



# **Advanced Functional Programming**

Week 1 - Organisation and Introduction, Strict- and Lazy-Evaluation

René Thiemann

Department of Computer Science

### **Organization of Course**

• LV-Number: 703139

• lecturer: René Thiemann

consultation hours: Tuesday 10:15-11:15 in 3M09 (ICT building)

• time and place: Tuesday, 13:15 - 15:45 in 3W04

• course website: http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/teaching/ws24/afp/

• lecture will be in English

slides are available online and contain links

• modus: VU 3

• 3 hours per week

attendance is obligatory

• VU: lecture and exercises combined

• today: just lecture

• from next week onwards: first presentation of exercises, afterwards lecture



RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 2/30

#### Schedule

- detailed schedule: see website
- special dates
  - today: just lecture
  - January 21, Q & A session, no new content
  - January 28: no large enough room for first exam
  - February 6, 9:00 12:00: first exam



#### **Evaluation**

- 50 % exercises + 50 % exam
- 1st exam on February 6, repeat exams will be scheduled on demand
- exercises will be handed out every week
- mark solved exercises and upload Haskell sources in OLAT
- deadline in OLAT: Monday, 3pm
- definition of solved:
  - 100 % solutions are not required, but a significant part of tasks should have been solved
  - capability to explain your solution to everyone in this room
  - not permitted: just copy some internet/chatGPT solution without understanding it
- positive evaluation: get in total at least 50 % of points

#### Literature

- slides and exercises
  - no other topics will appear in exam ...
  - ... but topics need to be understood thoroughly
    - read and write functional programs
    - apply presented techniques on new examples
    - not only knowledge reproduction
- Bryan O'Sullivan, John Goerzen and Don Stewart. Real World Haskell, O'Reilly.
- ... see slides

RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 5/30 RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 6/30

### Prerequisites: Basic Knowledge of Functional Programming

- knowledge on lists, trees and other algebraic data types
- knowledge on recursive function definitions
- basic knowledge on type-classes (Eq, Ord, Show, Num)
- basic knowledge on programming with higher-order functions (map, filter, foldr, ., partial application, ...)
- basic knowledge on IO (separate pure from IO-computations, do-notation, ...)

Strict- and Lazy-Evaluation

RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 7/30 RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 8/30

#### Example

#### Consider

- program square x = x \* x, and
- expression square (3 + 2)

#### **Different Ways to Apply Equations**

• strict/innermost: evaluate arguments before doing a function application

```
square (3 + 2) = square 5 = 5 * 5 = 25
```

• non-strict/lazy: apply program equation as soon as possible

```
square (3 + 2) = (3 + 2) * (3 + 2) = 5 * 5 = 25
```

where the sub-expression 3+2 is shared and hence, only evaluated once

RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1

```
Example (foldl and foldl')
foldl, foldl' :: (b -> a -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldl f y [] = y
foldl f y (x : xs) =
    let z = f y x
    in foldl f z xs

foldl' f y [] = y
foldl' f y (x : xs) =
    let z = f y x
    in seq z $ foldl' f z xs
```

#### Remark

- seq x y returns y after evaluating x to weak-head normal form (WHNF), i.e., after outermost constructor has been computed
- example.

```
(let xs = take 2 [5..] in seq xs xs) = ... = 1 : take (2 - 1) [5 + 1..]

RT (DCS @ UIBK)

Week 1
```

#### Values and Thunks

- value: a fully evaluated term, e.g., 5, "hello", [1,2,3]
- thunk: a term that needs further evaluation, e.g., 2 + 3, "hel" ++ "lo", ...
- strict/innermost: evaluate arguments to values before invoking function application
- non-strict/lazy: arguments can be passed as values or as thunks
- consequences

RT (DCS @ UIBK)

= ... = 21

Linear space requirement!

