

Satisfying KBO Constraints

Harald Zankl Aart Middeldorp

Institute of Computer Science
University of Innsbruck

June 26, 2007

- Motivation
- Knuth-Bendix Order
- Encodings
- Experimental Results
- Concluding Remarks

Why Encode Termination Problems as Satisfiability Problems?

- execution speed
- ease of implementation
- developments in SAT community are directly available

Why KBO?

- more challenging than LPO

Kurihara & Kondo *1997 2004* *LPO*

- existing implementations (in T_TT and AProVE) based on polynomial time algorithm

Korovin & Voronkov *2003*

are slow

- Motivation
- Knuth-Bendix Order
- Encodings
- Experimental Results
- Concluding Remarks

Definition

- **quasi-precedence** \succsim is quasi-order on signature \mathcal{F}
- **weight function** (w, w_0) consists of mapping $w: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and constant $w_0 > 0$ such that $w(c) \geq w_0$ for all constants $c \in \mathcal{F}$
- **weight** of term t is

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} w_0 & \text{if } t \in \mathcal{V} \\ w(f) + \sum_{i=1}^n w(t_i) & \text{if } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \end{cases}$$

- weight function (w, w_0) is **admissible** for quasi-precedence \succsim if

$$f \succsim g \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{F}$$

whenever f is unary function symbol in \mathcal{F} with $w(f) = 0$

Definition

Knuth-Bendix order $>_{\text{kbo}}$ on terms:

$s >_{\text{kbo}} t$ if $|s|_x \geq |t|_x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and either

- ① $w(s) > w(t)$
- ② $w(s) = w(t)$ and either
 - 1 $\exists n > 0 \exists x \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $s = f_n(\dots(f_1(t))\dots)$ and $t \in \mathcal{V}$
 - 2 $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ and $f \sim g$ and $\exists i$
 $\forall j < i \quad s_j = t_j \quad s_i >_{\text{kbo}} t_i$
 - 3 $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ and $f > g$

Theorem

TRS \mathcal{R} is *KBO terminating* if

\exists *quasi-precedence* $\succsim \quad \exists$ *admissible weight function* (w, w_0)

such that $l >_{\text{kbo}} r$ for all $l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}$

Example

TRS/SK90_2.42

$$\text{flat}(\text{nil}) \rightarrow \text{nil}$$

$$\text{flat}(\text{unit}(x)) \rightarrow \text{flat}(x)$$

$$\text{flat}(x ++ y) \rightarrow \text{flat}(x) ++ \text{flat}(y)$$

$$\text{flat}(\text{unit}(x) ++ y) \rightarrow \text{flat}(x) ++ \text{flat}(y)$$

$$\text{flat}(\text{flat}(x)) \rightarrow \text{flat}(x)$$

$$x ++ \text{nil} \rightarrow x$$

$$\text{rev}(\text{nil}) \rightarrow \text{nil}$$

$$\text{rev}(\text{unit}(x)) \rightarrow \text{unit}(x)$$

$$\text{rev}(x ++ y) \rightarrow \text{rev}(y) ++ \text{rev}(x)$$

$$\text{rev}(\text{rev}(x)) \rightarrow x$$

$$(x ++ y) ++ z \rightarrow x ++ (y ++ z)$$

$$\text{nil} ++ y \rightarrow y$$

$$w(\text{flat}) = w(\text{rev}) = w(++) = 0$$

$$w(\text{unit}) = w(\text{nil}) = w_0 = 1$$

$$\text{flat} \sim \text{rev} > \text{unit} > ++ > \text{nil}$$

- Motivation
- Knuth-Bendix Order
- **Encodings**
- Experimental Results
- Concluding Remarks

Aim

define propositional formula $\text{SAT}(\mathcal{R})$ depending on TRS \mathcal{R} such that

$$\models \text{SAT}(\mathcal{R}) \implies \mathcal{R} \text{ is KBO terminating}$$

$$\not\models \text{SAT}(\mathcal{R}) \implies \mathcal{R} \text{ is not KBO terminating}$$

Problem

weight function $w: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

Solution

restrict range of weight function to $\{0, \dots, 2^k - 1\}$ (k bits)

Revised Aim

define propositional formula $\text{SAT}_k(\mathcal{R})$ such that

$$\models \text{SAT}_k(\mathcal{R}) \implies \mathcal{R} \text{ is KBO terminating}$$

$$\not\models \text{SAT}_k(\mathcal{R}) \implies \mathcal{R} \text{ is not KBO terminating in } k \text{ bits}$$

Definition (Codish, Lagoon & Stuckey 2006)

