

Automatic Complexity Analysis for Rewrite Systems

Aart Middeldorp

Institute of Computer Science University of Innsbruck





Joint Spectral Radius Theory for Automated Complexity Analysis of Rewrite Systems

Aart Middeldorp

Institute of Computer Science University of Innsbruck

joint work with

Georg Moser Johannes Waldmann

Friedrich Neurauter ann Harald Zankl

Outline

- Introduction
- History
- Matrix Interpretations
- Algebraic Methods
- Automata-Based Methods
- Unifying Algebraic and Automata-Based Methods
- Concluding Remarks

```
let rec reverse =
function
| [] -> []
| x :: xs -> (reverse xs) @ (x :: []) ;;
let rec shuffle =
function
| [] -> []
| x :: xs -> x :: shuffle (reverse xs) ;;
```

```
let rec reverse =
function
| [] -> []
| x :: xs -> (reverse xs) @ (x :: []) ;;
let rec shuffle =
function
| [] -> []
| x :: xs -> x :: shuffle (reverse xs) ;;
```

shuffle([0,1,2,3,4]) evaluates to [0,4,1,3,2]

```
reverse(nil) = nil
let rec reverse =
function
                               reverse(x :: xs) = append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)
| [] -> []
| x :: xs -> (reverse xs) @ (x :: []) ;;
let rec shuffle =
function
| [] -> []
| x :: xs \rightarrow x :: shuffle (reverse xs) ;;
```

shuffle([0,1,2,3,4]) evaluates to [0,4,1,3,2]

```
let rec reverse = reverse(nil) = nil
function reverse(x :: xs) = append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)
| [] -> []
| x :: xs -> (reverse xs) @ (x :: []) ;;
let rec shuffle = shuffle(nil) = nil
function shuffle(x :: xs) = x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))
| [] -> []
| x :: xs -> x :: shuffle (reverse xs) ;;
```

shuffle([0,1,2,3,4]) evaluates to [0,4,1,3,2]

```
reverse(nil) = nil
let rec reverse =
function
                                reverse(x :: xs) = append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)
| [] -> []
| x :: xs -> (reverse xs) @ (x :: []) ;;
                                   shuffle(nil) = nil
let rec shuffle =
function
                                shuffle(x :: xs) = x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))
| [] -> []
| x :: xs \rightarrow x :: shuffle (reverse xs) ;;
                               append(nil, ys) = ys
                            append(x :: xs, ys) = x :: append(xs, ys)
shuffle([0,1,2,3,4]) evaluates to [0,4,1,3,2]
```

```
reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil
let rec reverse =
function
                                     reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)
| [] -> []
| x :: xs -> (reverse xs) @ (x :: []) ;;
                                        shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil
let rec shuffle =
function
                                     shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))
| [] -> []
| x :: xs \rightarrow x :: shuffle (reverse xs) ;;
                                    append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys
                                append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)
shuffle([0,1,2,3,4]) evaluates to [0,4,1,3,2]
```

rewrite rules

 $reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)$ $shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$ $append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys$ $append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)$

signature nil 0 (constants) reverse shuffle s (unary) append :: (binary)

rewrite rules

 $reverse(ni) \rightarrow nil$ $reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)$ $shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$ $append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys$ $append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)$

signature nil 0 (constants) reverse shuffle s (unary) append :: (binary) terms s(s(0))

rewrite rules

 $reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)$ $shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$ $append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys$ $append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)$

signature nil 0 (constants) reverse shuffle s (unary) append :: (binary)
terms s(s(0)) shuffle(0::nil)

