



# **Advanced Functional Programming**

Week 1- Organisation and Introduction, Strict- and Lazy-Evaluation

René Thiemann

Department of Computer Science

## Organization

## **Organization of Course**

- LV-Number: 703139
- lecturer: René Thiemann consultation hours: Tuesday 10:15-11:15 in 3M09 (ICT building)
- time and place: Tuesday, 13:15 15:45 in 3W04
- course website: http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/teaching/ws24/afp/
- lecture will be in English
- slides are available online and contain links
- modus: VU 3
  - 3 hours per week
  - attendance is obligatory
  - VU: lecture and exercises combined
    - today: just lecture
    - from next week onwards: first presentation of exercises, afterwards lecture



## Schedule

- detailed schedule: see website
- special dates
  - today: just lecture
  - January 21, Q & A session, no new content
  - January 28: no large enough room for first exam
  - February 6, 9:00 12:00: first exam

## Evaluation

- 50 % exercises + 50 % exam
- 1st exam on February 6, repeat exams will be scheduled on demand
- exercises will be handed out every week
- mark solved exercises and upload Haskell sources in OLAT
- deadline in OLAT: Monday, 3pm
- definition of solved:
  - 100 % solutions are not required, but a significant part of tasks should have been solved
  - capability to explain your solution to everyone in this room
  - not permitted: just copy some internet/chatGPT solution without understanding it
- positive evaluation: get in total at least 50 % of points

#### Literature

slides and exercises

- no other topics will appear in exam ...
- ... but topics need to be understood thoroughly
  - read and write functional programs
  - apply presented techniques on new examples
  - not only knowledge reproduction

Bryan O'Sullivan, John Goerzen and Don Stewart. Real World Haskell, O'Reilly.

...see slides

Prerequisites: Basic Knowledge of Functional Programming

- knowledge on lists, trees and other algebraic data types
- knowledge on recursive function definitions
- basic knowledge on type-classes (Eq, Ord, Show, Num)
- basic knowledge on programming with higher-order functions (map, filter, foldr, ., partial application, ...)
- basic knowledge on ID (separate pure from IO-computations, do-notation, ...)

## Strict- and Lazy-Evaluation

## Example

#### Consider

- program square x = x \* x, and
- expression square (3 + 2)

## **Different Ways to Apply Equations**

• strict/innermost: evaluate arguments before doing a function application

square (3 + 2) = square 5 = 5 \* 5 = 25

• non-strict/lazy: apply program equation as soon as possible

square (3 + 2) = (3 + 2) \* (3 + 2) = 5 \* 5 = 25

where the sub-expression 3+2 is shared and hence, only evaluated once

Values and Thunks

- value: a fully evaluated term, e.g., 5, "hello", [1,2,3]
- thunk: a term that needs further evaluation, e.g., 2 + 3, "hel" ++ "lo", ...
- strict/innermost: evaluate arguments to values before invoking function application
- non-strict/lazy: arguments can be passed as values or as thunks
- consequences
  - strict/innermost is easier to implement; takes less space per cell
  - non-strict/lazy includes overhead when working with thunks; admits new kinds of programming styles
- ML and OCaml use a strict/innermost evaluation strategy
- Haskell uses non-strict/lazy as default evaluation strategy; strict/innermost on demand
  - offer strict and lazy folding functions
  - offer strict and lazy arrays
  - offer strict and lazy dictionaries
  - enforce strictness via seq, via strict datatypes, ...

```
Example (foldl and foldl')
foldl, foldl' :: (b \rightarrow a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow b
foldl f y [] = y
foldl f y (x : xs) =
  let z = f y x
  in foldl f z xs
foldl' f y [] = y
foldl' f y (x : xs) =
  let z = f y x
  in seq z $ foldl' f z xs
```

#### Remark

• seq x y returns y after evaluating x to weak-head normal form (WHNF), i.e., after outermost constructor has been computed