9/30

- strict/innermost is easier to implement; takes less space per cell
- non-strict/lazy includes overhead when working with thunks; admits new kinds of programming styles
- ML and OCaml use a strict/innermost evaluation strategy
- Haskell uses non-strict/lazy as default evaluation strategy; strict/innermost on demand
  - offer strict and lazy folding functions
  - offer strict and lazy arrays

Example (Lazy Evaluation via fold1)

=((((0+1)+2)+3)+4)+5)+6

- offer strict and lazy dictionaries
- enforce strictness via seq, via strict datatypes, ...

```
foldl f y [] = y
foldl f y (x : xs) =
  let z = f y x
  in foldl f z xs

foldl (+) 0 [1,2,3,4,5,6]
= let z1 = 0 + 1 in foldl (+) z1 [2,3,4,5,6]
= let z1 = 0 + 1 in let z2 = z1 + 2 in foldl (+) z2 [3,4,5,6] = ...
= let z1 = 0 + 1 in let z2 = z1 + 2 in let z3 = z2 + 3 in
  let z4 = z3 + 4 in let z5 = z4 + 5 in let z6 = z5 + 6 in foldl (+) z6 []
= let z1 = 0 + 1 in let z2 = z1 + 2 in let z3 = z2 + 3 in
  let z4 = z3 + 4 in let z5 = z4 + 5 in let z6 = z5 + 6 in z6
```

Week 1

10/30

12/30

RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1

```
Example (Strict Evaluation via foldl')
 foldl' f y [] = y
 foldl' f y (x : xs) =
   let z = f y x
   in seq z $ foldl' f z xs
   foldl' (+) 0 [1,2,3,4,5,6]
 = let z1 = 0 + 1 in seq z1 $ foldl' (+) z1 [2,3,4,5,6]
 = let z1 = 1 in seq z1 $ foldl' (+) z1 [2,3,4,5,6]
 = foldl' (+) 1 [2,3,4,5,6]
 = let z^2 = 1 + 2 in seq z^2 $ foldl' (+) z^2 [3,4,5,6]
 = let z^2 = 3 in seq z^2 $ foldl' (+) z^2 [3,4,5,6]
 = foldl' (+) 3 [3,4,5,6]
 = ...
 = foldl' (+) 21 []
 = 21
 Constant space requirement!
RT (DCS @ UIBK)
```

Example (Sometimes foldl is Preferable)

```
mulNS \times 0 = 0
mulNS x y = x * y
-- compare
fold1 mulNS 1 [3,6,undefined,0,7]
-- with
foldl' mulNS 1 [3,6,undefined,0,7]
-- result: only the former succeeds
```

Week 1

14/30

# Use seq Carefully

· seq forces only an evaluation, if seq itself is at a position which should be evaluated

Week 1

usually, put seq on the outside

```
f \ 0 \ y = ...
f \times y = let z = ... in z `seq` f (x - 1) z -- evaluation of z to WHNF
f \times y = let z = ... in f (x - 1) (z `seq` z) -- no effect
f \times v =
  let x1 = x - 1;
      z = \dots
  in x1 `seq` z `seq` f x1 z
                                   -- evaluate both x1 and z to WHNF
                                   -- here: useless for x1
```

# Benefits from Lazy Evaluation: Modularity

- composing several programs can work out nicely with lazy evaluation, but is not performant with strict evaluation
- example: compute the ten least-most elements in a list xs
- lazy approach: take 10 (sort xs)
  - approach can be efficient, since due to laziness, not all of sorted xs has to be computed (efficiency depends on utilized sorting algorithm)
- strict approach

RT (DCS @ UIBK)

13/30

- take 10 (sort xs) is inefficient to evaluate, if xs is long
- writing separate program from scratch requires work

RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 15/30 RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 16/30

### **Programming with Lazy Evaluation**

- task
  - replace all elements in a non-empty list by the minimum in the list . . .
  - ... with only one list-traversal
- solution

```
findMinRepl :: Ord b => a -> [b] -> (b, [a])
findMinRepl r [x] = (x, [r])
findMinRepl r (x : xs) = case findMinRepl r xs of
  (m, ys) -> (min m x, r : ys)

replAllByMin :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
replAllByMin xs =
  let (m, ys) = findMinRepl m xs
  in ys
```

• trick: m is evaluated lazily in replAllByMin

RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1

### Programming with Lazy Evaluation – Lazy Arrays

- several container data structures (arrays, dictionaries, ...) are provided both in a strict and in a lazy variant in Haskell libraries
- advantage of strict versions
  - no overhead from working with thunks
  - less memory consumption, no boxing and unboxing of values
- advantage of lazy versions
  - lazy initialization becomes possible: already consume parts during construction (similar to m in previous example)
- documentation
  - https://hackage.haskell.org/package/array/docs/Data-Array-IArray.html
  - https://hackage.haskell.org/package/array/docs/Data-Array-Unboxed.html