- $\mathbf{a} = \langle a_k, \dots, a_1 \rangle$
- $\lceil \mathbf{a} >_j \mathbf{b} \rceil = \begin{cases} a_1 \wedge \neg b_1 & \text{if } j = 1 \\ a_j \wedge \neg b_j \vee (a_j \leftrightarrow b_j) \wedge \lceil \mathbf{a} >_{j-1} \mathbf{b} \rceil & \text{if } j > 1 \end{cases}$
- $\lceil \mathbf{a} > \mathbf{b} \rceil = \lceil \mathbf{a} >_k \mathbf{b} \rceil$
- $\lceil \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} \rceil = \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (a_i \leftrightarrow b_i)$
- $\lceil \mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b} \rceil = \lceil \mathbf{a} > \mathbf{b} \rceil \vee \lceil \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} \rceil$

Definition

$$\ulcorner (\mathbf{a}, \varphi) + (\mathbf{b}, \psi) \urcorner = (\mathbf{s}, \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \gamma \wedge \sigma)$$

with

$$\gamma = \neg c_k \wedge \neg c_0 \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (c_i \leftrightarrow ((a_i \wedge b_i) \vee (a_i \wedge c_{i-1}) \vee (b_i \wedge c_{i-1})))$$

and

$$\sigma = \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (s_i \leftrightarrow (a_i \oplus b_i \oplus c_{i-1}))$$

- fresh variables c_i ($0 \leq i \leq k$) for carry and s_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) for sum
- $\neg c_k$ prevents overflow
- \oplus denotes exclusive or

Definition

- weight of term t

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} (w_0, \top) & \text{if } t \in \mathcal{V} \\ \lceil \mathbf{f}, \top \rceil + \sum_{i=1}^n w(t_i) \lrcorner & \text{if } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \end{cases}$$

- comparing weights

$$\lceil \mathbf{f}, \varphi \rceil > \lceil \mathbf{g}, \psi \rceil \lrcorner = \lceil \mathbf{f} > \mathbf{g} \lrcorner \wedge \varphi \wedge \psi$$

- admissibility condition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ADM}(\mathcal{F}) = & \lceil \mathbf{w}_0 > \mathbf{0} \lrcorner \wedge \bigwedge_{c \in \mathcal{F}^{(0)}} \lceil \mathbf{c} \geq \mathbf{w}_0 \lrcorner \wedge \\ & \bigwedge_{f \in \mathcal{F}^{(1)}} (\lceil \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0} \lrcorner \rightarrow \bigwedge_{g \in \mathcal{F}} (X_{fg} \vee Y_{fg})) \end{aligned}$$

Quasi-precedence

symbol-based approach Codish, Lagoon & Stuckey 2006

interpret function symbols in $\{0, \dots, |\mathcal{F}| - 1\}$

Propositionally ($l := \lceil \log_2(|\mathcal{F}|) \rceil$)

interpret function symbols in $\{\overbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}^l, \dots, \overbrace{\langle 1, \dots, 1 \rangle}^l\}$

$$\text{PREC}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigwedge_{f, g \in \mathcal{F}} ((X_{fg} \rightarrow \lceil \mathbf{f}' \rangle_l \mathbf{g}' \rceil) \wedge (Y_{fg} \rightarrow \lceil \mathbf{f}' =_l \mathbf{g}' \rceil))$$

Definition

$$\text{SAT}(s >_{\text{kbo}} t) = \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } s \in \mathcal{V} \text{ or } s = t \text{ or } \exists x |s|_x < |t|_x \\ \top \vee \neg \text{SAT}(s >_{\text{kbo}} t) & \text{if } w(s) > w(t) \\ \neg \text{SAT}(s >_{\text{kbo}} t) & \text{if } w(s) = w(t) \\ \text{SAT}(s >_{\text{kbo}} t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\text{SAT}(s >_{\text{kbo}}' t) = \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } s = f_n(\dots (f_1(t)) \dots), t \in \mathcal{V}, n > 0 \\ \text{SAT}(s_i >_{\text{kbo}} t_i) & \text{if } s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n), t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ \text{SAT}(s_i >_{\text{kbo}} t_i) & \text{if } s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n), t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m) \\ \text{SAT}(s_i >_{\text{kbo}} t_i) & \text{if } s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n), t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m) \end{cases}$$

where i is least $1 \leq j \leq \min\{m, n\}$ with $s_j \neq t_j$

Theorem

TRS \mathcal{R} is KBO terminating if

$$\text{SAT}_k(\mathcal{R}) = \text{ADM}(\mathcal{F}) \wedge \text{PREC}(\mathcal{F}) \wedge \bigwedge_{l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}} \text{SAT}(l >_{\text{kbo}} r)$$

is satisfiable

Remark

satisfying assignment encodes quasi-precedence and weight function

Pseudo Boolean Constraints (PBC)

What are PBC?