#### • example:

(let xs = take 2 [5..] in seq xs xs) = ... = 1 : take (2 - 1) [5 + 1..] RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 II/30

```
Example (Lazy Evaluation via fold1)
foldl f v [] = v
foldl f v (x : xs) =
 let z = f v x
  in foldl f z xs
  foldl (+) 0 [1,2,3,4,5,6]
= let z_1 = 0 + 1 in fold (+) z_1 [2.3, 4.5, 6]
= let z_1 = 0 + 1 in let z_2 = z_1 + 2 in fold(+) z_2 [3,4,5,6] = ...
= let z_1 = 0 + 1 in let z_2 = z_1 + 2 in let z_3 = z_2 + 3 in
 let z_4 = z_3 + 4 in let z_5 = z_4 + 5 in let z_6 = z_5 + 6 in fold (+) z_6
= let z_1 = 0 + 1 in let z_2 = z_1 + 2 in let z_3 = z_2 + 3 in
 let z4 = z3 + 4 in let z5 = z4 + 5 in let z6 = z5 + 6 in z6
= ((((0 + 1) + 2) + 3) + 4) + 5) + 6
= ... = 21
Linear space requirement!
```

```
Example (Strict Evaluation via foldl')
foldl' f y [] = y
foldl' f y (x : xs) =
  let z = f y x
  in seq z $ foldl' f z xs
  foldl' (+) 0 [1.2.3.4.5.6]
= let z1 = 0 + 1 in seq z1 $ foldl' (+) z1 [2,3,4,5,6]
= let z1 = 1 in seq z1 $ foldl' (+) z1 [2,3,4,5,6]
= foldl' (+) 1 [2,3,4,5,6]
= let z2 = 1 + 2 in seq z2 $ foldl' (+) z2 [3,4,5,6]
= let z2 = 3 in seq z2 $ fold1' (+) z2 [3,4,5,6]
= foldl' (+) 3 [3,4,5,6]
= ...
= foldl' (+) 21 []
= 21
Constant space requirement!
```

```
Example (Sometimes fold1 is Preferable)
mulNS x 0 = 0
mulNS x y = x * y
-- compare
fold1 mulNS 1 [3,6,undefined,0,7]
-- with
fold1' mulNS 1 [3,6,undefined,0,7]
```

-- result: only the former succeeds

## Use seq Carefully

- seq forces only an evaluation, if seq itself is at a position which should be evaluated
- usually, put seq on the outside

```
f 0 y = ...
f x y = let z = ... in z `seq` f (x - 1) z -- evaluation of z to WHNF
f x y = let z = ... in f (x - 1) (z `seq` z) -- no effect
f x y =
   let x1 = x - 1;
        z = ...
in x1 `seq` z `seq` f x1 z -- evaluate both x1 and z to WHNF
        -- here: useless for x1
```

Benefits from Lazy Evaluation: Modularity

- composing several programs can work out nicely with lazy evaluation, but is not performant with strict evaluation
- example: compute the ten least-most elements in a list xs
- lazy approach: take 10 (sort xs)
  - approach can be efficient, since due to laziness, not all of sorted xs has to be computed (efficiency depends on utilized sorting algorithm)
- strict approach
  - take 10 (sort xs) is inefficient to evaluate, if xs is long
  - writing separate program from scratch requires work

## Programming with Lazy Evaluation

• task

- replace all elements in a non-empty list by the minimum in the list ...
- ... with only one list-traversal
- solution

```
findMinRepl :: Ord b => a -> [b] -> (b, [a])
findMinRepl r [x] = (x, [r])
findMinRepl r (x : xs) = case findMinRepl r xs of
  (m, ys) -> (min m x, r : ys)
```

```
replAllByMin :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
replAllByMin xs =
   let (m, ys) = findMinRepl m xs
   in ys
```

trick: m is evaluated lazily in replAllByMin

```
Programming with Lazy Evaluation
findMinRepl r [x] = (x, [r])
findMinRepl r (x : xs) = case findMinRepl r xs of
  (m, ys) \rightarrow (min m x, r : ys)
replAllByMin xs = let (m, ys) = findMinRepl m xs in ys
 replABM [2,6,1]
= let (m, ys) = fMR m [2,6,1] in ys
= let (m, ys) = case fMR m [6,1] of (m1, ys1) -> (min m1 2, m : ys1) in ys
= let (m, ys) = case (case fMR m [1] of (m2, ys2) -> (min m2 6, m : ys2))
     of (m1, ys1) -> (min m1 2, m : ys1) in ys
= let (m, ys) = case (case (1, [m]) of (m2, ys2) -> (min m2 6, m : ys2))
     of (m1, ys1) -> (min m1 2, m : ys1) in ys
= let (m, ys) = case (min 1 6, [m, m])
     of (m1, ys1) -> (min m1 2, m : ys1) in ys
= let (m, ys) = (min (min 1 6) 2, [m, m, m]) in ys
= [min (min 1 6) 2, min (min 1 6) 2, min (min 1 6) 2] = ... = [1, 1, 1]
```