### **Programming with Lazy Evaluation**

```
findMinRepl r [x] = (x, [r])
 findMinRepl r (x : xs) = case findMinRepl r xs of
   (m, vs) \rightarrow (min m x, r : vs)
 replallByMin xs = let (m, vs) = findMinRepl m xs in vs
   replABM [2,6,1]
 = let (m, ys) = fMR m [2,6,1] in ys
 = let (m, ys) = case fMR m [6,1] of (m1, ys1) -> (min m1 2, m : ys1) in ys
 = let (m, ys) = case (case fMR m [1] of (m2, ys2) -> (min m2 6, m : ys2))
      of (m1, ys1) -> (min m1 2, m : ys1) in ys
 = let (m, ys) = case (case (1, [m]) of (m2, ys2) -> (min m2 6, m : ys2))
      of (m1, ys1) -> (min m1 2, m : ys1) in ys
 = let (m, ys) = case (min 16, [m, m])
      of (m1, ys1) -> (min m1 2, m : ys1) in ys
 = let (m, ys) = (min (min 1 6) 2, [m, m, m]) in ys
 = [\min (\min 16) 2, \min (\min 16) 2, \min (\min 16) 2] = ... = [1, 1, 1]
RT (DCS @ UIBK)
                                      Week 1
                                                                              18/30
```

#### **Example with Lazy Initialization**

```
import qualified Data.Array.IArray as L -- lazy, boxed, immutable arrays
fibsLazyArray :: Int -> [Integer]
fibsLazyArray n =
  let a :: L.Array Int Integer
    a = L.genArray (0,n)
        (\ i -> if i <= 1 then 1 else a L.! (i - 1) + a L.! (i - 2))
  in L.elems a

-- lazy approach: in order to construct array a, we already use it

-- index types Ix might be Int, Integer, Char, (Int, Int), ...
-- L.genArray :: (IArray a e, Ix i) => (i, i) -> (i -> e) -> a i e
-- (L.!) :: (IArray a e, Ix i) => a i e -> i -> e
-- L.elems :: (IArray a e, Ix i) => a i e -> [e]
```

RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 19/30 RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 20/30

17/30

#### Lazy Initialization does Not Work with Strict Arrays

```
import Data.Array.Unboxed as S -- strict, unboxed arrays
-- UArray can store elements of type Int, Word32, ...,
-- but not Integer, String, ...
import Data.Word (Word64)

fibsStrictArray :: Int -> [Word64]
fibsStrictArray n =
    let a :: S.UArray Int Word64
        a = S.genArray (0,n)
            (\ i -> if i <= 1 then 1 else a S.! (i - 1) + a S.! (i - 2))
    in S.elems a

-- computation of fibsStrictArray 10 does not succeed
-- similar interface in comparison to lazy arrays
-- S.genArray :: (S.IArray a e, S.Ix i) => (i, i) -> (i -> e) -> a i e
RT (DCS@UBK)

Week 1
```

#### Lazy Maps and Sets

• Data.Map.Lazy provides lazy dictionaries (or: maps) in Haskell

• insert :: Ord  $k \Rightarrow k \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow Map k v \Rightarrow Map k v$ 

multiple construction possibilities

• empty :: Map k v

```
    unionWith :: Ord k => (v -> v -> v) -> Map k v -> Map k v -> Map k v
    fromList :: Ord k => [(k, v)] -> Map k v
    querying single keys
    lookup :: Ord k => k -> Map k v -> Maybe v
    (optional value)
```

- implemented as balanced trees
- Data.Set has similar functionality to represent sets

• ! :: Ord  $k \Rightarrow Map k v \rightarrow k \rightarrow v$ 

- documentation
  - https://hackage.haskell.org/package/containers/docs/Data-Map-Lazy.html
  - https://hackage.haskell.org/package/containers/docs/Data-Map-Strict.html
  - https://hackage.haskell.org/package/containers/docs/Data-Set.html

#### Another Example for Lazy Containers: Dynamic Programming

- bracketing problem
  - given is list of n-1 compatible matrices  $A_0$   $A_1$  ...  $A_{n-2}$
  - in fact, only the dimensions of  $A_i$  are given:  $[a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}]$ ,  $A_i$  has dimension  $a_i \times a_{i+1}$
  - task: figure out cheapest way to multiply all matrices, e.g.,  $(A_0A_1)(A_2(A_3A_4))$
  - algorithm computes optimal costs to multiply  $A_i \dots A_i$
  - cost(i,i) = 0