- $min : 1 * x_2 - 1 * x_3;$ (goal function)
- $-1 * x_1 + 4 * x_2 - 2 * x_5 \geq 3;$ (constraint)
- $12345678901234567890 * x_4 + 4 * x_3 \geq 10;$ (constraint)
- $2 * x_2 + 3 * x_4 = 5;$ (constraint)

Why PBC?

- **addition** and **comparisons** for free
- less implementation work
- deals with **arbitrary** large natural numbers
- faster ?

Encoding KBO

Admissibility

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}_0 &\geq 1; \\ \bar{w}(c) - \bar{w}_0 &\geq 0; & \forall \text{ constants } c \in \mathcal{F} \\ n * \bar{w}(f) + \sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} (X_{fg} + Y_{fg}) &\geq n; & \forall \text{ unary } f \in \mathcal{F}, n := |\mathcal{F}| \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{w}(f) = 2^{k-1} * f_k + \dots + 2^0 * f_1$ and $\bar{w}_0 = 2^{k-1} * w_{0k} + \dots + 2^0 * w_{01}$

Precedence

$$\begin{aligned} 2 * X_{fg} + Y_{fg} + Y_{gf} + 2 * Z_{fg} &= 2 \\ - X_{fg} &+ i(f) - i(g) \geq 0 \\ 2^l * X_{fg} + Y_{fg} + 2^l * Z_{fg} + i(f) - i(g) &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $l := \lceil \log_2(|\mathcal{F}|) \rceil$ and $i(f) = 2^{l-1} * f'_l + \dots + 2^0 * f'_1$

Encoding KBO (cont'd)

$$m := 2^k * |t|$$

$$\text{PBC}(s >_{\text{kbo}} t) = \begin{cases} KBO_{st} = 0 & \text{if } \exists x : |s|_x < |t|_x \\ -(m+1) * KBO_{st} + \bar{w}(s) - \bar{w}(t) + KBO'_{st} \geq -m; & \\ \text{PBC}(s >'_{\text{kbo}} t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with $\text{PBC}(s >'_{\text{kbo}} t) = \text{PBC}(s_i >_{\text{kbo}} t_i)$;

$$\begin{cases} KBO_{st} = 1 & \text{if } s = f_n(\dots(f_1(t))\dots) \\ -KBO'_{st} + KBO_{s_i t_i} \geq 0 & \text{if } f = g \\ -2 * KBO'_{st} + 2 * X_{fg} + Y_{fg} + KBO_{s_i t_i} \geq 0 & \text{if } f \neq g \\ KBO_{st} = 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where i is least $1 \leq j \leq \min\{m, n\}$ with $s_j \neq t_j$

- Motivation
- Knuth-Bendix Order
- Encodings
- **Experimental Results**
- Concluding Remarks

Strict Precedence

865 TRSs in version 3.2 of TPDB

method(# bits)	total time	# successes	# timeouts (60s)
sat/pbc(2)	19.2/16.4	72/76	0/0
sat/pbc(3)	20.2/16.3	77/77	0/0
sat/pbc(4)	21.9/16.1	78/78	0/0
sat/pbc(10)	86.1/16.7	78/78	1/0
T _T T	169.5	77	1

Example

TRS/various_21

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 p1 + p1 \rightarrow p2 & p1 + (p1 + x) \rightarrow p2 + x \\
 p2 + p1 \rightarrow p1 + p2 & p2 + (p1 + x) \rightarrow p1 + (p2 + x) \\
 p5 + p1 \rightarrow p1 + p5 & p5 + (p1 + x) \rightarrow p1 + (p5 + x) \\
 p5 + p2 \rightarrow p2 + p5 & p5 + (p2 + x) \rightarrow p2 + (p5 + x) \\
 p5 + p5 \rightarrow p10 & p5 + (p5 + x) \rightarrow p10 + x \\
 p10 + p1 \rightarrow p1 + p10 & p10 + (p1 + x) \rightarrow p1 + (p10 + x) \\
 p10 + p2 \rightarrow p2 + p10 & p10 + (p2 + x) \rightarrow p2 + (p10 + x) \\
 p10 + p5 \rightarrow p5 + p10 & p10 + (p5 + x) \rightarrow p5 + (p10 + x) \\
 p1 + (p2 + p2) \rightarrow p5 & p1 + (p2 + (p2 + x)) \rightarrow p5 + x \\
 p2 + (p2 + p2) \rightarrow p1 + p5 & p2 + (p2 + (p2 + x)) \rightarrow p1 + (p5 + x) \\
 & (x + y) + z \rightarrow x + (y + z)
 \end{array}$$