rewrite rules

 $reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)$ $shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$ $append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys$ $append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{signature} & \mbox{nil 0 (constants)} & \mbox{reverse shuffle s (unary)} & \mbox{append :: (binary)} \\ \mbox{terms} & \mbox{s(s(0))} & \mbox{shuffle}(0:: \mbox{nil}) & \mbox{reverse}(x:: xs) \\ \mbox{reverse}(nil) \rightarrow \mbox{nil} \\ \mbox{reverse}(x:: xs) \rightarrow \mbox{append}(\mbox{reverse}(xs), x:: \mbox{nil}) \\ \mbox{shuffle}(nil) \rightarrow \mbox{nil} \\ \mbox{shuffle}(x:: xs) \rightarrow x:: \mbox{shuffle}(\mbox{reverse}(xs)) \\ \mbox{append}(\mbox{nil}, ys) \rightarrow ys \\ \mbox{append}(x:: xs, ys) \rightarrow x:: \mbox{append}(xs, ys) \\ \end{array}$

signature	nil 0 (cor	nstants)	reverse	e shuffle s (unary)	append :: (binary)
terms	s(s(0))	shuffle(0	:: nil)	reverse(x :: xs)	s(append(s(x), 0))
rewrite rules	re sh ap appen	reverse(r verse(x :: x shuffle(r nuffle(x :: x pend(nil, y nd(x :: xs, y	$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{nil}) \to n \\ \operatorname{xs}) \to a \\ \operatorname{nil}) \to n \\ \operatorname{xs}) \to x \\ \operatorname{ys}) \to y \\ \operatorname{ys}) \to y \\ \operatorname{ys}) \to x \end{array}$	il ppend(reverse(<i>xs</i>) il :: shuffle(reverse(<i>s</i> :: append(<i>xs</i> , <i>ys</i>)	ı, x :: nil) xs))
rewriting	shuffle(()::s(0)::s	s(s(0)) ::	s(s(s(0))) :: s(s(s(s(0))))::nil)

signature	nil 0 (cor	istants)	reverse	e shuffle s (unary)) append :: (binary)
terms	s(s(0))	shuffle(0	:: nil)	reverse(x :: xs)	s(append(s(x), 0))
rewrite rules	rev sh apj appen	reverse(r verse(x :: > shuffle(r uffle(x :: > pend(nil, y d(x :: xs, y	$\begin{array}{l} \text{iil}) \rightarrow \text{n}\\ \text{ks}) \rightarrow \text{a}\\ \text{iil}) \rightarrow \text{n}\\ \text{ks}) \rightarrow x\\ \text{ks}) \rightarrow x\\ \text{ks}) \rightarrow y\\ \text{ks}) \rightarrow y\\ \text{ks}) \rightarrow x \end{array}$	il ppend(reverse(<i>xs</i> il :: shuffle(reverse(s :: append(<i>xs</i> , <i>ys</i>)), <i>x</i> :: nil) <i>xs</i>))
rewriting	shuffle(0)::1::2::3	3 :: 4 :: n	il)	

signature	nil 0 (cor	nstants)	reverse	shuffle s (unary)	append :: (binary)				
terms	s(s(0))	shuffle(0	:: nil)	reverse(x :: xs)	s(append(s(x), 0))				
rewrite rules	$reverse(nil) \to nil$								
	rev	/erse(<i>x</i> ::	$\langle s angle ightarrow$ ap	ppend(reverse(<i>xs</i>)), <i>x</i> :: nil)				
		shuffle(r	$nil) \to ni$	I					
	$shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$								
	append(nil, ys) $\rightarrow ys$								
	$append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)$								
rewriting	shuffle(0)::1::2::3	3 :: 4 :: ni	I)					
	$\rightarrow 0::s$	shuffle(rev	verse(1::	2::3::4::nil))					

signature	nil 0 (cor	istants)	reverse	shuffle s (unary)	append :: (binary)			
terms	s(s(0))	shuffle(0	:: nil)	reverse(x :: xs)	s(append(s(x), 0))			
rewrite rules	$reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)$ $shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$ $append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys$							
rewriting	shuffle($0 \rightarrow 0$:: s	:: 1 :: 2 :: 3 shuffle(rev	3 :: 4 :: ni verse(1 ::	l) 2::3::4::nil))				

