rat` is visible, but `createRat` is not
  - if application requires both `Rat` and `PiApprox`, import both modules:

```
import PiApprox
import Rat
```

## Resolving Ambiguities

```
-- Foo.hs
module Foo where pi = 3.1415

-- Problem.hs
module Problem where

import Foo

pi = 3.1415
area r = pi * r^2
```

- problem: what is `pi` in definition of `area`? (global name)
- lookup map is ambiguous: `pi` defined in `Prelude`, `Foo`, and `Problem`
- ambiguity persists, even if definition is identical
- solution via **qualifier**: disambiguate by using `ModuleName.name` instead of `name`
  - write `area r = Problem.pi * r^2` in `Problem.hs`  
(or `area r = Prelude.pi * r^2`)

## Qualified Imports

```
module Foo where pi = 3.1415
module SomeLongModuleName where fun x = x + x
```

```
module ExampleQualifiedImports where
```

```
-- all imports of Foo have to use qualifier
import qualified Foo
-- result: no ambiguity on unqualified "pi"
```

```
import qualified SomeLongModuleName as S
-- "as"-syntax changes name of qualifier
```

```
area r = pi * r^2
myfun x = S.fun (x * x)
```

## Summary

## Summary

- scoping rules determine visibility of function names and variable names
- larger programs can be structured in **modules**
  - explicit **export-lists** to distinguish internal and external parts
  - advantage: changes of internal parts of module **M** are possible without having to change code that imports **M**, as long as exported functions of **M** have same names and types
  - if no module name is given: **Main** is used as module name
  - further information on modules  
<https://www.haskell.org/onlinereport/modules.html>