$$w(p1) = w(p2) = 4 \quad w(p5) = 6 \quad w(p10) = 11 \quad w(+) = 0$$

$$p2 > p1 \quad p5 > p1 \quad p10 > p1$$

$$\text{sat}(4) \quad 0.19$$

$$\text{T}\text{T}\text{T} \quad 4016.23$$

Example

TRS/HM_t000

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 0 + 0 \rightarrow 0 & 1 + 0 \rightarrow 1 & \dots \quad 9 + 0 \rightarrow 9 \\
 0 + 1 \rightarrow 1 & 1 + 1 \rightarrow 2 & \dots \quad 9 + 1 \rightarrow 1 : 0 \\
 0 + 2 \rightarrow 2 & 1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 & \dots \quad 9 + 2 \rightarrow 1 : 1 \\
 & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 + 8 \rightarrow 8 & 1 + 8 \rightarrow 9 & \dots \quad 9 + 8 \rightarrow 1 : 7 \\
 0 + 9 \rightarrow 9 & 1 + 9 \rightarrow 1 : 0 & \dots \quad 9 + 9 \rightarrow 1 : 8 \\
 x + (y : z) \rightarrow y : (x + z) & & 0 : x \rightarrow x \\
 (x : y) + z \rightarrow x : (y + z) & & x : (y : z) \rightarrow (x + y) : z
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 w(0) = w(1) = w(2) = w(3) = w(4) = 1 & w(+) = 7 \\
 w(5) = w(6) = w(7) = w(8) = w(9) = 2 & w(:) = 8 \quad + > :
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{sat}(4) & 1.77 \\
 \text{T}\text{T} & ??
 \end{array}$$

Quasi-Precedence

865 TRSs in version 3.2 of TPDB

method(# bits)	total time	# successes	# timeouts (60s)
sat/pbc(2)	20.9/16.8	73/77	0/0
sat/pbc(3)	21.9/16.9	78/78	0/0
sat/pbc(4)	22.8/17.0	79/79	0/0
sat/pbc(10)	90.2/17.2	79/79	1/0

String Rewrite Systems

322 SRSs in version 3.2 of TPDB

strict precedence

method(# bits)	total time	# successes	# timeouts (60s)
sat/pbc(2)	9.1/5.9	8/19	0
sat/pbc(3)	12.1/5.9	17/24	0
sat/pbc(4)	15.1/6.0	24/30	0
sat/pbc(7)	17.0/6.1	33/33	0
sat/pbc(10)	21.6/6.3	33/33	0
T_TT	72.4	29	1

Example

SRS/Zantema_z113

 $11 \rightarrow 43$ $33 \rightarrow 56$ $55 \rightarrow 62$ $12 \rightarrow 21$ $34 \rightarrow 11$ $56 \rightarrow 12$ $22 \rightarrow 111$ $44 \rightarrow 3$ $66 \rightarrow 21$ $T_T T$ $w(1) = 32471712256$ $w(4) = 21696293888$ $w(2) = 48725750528$ $w(5) = 44731872512$ $w(3) = 43247130624$ $w(6) = 40598731520$ $3 > 1 > 2 \quad 1 > 4$ $\text{sat}(7)$ $w(1) = 31$ $w(2) = 47$ $w(3) = 41$ $w(4) = 21$ $w(5) = 43$ $w(6) = 39$ $3 > 5 > 6 > 1 > 4 \quad 1 > 2$

- Motivation
- Knuth-Bendix Order
- Encodings
- Experimental Results
- **Concluding Remarks**

- $\forall k > 0 \exists$ TRS \mathcal{R}_k s.t. \mathcal{R}_k is terminating in k bits but not in $k - 1$ bits

$$f(g(x, y)) \rightarrow g(f(x), f(y)) \quad h(x) \rightarrow f(f(x)) \quad i(x) \rightarrow h^{2^k}(x)$$

- why is PBC better?
 - **encoding** \rightarrow less implementation work
 - **performance** \rightarrow simulating adders in SAT destroys structure
- much related work
 - LPO+AF
 - KBO+AF
 - RPO+AF
 - matrix interpretation
 - (linear) polynomial interpretations
 - semantic labeling
 - nontermination
 - ...