signature	nil 0 (cor	istants)	reverse	shuffle s (unary) append :: (binary)		
terms	s(s(0))	shuffle(0	:: nil)	reverse(x :: xs)	s(append(s(x), 0))		
rewrite rules	$reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)$ $shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$ $append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys$ $append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)$						
rewriting	shuffle(0 $\rightarrow 0$:: s $\rightarrow 0$:: s	:: 1 :: 2 :: 3 shuffle(rev shuffle(ap	3 :: 4 :: ni /erse(1 :: pend(rev	l) 2::3::4::nil)) verse(2::3::4::r	iil), 1 :: nil))		

signature	nil 0 (cor	nstants)	reverse	shuffle s (unary) append :: (binary)		
terms	s(s(0))	shuffle(0	:: nil)	reverse(x :: xs)	s(append(s(x), 0))		
rewrite rules	$reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)$ $shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$ $append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys$ $append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)$						
rewriting	shuffle(0 $\rightarrow 0 :: s$ $\rightarrow 0 :: s$::1::2::3 shuffle(rev shuffle(ap	3 :: 4 :: ni verse(1 :: pend(<mark>rev</mark>	l) 2 :: 3 :: 4 :: nil)) verse(2 :: 3 :: 4 :: 1	nil), 1 :: nil))		

signature	nil 0 (cor	nstants)	reverse	shuffle s (unary) append :: (binary)		
terms	s(s(0))	shuffle(0	:: nil)	reverse(x :: xs)	s(append(s(x), 0))		
rewrite rules	$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{reverse(nil)} \to \operatorname{nil} \\ \operatorname{reverse}(x :: xs) \to \operatorname{append}(\operatorname{reverse}(xs), x :: \operatorname{nil}) \\ \operatorname{shuffle(nil)} \to \operatorname{nil} \\ \operatorname{shuffle}(x :: xs) \to x :: \operatorname{shuffle}(\operatorname{reverse}(xs)) \\ \operatorname{append}(\operatorname{nil}, ys) \to ys \\ \operatorname{append}(x :: xs, ys) \to x :: \operatorname{append}(xs, ys) \end{array}$						
rewriting	$\begin{aligned} & shuffle(0::1::2::3::4::nil) \\ & \to 0::shuffle(reverse(1::2::3::4::nil)) \\ & \to 0::shuffle(append(reverse(2::3::4::nil),1::nil)) \\ & \to \cdots \to 0::4::1::3::2::nil \end{aligned}$						

• pair of terms $\ell \to r$ is rewrite rule if $\ell \notin \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}ar(r) \subseteq \mathcal{V}ar(\ell)$

- pair of terms $\ell \to r$ is rewrite rule if $\ell \notin \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}ar(r) \subseteq \mathcal{V}ar(\ell)$
- term rewrite system (TRS) is set \mathcal{R} of rewrite rules

- pair of terms $\ell \to r$ is rewrite rule if $\ell \notin \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}ar(r) \subseteq \mathcal{V}ar(\ell)$
- term rewrite system (TRS) is set $\mathcal R$ of rewrite rules
- rewrite relation $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$

$$\frac{\ell \to r \in \mathcal{R}}{\ell \sigma \to_{\mathcal{R}} r \sigma}$$

- pair of terms $\ell \to r$ is rewrite rule if $\ell \notin \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}ar(r) \subseteq \mathcal{V}ar(\ell)$
- term rewrite system (TRS) is set $\mathcal R$ of rewrite rules
- rewrite relation $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$

$$\frac{\ell \to r \in \mathcal{R}}{\ell \sigma \to_{\mathcal{R}} r \sigma} \qquad \qquad \frac{s \to_{\mathcal{R}} t}{f(\dots, s, \dots) \to_{\mathcal{R}} f(\dots, t, \dots)}$$