**Programming with Lazy Evaluation – Lazy Arrays** 

- several container data structures (arrays, dictionaries, ...) are provided both in a strict and in a lazy variant in Haskell libraries
- advantage of strict versions
  - no overhead from working with thunks
  - less memory consumption, no boxing and unboxing of values
- advantage of lazy versions
  - lazy initialization becomes possible: already consume parts during construction (similar to m in previous example)
- documentation
  - https://hackage.haskell.org/package/array/docs/Data-Array-IArray.html
  - https://hackage.haskell.org/package/array/docs/Data-Array-Unboxed.html

### **Example with Lazy Initialization**

import qualified Data.Array.IArray as L -- lazy, boxed, immutable arrays

```
fibsLazyArray :: Int -> [Integer]
fibsLazyArray n =
  let a :: L.Array Int Integer
        a = L.genArray (0,n)
        (\ i -> if i <= 1 then 1 else a L.! (i - 1) + a L.! (i - 2))
    in L.elems a</pre>
```

-- lazy approach: in order to construct array a, we already use it

-- index types Ix might be Int, Integer, Char, (Int, Int), ...
-- L.genArray :: (IArray a e, Ix i) => (i, i) -> (i -> e) -> a i e
-- (L.!) :: (IArray a e, Ix i) => a i e -> i -> e
-- L.elems :: (IArray a e, Ix i) => a i e -> [e]

```
Lazy Initialization does Not Work with Strict Arrays
import Data.Array.Unboxed as S -- strict, unboxed arrays
-- UArray can store elements of type Int, Word32, ...,
-- but not Integer, String, ...
```

```
import Data.Word (Word64)
```

```
fibsStrictArray :: Int -> [Word64]
fibsStrictArray n =
  let a :: S.UArray Int Word64
        a = S.genArray (0,n)
        (\ i -> if i <= 1 then 1 else a S.! (i - 1) + a S.! (i - 2))
    in S.elems a</pre>
```

-- computation of fibsStrictArray 10 does not succeed

-- similar interface in comparison to lazy arrays

-- S.genArray :: (S.IArray a e, S.Ix i) => (i, i) -> (i -> e) -> a i e

Another Example for Lazy Containers: Dynamic Programming

- bracketing problem
  - given is list of n-1 compatible matrices  $A_0 A_1 \ldots A_{n-2}$
  - in fact, only the dimensions of  $A_i$  are given:  $[a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}]$ ,  $A_i$  has dimension  $a_i \times a_{i+1}$
  - task: figure out cheapest way to multiply all matrices, e.g.,  $(A_0A_1)(A_2(A_3A_4))$
  - algorithm computes optimal costs to multiply  $A_i \dots A_i$
  - cost(i, i) = 0

• 
$$cost(i,j) = min\{cost(i,k) + cost(k+1,j) + \underbrace{a_i a_{k+1} a_{j+1}}_{matrix-multiplication} \mid i \le k < j\}$$
 if  $i < j$ 

matrix-multiplicatio

$$A_i \dots A_j = \underbrace{(A_i \dots A_k)}_{a_i \times a_{k+1}} \underbrace{(A_{k+1} \dots A_j)}_{a_{k+1} \times a_{j+1}}$$

- naive recursive computation of *cost* results in exponential algorithm
- solution: dynamic programming
  - compute values of cost(i, j) for increasing differences of i and j without recomputation

## Lazy Maps and Sets

- Data.Map.Lazy provides lazy dictionaries (or: maps) in Haskell
- multiple construction possibilities
  - empty :: Map k v
  - insert :: Ord  $k \Rightarrow k \rightarrow v \rightarrow Map \ k \ v \rightarrow Map \ k v$
  - unionWith :: Ord k =>  $(v \rightarrow v \rightarrow v) \rightarrow Map k v \rightarrow Map k v \rightarrow Map k v$
  - fromList :: Ord k => [(k, v)] -> Map k v
- querying single keys
  - lookup :: Ord  $k \implies k \rightarrow Map \ k \ v \rightarrow Maybe \ v$
  - ! :: Ord k => Map k v -> k -> v

