



Some Solutions

1. Study the slides.
2. If we orient the equation $f(f(x)) \approx g(x)$ from right to left, we obtain the complete TRS consisting of the rule

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x)) \quad (1)$$

Orienting the given equation from left to right gives the rule

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x) \quad (2)$$

The only critical pair, $f(g(x)) \approx g(f(x))$, can be oriented both ways:

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x)) \quad (3)$$

or

$$g(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(x)) \quad (4)$$

In both cases there is one new convergent critical pair. So we end up with the following three TRSs: $\mathcal{R}_1 = \{(1)\}$, $\mathcal{R}_2 = \{(2), (3)\}$, and $\mathcal{R}_3 = \{(2), (4)\}$. All three TRSs are reduced and terminating. The first two are compatible with LPO with respective precedences $g > f$ and $f > g$. For \mathcal{R}_3 we can take KBO with $w(f) = w(g) = w_0 = 1$ and $g > f$.

3. The first equation of the given ES is oriented into

$$f(f(f(x))) \rightarrow x \quad (1)$$

Using this rule, the second equation simplifies to $f(f(x)) \approx x$ and is subsequently oriented into

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow x \quad (2)$$

Rule (2) simplifies rule (1) into

$$f(x) \rightarrow x \quad (3)$$

Rule (3) makes rule (2) superfluous. It follows that the last rule constitutes a complete and reduced TRS for the given ES.

4. None of the ten critical pairs are reduced. The reason is simply that critical pairs of a reduced SRS are never reduced.
5. Use the weight function $w(0) = w(s) = w(p) = w(\ominus) = w(-) = 1$ and $w(+)=3$ together with the precedence $-, \ominus > s, p$.
6. Use the weight function $w(\text{average}) = 0$ and $w(0) = w(s) = 1$ together with the empty precedence.
7. (a) Suppose \mathcal{R} is simply terminating. By definition there exists a compatible reduction order $>$ with the subterm property. Because $>$ has the subterm property, $\mathcal{E}mb \subseteq >$. Hence $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{E}mb$ is terminating. Conversely, if $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{E}mb$ is terminating then $> := \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{E}mb}^+$ is a reduction order that is compatible with $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{E}mb$ and thus also with \mathcal{R} . From the inclusion $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{E}mb}^+ \subseteq >$ it easily follows that $>$ has the subterm property. Hence \mathcal{R} is simply terminating.
(b) It suffices to show that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) >_{\text{kbo}} x_i$$

for every n -ary function symbol f with $n \geq 1$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and *any* precedence and admissible weight function. We distinguish two cases. If $n > 1$ or $w(f) > 0$ then $w(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) > w(x_i)$. In the other case $n = 1$ and $w(f) = 0$, and thus $w(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = w(x_i)$. In this case we conclude

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) >_{\text{kbo}} x_i$$

by the first clause of the second case in the definition of KBO.

- (c) Suppose \mathcal{R} is a polynomially terminating TRS. Let \mathcal{F} be the signature of \mathcal{R} . So \mathcal{R} is compatible with an algebra $(\mathbb{N}, \{f_{\mathbb{N}}\}_{f \in \mathcal{F}}, >)$ such that $f_{\mathbb{N}}$ is a strictly monotone polynomial function for every function symbol $f \in \mathcal{F}$. An easy induction on the value of a_i shows that (\star) $f_{\mathbb{N}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq a_i$ for all n -ary function symbols f , natural numbers a_1, \dots, a_n , and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We will now construct a reduction order with the subterm property that is compatible with \mathcal{R} . The obvious candidate $>_{\mathbb{N}}$ does not work since the subterm property may not be satisfied. The trick is to extend $>_{\mathbb{N}}$ to a relation \sqsupset as follows: $s \sqsupset t$ if

- $s >_{\mathbb{N}} t$, or
- $s \geq_{\mathbb{N}} t$ and $|s\sigma| > |t\sigma|$ for all substitutions σ .

It is not difficult to check that \sqsupset is a reduction order with the subterm property that is compatible with \mathcal{R} . The proof of the subterm property of \sqsupset uses (\star) .

8. (a) The following sequence in $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\text{mb}}$ reveals that \mathcal{R} cannot be simply terminating:

$$s(x) \div s(s(x)) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s((x - s(x)) \div s(s(x))) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{mb}}} (x - s(x)) \div s(s(x)) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{mb}}} s(x) \div s(s(x))$$

According to part (c) of the previous exercise, \mathcal{R} is not polynomially terminating.