- pair of terms $\ell \to r$ is rewrite rule if $\ell \notin \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}ar(r) \subseteq \mathcal{V}ar(\ell)$
- term rewrite system (TRS) is set $\mathcal R$ of rewrite rules
- rewrite relation $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$

$$\frac{\ell \to r \in \mathcal{R}}{\ell \sigma \to_{\mathcal{R}} r \sigma} \qquad \qquad \frac{s \to_{\mathcal{R}} t}{f(\dots, s, \dots) \to_{\mathcal{R}} f(\dots, t, \dots)}$$

Definition

TRS is terminating if $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^+$ is well-founded

- pair of terms $\ell \to r$ is rewrite rule if $\ell \notin \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}ar(r) \subseteq \mathcal{V}ar(\ell)$
- term rewrite system (TRS) is set $\mathcal R$ of rewrite rules
- rewrite relation $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$

$$\frac{\ell \to r \in \mathcal{R}}{\ell \sigma \to_{\mathcal{R}} r \sigma} \qquad \qquad \frac{s \to_{\mathcal{R}} t}{f(\dots, s, \dots) \to_{\mathcal{R}} f(\dots, t, \dots)}$$

Definition

TRS is terminating if $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^+$ is well-founded

Definitions

derivation height

$$dh_{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \max \{ n \mid t \to_{\mathcal{R}}^{n} u \text{ for some term } u \}$$

- pair of terms $\ell \to r$ is rewrite rule if $\ell \notin \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}ar(r) \subseteq \mathcal{V}ar(\ell)$
- term rewrite system (TRS) is set $\mathcal R$ of rewrite rules
- rewrite relation $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$

$$\frac{\ell \to r \in \mathcal{R}}{\ell \sigma \to_{\mathcal{R}} r \sigma} \qquad \qquad \frac{s \to_{\mathcal{R}} t}{f(\dots, s, \dots) \to_{\mathcal{R}} f(\dots, t, \dots)}$$

Definition

TRS is terminating if $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^+$ is well-founded

- derivation height
- derivational complexity

$$dh_{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \max \{ n \mid t \to_{\mathcal{R}}^{n} u \text{ for some term } u \}$$
$$dc_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \max \{ dh(t) \mid |t| \leq k \}$$

TRS ${\cal R}$

$$0 + y \rightarrow y$$
 $s(x) + y \rightarrow s(x + y)$

TRS ${\mathcal R}$ is terminating

$$0 + y \rightarrow y$$
 $s(x) + y \rightarrow s(x + y)$

polynomial interpretation

$$0_{\mathbb{N}} = 0$$
 $s_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x + 1$ $+_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = 2x + y + 1$

TRS ${\mathcal R}$ is terminating

$$0 + y \rightarrow y$$
 $s(x) + y \rightarrow s(x + y)$

polynomial interpretation

$$0_{\mathbb{N}} = 0$$
 $s_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x+1$ $+_{\mathbb{N}}(x,y) = 2x+y+1$

derivation height

 $\mathsf{dh}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathsf{s}^m(0) + \mathsf{s}^n(0)) = m + 1$

TRS ${\mathcal R}$ is terminating

$$0 + y \rightarrow y$$
 $s(x) + y \rightarrow s(x + y)$

polynomial interpretation

$$0_{\mathbb{N}} = 0$$
 $s_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x+1$ $+_{\mathbb{N}}(x,y) = 2x+y+1$

derivation height

$$\mathsf{dh}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathsf{s}^m(0) + \mathsf{s}^n(0)) = m + 1$$

derivational complexity

$$t_{i} = \underbrace{s(0) + \dots + s(0)}_{i+1} \qquad t_{i} = \begin{cases} s(0) & \text{if } i = 0\\ t_{i-1} + s(0) & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}$$