(optional value) (might throw error)

- implemented as balanced trees
- Data.Set has similar functionality to represent sets
- documentation
  - https://hackage.haskell.org/package/containers/docs/Data-Map-Lazy.html
  - https://hackage.haskell.org/package/containers/docs/Data-Map-Strict.html
  - https://hackage.haskell.org/package/containers/docs/Data-Set.html

```
Implementation of Bracketing Problem in Haskell via Lazy Maps
import gualified Data.Array.IArray as L
import qualified Data.Map.Lazy as M -- lazy dictionaries
optBracketCosts :: [Integer] -> Integer
optBracketCosts xs =
  let n = \text{length } xs - 1
      a = L.listArray (0,n) xs :: L.Array Int Integer
      m = M.fromList [((i,j),cost i j) | i <- [0..n - 1], j <- [i..n-1]]
      cost i j
        | i == j = 0
        | otherwise = foldr1 min [costSplit k \mid k < - [i .. j - 1]] where
           costSplit k =
             let c1 = m M.! (i,k)
                 c2 = m M.! (k+1, j)
             in c1 + c2 + a L.! i * a L.! (k + 1) * a L.! (j + 1)
  in cost 0 (n-1)
```

## Analysis of optBracketCosts

- no explicit sequence is given, in which dictionary is filled
- instead, an over-approximation of required values (i,j) is used: i <- [0..n - 1], j <- [i..n-1]</pre>
- recursion is done implicitly: from (i,j) with i <= k <= j 1
  invoke both (i,k) and (k+1,j)</pre>
- input list  $\mathbf{xs}$  is converted to array  $\mathbf{a}$  for efficient element access
- the array might be changed to strict version (if input would be [Int]), but the dictionary must be lazy

Comparison of Maps and Immutable Arrays in Haskell

- lookup is logarithmic for maps, but constant time for arrays
- keys are arbitrary ordered objects, whereas type of array indices is restricted
- keys can have arbitrary gaps, whereas indices in arrays are dense
- maps also support deletion and change of key-value pairs
- both are available in strict and lazy version
- several variants of maps are available https://haskell-containers.readthedocs.io/en/latest/map.html

```
Exercise – Task 1 (5 points)
```

```
Design an algorithm optBrackets :: [Integer] -> Brackets that computes an optimal bracketing, represented by the following data type, where the integer in a split indicates the index of the matrix where the outermost brackets are added.
```

```
data Brackets = Leaf | Split Brackets Int Brackets
```

For instance, Split (Split Leaf 0 Leaf) 1 (Split Leaf 2 (Split Leaf 3 Leaf)) represents the bracketing  $(A_0A_1)(A_2(A_3A_4))$ .

Your algorithm should be similar in structure to optBracketCosts.

#### Exercise – Task 2 (5 points)

First order terms are either variables or function symbols that are applied on lists of terms. The following inference rules describe the embedding relation on terms.

• 
$$\frac{s_1 \succeq_{emb} t_1 \dots s_n \succeq_{emb} t_n}{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succeq_{emb} f(t_1, \dots, t_n)} \text{ (args)}$$
  
• 
$$\frac{s_i \succeq_{emb} t}{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succeq_{emb} t} \text{ (sub)}$$

• 
$$\overline{x \succeq_{emb} x}$$
 (var)

For example, one can infer  $f(m(x, y), s(z)) \gtrsim_{emb} f(y, s(z))$ In the template file you find a naive implementation of the embedding relation. It requires exponential time because of many overlapping recursive calls. Design a more efficient Haskell function that decides  $s \gtrsim_{emb} t$ . It should avoid overlapping recursive calls by using lazy dictionaries or lazy arrays.

## A Note on the Haskell Sources

do {testsBrackets: testsEmb}

:m Exercise01

- $\bullet\,$  the demos and exercises are provided as a cabal package
- make sure to have ghc and cabal installed (via package manager or via ghcup)
- download and extract the sources from the AFP website
- change directory into demos (where afp.cabal is located)
- cabal repl (run cabal project interactively)
  - (open Exercise01.hs)
    - (run tests)
  - (edit src/Exercise01.hs)
  - (reload program after changes)
- note: on first run, lean-check and other packages might be installed
- just upload updated version of Exercise01.hs in OLAT

• :r

Literature

• Real World Haskell, pages 32–33, 108–110, 270–274, 289–292