- (b) No. Since LPO has the subterm property (cf. exercise 6 of day 2) and \mathcal{R} is not simply terminating, LPO cannot be used to show the termination of \mathcal{R} .
- (c) No. The rule $s(x) \div s(y) \rightarrow s((x - y) \div s(y))$ is duplicating. Alternatively, one can use part (b) of the previous exercise.
9. (a) There are two dependency pairs:

$$f^{\#}(s(x), y) \rightarrow f^{\#}(f(x, y), y) \qquad f^{\#}(s(x), y) \rightarrow f^{\#}(x, y)$$

- (b) No, because the rule $f(s(x), y) \rightarrow f(f(x, y), y)$ is duplicating.
- (c) Consider the reduction pair $(>_{\mathbb{N}}, \geq_{\mathbb{N}})$ induced by the following weakly monotone interpretation in \mathbb{N} : $0_{\mathbb{N}} = 0$, $s_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x + 1$, and $f_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = f^{\#}_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = x$. Since $\text{DP}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq >_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \geq_{\mathbb{N}}$, \mathcal{R} is terminating.
- *10. (a) No, because the rule $\text{low}(n, m : x) \rightarrow \text{if-low}(m \leq n, n, m : x)$ is duplicating.
- (b) There are 15 dependency pairs:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{low}^{\#}(n, m : x) \rightarrow \text{if-low}^{\#}(m \leq n, n, m : x) & \text{if-low}^{\#}(\text{false}, n, m : x) \rightarrow \text{low}^{\#}(n, x) \\ \text{low}^{\#}(n, m : x) \rightarrow m \leq^{\#} n & \text{if-low}^{\#}(\text{true}, n, m : x) \rightarrow \text{low}^{\#}(n, x) \\ \text{high}^{\#}(n, m : x) \rightarrow m \leq^{\#} n & \text{if-high}^{\#}(\text{false}, n, m : x) \rightarrow \text{high}^{\#}(n, x) \\ \text{high}^{\#}(n, m : x) \rightarrow \text{if-high}^{\#}(m \leq n, n, m : x) & \text{if-high}^{\#}(\text{true}, n, m : x) \rightarrow \text{high}^{\#}(n, x) \\ (n : x) ++^{\#} y \rightarrow x ++^{\#} y & s(x) \leq^{\#} s(y) \rightarrow x \leq^{\#} y \\ \text{quicksort}^{\#}(n : x) \rightarrow \text{quicksort}(\text{low}(n, x)) ++^{\#} (n : \text{quicksort}(\text{high}(n, x))) & \\ \text{quicksort}^{\#}(n : x) \rightarrow \text{quicksort}^{\#}(\text{low}(n, x)) & \text{quicksort}^{\#}(n : x) \rightarrow \text{low}^{\#}(n, x) \\ \text{quicksort}^{\#}(n : x) \rightarrow \text{quicksort}^{\#}(\text{high}(n, x)) & \text{quicksort}^{\#}(n : x) \rightarrow \text{high}^{\#}(n, x) \end{array}$$

- (c) The resulting constraints are satisfied by the following weakly monotone interpretation in the positive natural numbers: $0_{\mathbb{N}} = \text{false}_{\mathbb{N}} = \text{true}_{\mathbb{N}} = \text{nil}_{\mathbb{N}} = 1$, $s_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x + 1$, $\text{low}_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = \text{high}_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = y$, $\text{if-low}_{\mathbb{N}}(b, x, y) = \text{if-high}_{\mathbb{N}}(b, x, y) = \text{if-low}_{\mathbb{N}}^{\#}(b, x, y) = \text{if-high}_{\mathbb{N}}^{\#}(b, x, y) = y$, $\text{low}_{\mathbb{N}}^{\#}(x, y) = \text{high}_{\mathbb{N}}^{\#}(x, y) = y + 1$, $\leq_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = \leq_{\mathbb{N}}^{\#}(x, y) = ++_{\mathbb{N}}^{\#}(x, y) = x$, $:_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = x + y + 1$, $++_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = x + y$, and $\text{quicksort}_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = \text{quicksort}_{\mathbb{N}}^{\#}(x) = 3^{x+1}$. (Using techniques developed in lecture 10, the termination proof becomes much simpler.)