TRS ${\mathcal R}$ is terminating

$$0 + y \rightarrow y$$
 $s(x) + y \rightarrow s(x + y)$

polynomial interpretation

$$0_{\mathbb{N}} = 0$$
 $s_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x + 1$ $+_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = 2x + y + 1$

derivation height

$$\mathsf{dh}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathsf{s}^m(0) + \mathsf{s}^n(0)) = m + 1$$

derivational complexity

$$t_{i} = \underbrace{s(0) + \dots + s(0)}_{i+1} \qquad t_{i} = \begin{cases} s(0) & \text{if } i = 0\\ t_{i-1} + s(0) & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}$$

 $|t_i| = 3i + 2$

TRS ${\mathcal R}$ is terminating

$$0 + y \rightarrow y$$
 $s(x) + y \rightarrow s(x + y)$

polynomial interpretation

$$0_{\mathbb{N}} = 0$$
 $s_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x + 1$ $+_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = 2x + y + 1$

derivation height

$$\mathsf{dh}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathsf{s}^m(0) + \mathsf{s}^n(0)) = m + 1$$

derivational complexity

$$t_{i} = \underbrace{s(0) + \dots + s(0)}_{i+1} \qquad t_{i} = \begin{cases} s(0) & \text{if } i = 0\\ t_{i-1} + s(0) & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}$$
$$|t_{i}| = 3i + 2 \qquad dh_{\mathcal{R}}(t_{i}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0\\ dh_{\mathcal{R}}(t_{i-1}) + i + 1 & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}$$

TRS ${\mathcal R}$ is terminating

$$0 + y \rightarrow y$$
 $s(x) + y \rightarrow s(x + y)$

polynomial interpretation

$$0_{\mathbb{N}} = 0$$
 $s_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x+1$ $+_{\mathbb{N}}(x,y) = 2x+y+1$

derivation height

$$\mathsf{dh}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathsf{s}^m(0) + \mathsf{s}^n(0)) = m + 1$$

derivational complexity

$$t_{i} = \underbrace{s(0) + \dots + s(0)}_{i+1} \qquad t_{i} = \begin{cases} s(0) & \text{if } i = 0\\ t_{i-1} + s(0) & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases} \quad dc_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \in \Theta(k^{2})$$
$$|t_{i}| = 3i + 2 \qquad dh_{\mathcal{R}}(t_{i}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0\\ dh_{\mathcal{R}}(t_{i-1}) + i + 1 & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}$$
Outline

- Introduction
- History
- Matrix Interpretations
- Algebraic Methods
- Automata-Based Methods
- Unifying Algebraic and Automata-Based Methods
- Concluding Remarks

1967 Knuth-Bendix order

1967 Knuth-Bendix order

1967 Knuth-Bendix order

1967 Knuth-Bendix order

derivational complexity 1979

1967 Knuth-Bendix order

- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order

derivational complexity 1980

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order

derivational complexity 1981

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order

derivational complexity 1983

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order

derivational complexity 1989

1989 Hofbauer and Lautemann

Theorem (Hofbauer and Lautemann 1989)

interpretation in \mathbb{N} bound on derivational complexity

polynomial double-exponential

Example

rewrite system

$$\begin{array}{ll} x+0 \rightarrow x & \mathsf{d}(0) \rightarrow 0 & \mathsf{q}(0) \rightarrow 0 \\ x+\mathsf{s}(y) \rightarrow \mathsf{s}(x+y) & \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{s}(x)) \rightarrow \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{s}(\mathsf{d}(x))) & \mathsf{q}(\mathsf{s}(x)) \rightarrow \mathsf{q}(x) + \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{d}(x)) \end{array}$$

interpretations

$$0_{\mathbb{N}} = 2$$
 $s_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x + 1$ $+_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = x + 2y$ $d_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = 3x$ $q_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x^3$

Theorem (Hofbauer and Lautemann 1989)

	interpretation in $\mathbb N$	bound on derivational complexity
	polynomial	double-exponential
$a_1x_1+\cdots+a_nx_n+$	b linear	exponential
$x_1 + \cdots + x_n + $	b strongly linear	linear

