

## A simple rewrite proof of the equational interpolation theorem

**Theorem 1** ([1])  $\Gamma \models l = r \implies \exists I \Gamma \models I \ \& \ I \models l = r$ , with  $\Sigma(I) \subseteq \Sigma(\Gamma) \cap \Sigma(l = r)$ .

**Example 2** Let  $\Gamma = \{a_i = b, b = c_i, f(x, x) = g(x, x) \mid i \in \{1, 2\}\}$ ,  $l = f(H(a_1), H(a_2))$  and let  $r = g(H(c_1), H(c_2))$ . Then we have  $\Gamma \models l = r$  and choosing the interpolant  $I = \{a_1 = a_2, c_1 = c_2, a_1 = c_1, f(x, x) = g(x, x)\}$  yields  $\Gamma \models I \ \& \ I \models l = r$ . Note that  $\Sigma(I) \subseteq \Sigma(\Gamma) \cap \Sigma(l = r)$  holds, since  $\Sigma(l = r) = \Sigma(I) \cup \{H\}$ ,  $\Sigma(\Gamma) = \Sigma(I) \cup \{b\}$  and  $\Sigma(I) = \{a_i, c_i, f, g \mid i \in \{1, 2\}\}$ .

Symbols in  $\Sigma(l = r) - \Sigma(\Gamma)$  are said to be *alien* and ranged over by capitals, and the other symbols are *native* and ranged over by ordinary letters. For convenience we will treat variables in  $l$  and  $r$  as nullary function symbols. Our proof is based on the equivalence between equality in the equational logic for  $\Gamma$  and convertibility w.r.t. the rewrite relation  $\rightarrow_\Gamma$  generated by  $\Gamma$ :  $\Gamma \models l = r \iff l \leftrightarrow_\Gamma^* r$ . Applied to the example:

$$l \leftrightarrow f(H(b), H(a_2)) \leftrightarrow f(H(b), H(b)) \leftrightarrow g(H(b), H(b)) \leftrightarrow g(H(b), H(c_2)) \leftrightarrow r$$

Our proof of the theorem formalises the idea that aliens partition  $l$  and  $r$  into native parts, such that equality of  $l$  and  $r$  can be reduced to a number of equalities on those parts. The next lemma establishes this for the top part. It employs the fact that any term  $s$  can be uniquely partitioned into a maximal (possibly empty) native context part and a vector of *aliens*, i.e. terms with alien symbols as heads. This will be indicated by writing a term  $s$  as  $C[\vec{s}]$ . For instance,  $l$  is written as  $C[l_1, l_2]$ , with maximal native context  $C = f(\square, \square)$ , and aliens  $l_1 = H(a_1)$  and  $l_2 = H(a_2)$ .

**Lemma 3** If  $C[\vec{s}] \leftrightarrow_\Gamma^* D[\vec{t}]$ , then  $C[\vec{x}] \leftrightarrow_\Gamma^* D[\vec{y}]$  holds for some  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$ , such that identity of variables in the latter conversion implies convertibility-without-head-steps of the corresponding aliens in the former.

**Proof** A first application of [2, Lemma 3.2.1.4] yields  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  such that convertibility holds. Another application of the lemma shows that convertibility can be strengthened to convertibility-without-head-steps. (A proof by induction on the length of the conversion is easy as well.)  $\square$

**Proof** (of Theorem 1) Suppose  $l \leftrightarrow_\Gamma^* r$ . The proof is by induction on the maximal number of alien symbols on any path from the root to a leaf in  $l = C[\vec{l}]$  or  $r = D[\vec{r}]$ . By the lemma  $C[\vec{x}] \leftrightarrow_\Gamma^* D[\vec{y}]$  holds, for some  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  such that occurrences of the same variable in this conversion implies convertibility-without-head-steps of the corresponding aliens in  $l \leftrightarrow_\Gamma^* r$ . By the IH this implies that we can find an interpolant for each of these conversions. We conclude by taking the union of all these interpolants and the single equation  $C[\vec{x}] = D[\vec{y}]$ .  $\square$

This establishes a property stronger than ordinary interpolation: all equations in the interpolant are only between (variable substitution instances of) the maximal native parts of  $l$  and  $r$ .

Interpolation trivially holds for higher-order equational logic, but fails for rewrite logic.

**Example 4** In higher-order equational logic, take  $I = \{\lambda \vec{x}. l[\vec{X} := \vec{x}] = \lambda \vec{x}. r[\vec{X} := \vec{x}]\}$ , where  $\vec{X}$  is the vector of alien symbols occurring in  $l$  or  $r$ .

**Counterexample 5** Consider Example 2, replacing all  $=s$  by  $\geq s$ . Then no interpolant can be found. The problem is that we can put assumptions like  $a_i \geq c_j$  for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  in the interpolant, but this only allows us to derive such things as  $f(H(a_1), H(a_2)) \geq g(H(c_1), H(c_2))$  (for the same index  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  in the rhs) since  $f(x, x) = g(x, x)$  forces ‘synchronisation’ between the two arguments of  $f$ : in the absence of  $b$  and in the presence of  $H$ , synchronisation forces identity either of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  or of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .

## References

- [1] P.H. Rodenburg and R.J. van Glabbeek. An interpolation theorem in equational logic. Talk presented at PAM, CWI, 11th December 2002.
- [2] E. Tidén. *First-Order Unification in Combinations of Equational Theories*. PhD thesis, The Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Numerical Analysis and Computer Science, Stockholm, Sweden, August 1986. TRITA-NA-8604.