RT (DCS @ UIBK)

21/30

(might throw error)

•  $cost(i,j) = min\{cost(i,k) + cost(k+1,j) + \underbrace{a_i a_{k+1} a_{j+1}}_{\text{matrix-multiolication}} \mid i \leq k < j\}$  if i < j

$$A_i \dots A_j = \underbrace{(A_i \dots A_k)}_{a_i \times a_{k+1}} \underbrace{(A_{k+1} \dots A_j)}_{a_{k+1} \times a_{j+1}}$$

- naive recursive computation of cost results in exponential algorithm
- solution: dynamic programming
  - ullet compute values of cost(i,j) for increasing differences of i and j without recomputation

22/30

Week 1

### Implementation of Bracketing Problem in Haskell via Lazy Maps

RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 23/30 RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 24/30

#### Analysis of optBracketCosts

- no explicit sequence is given, in which dictionary is filled
- instead, an over-approximation of required values (i, j) is used:  $i \leftarrow [0..n - 1], j \leftarrow [i..n-1]$
- recursion is done implicitly: from (i,j) with  $i \le k \le j-1$ invoke both (i,k) and (k+1,j)
- input list xs is converted to array a for efficient element access
- the array might be changed to strict version (if input would be [Int]), but the dictionary must be lazy

## Comparison of Maps and Immutable Arrays in Haskell • lookup is logarithmic for maps, but constant time for arrays

- keys are arbitrary ordered objects, whereas type of array indices is restricted
- keys can have arbitrary gaps, whereas indices in arrays are dense
- maps also support deletion and change of key-value pairs
- both are available in strict and lazy version
- several variants of maps are available https://haskell-containers.readthedocs.io/en/latest/map.html

RT (DCS @ UIBK) RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 25/30 Week 1 26/30

### Exercise – Task 1 (5 points)

Design an algorithm optBrackets :: [Integer] -> Brackets that computes an optimal bracketing, represented by the following data type, where the integer in a split indicates the index of the matrix where the outermost brackets are added.

For instance, Split (Split Leaf 0 Leaf) 1 (Split Leaf 2 (Split Leaf 3 Leaf)) represents the bracketing  $(A_0A_1)(A_2(A_3A_4))$ .

Your algorithm should be similar in structure to optBracketCosts.

# Exercise – Task 2 (5 points)

First order terms are either variables or function symbols that are applied on lists of terms. The following inference rules describe the embedding relation on terms.

$$\bullet \ \frac{s_1 \succsim_{emb} t_1 \ \dots \ s_n \succsim_{emb} t_n}{f(s_1,\dots,s_n) \succsim_{emb} f(t_1,\dots,t_n)} \ (\text{args})$$

$$\bullet \ \frac{s_i \succsim_{emb} t}{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succsim_{emb} t} \ (\text{sub})$$

• 
$$\frac{}{x \succsim_{emb} x}$$
 (var)

For example, one can infer  $f(m(x,y),s(z)) \succsim_{emb} f(y,s(z))$ 

In the template file you find a naive implementation of the embedding relation. It requires exponential time because of many overlapping recursive calls. Design a more efficient Haskell function that decides  $s \succeq_{emb} t$ . It should avoid overlapping recursive calls by using lazy dictionaries or lazy arrays.

RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 27/30 RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 28/30

#### A Note on the Haskell Sources

- the demos and exercises are provided as a cabal package
- make sure to have ghc and cabal installed (via package manager or via ghcup)
- download and extract the sources from the AFP website
- change directory into demos (where afp.cabal is located)
- (run cabal project interactively) • cabal repl
- (open Exercise01.hs) • :m Exercise01
- do {testsBrackets; testsEmb}

(run tests)

(edit src/Exercise01.hs)

- (reload program after changes) • :r
- just upload updated version of Exercise01.hs in OLAT

• note: on first run, lean-check and other packages might be installed

#### Literature

• Real World Haskell, pages 32–33, 108–110, 270–274, 289–292

RT (DCS @ UIBK) RT (DCS @ UIBK) Week 1 29/30 Week 1 30/30