Example

rewrite system

$$\begin{array}{ll} x+0 \rightarrow x & \mathsf{d}(0) \rightarrow 0 & \mathsf{q}(0) \rightarrow 0 \\ x+\mathsf{s}(y) \rightarrow \mathsf{s}(x+y) & \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{s}(x)) \rightarrow \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{s}(\mathsf{d}(x))) & \mathsf{q}(\mathsf{s}(x)) \rightarrow \mathsf{q}(x) + \mathsf{s}(\mathsf{d}(x)) \end{array}$$

interpretations

$$0_{\mathbb{N}} = 2$$
 $s_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x + 1$ $+_{\mathbb{N}}(x, y) = x + 2y$ $d_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = 3x$ $q_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = x^3$

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order
- 1990 transformation order

derivational complexity 1990

1989 Hofbauer and Lautemann

Theorem (Hofbauer 1990)

termination proof by multiset path order implies primitive recursive upper bound on derivational complexity

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order
- 1990 transformation order

derivational complexity 1991

1989 Hofbauer and Lautemann

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order
- 1990 transformation order
- 1992 elementary interpretations type introduction

derivational complexity 1992

1989 Hofbauer and Lautemann

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order
- 1990 transformation order
- 1992 elementary interpretations type introduction

derivational complexity 1993

1989 Hofbauer and Lautemann

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order
- 1990 transformation order
- 1992 elementary interpretations type introduction

derivational complexity 1994

1989 Hofbauer and Lautemann

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order
- 1990 transformation order
- 1992 elementary interpretations type introduction
- 1995 general path order semantic labeling dummy elimination

derivational complexity 1995

1989 Hofbauer and Lautemann

1995 Weiermann

Theorem (Hofbauer 1990)

termination proof by multiset path order implies primitive recursive upper bound on derivational complexity

Theorem (Weiermann 1995)

termination proof by lexicographic path order implies multiple recursive upper bound on derivational complexity

	•	
torm	ina	tion
LCIII		LIUII

- 1967 Knuth-Bendix order
- 1975 polynomial interpretations
- 1979 simple path order
- 1980 lexicographic path order semantic path order
- 1981 recursive decomposition order
- 1982 multiset path order
- 1983 recursive path order
- 1990 transformation order
- 1992 elementary interpretations type introduction
- 1995 general path order semantic labeling dummy elimination

1989 Hofbauer and Lautemann

1995 Weiermann

Termination and Complexity Research


derivational complexity 1997

1997 dependency pairs

termination

derivational complexity 1998

1997 dependency pairs

termination

derivational complexity 1999

1997 dependency pairs

termination

derivational complexity 2000

1997 dependency pairs

2000 monotonic semantic path order

	termination		derivational complexity	2001
1967	Knuth-Bendix order	2001	Lepper	
1975	polynomial interpretations	1989	Hofbauer and Lautemann	
1979	simple path order			
1980	lexicographic path order semantic path order	1995	Weiermann	
1981	recursive decomposition order			
1982	multiset path order	1990	Hofbauer	
1983	recursive path order			
1990	transformation order			
1992	elementary interpretations type introduction			
1995	general path order semantic labeling dummy elimination			

Theorem (Hofbauer 1990)

termination proof by multiset path order implies primitive recursive upper bound on derivational complexity

Theorem (Weiermann 1995)

termination proof by lexicographic path order implies multiple recursive upper bound on derivational complexity

Theorem (Lepper 2001)

termination proof by Knuth-Bendix order implies multiple recursive upper bound on derivational complexity

derivational complexity 2001

1997 dependency pairs

- 2000 monotonic semantic path order
- 2001 context-dependent interpretations 2001

derivational complexity 2002

1997 dependency pairs

- 2000 monotonic semantic path order
- 2001 context-dependent interpretations 2001

Termination Research

Complexity Research





Termination Tools

CiME, $T_{T}T_{2},$ AProVE, Termptation, Cariboo, Torpa, TPA, Matchbox, Jambox, MuTerm, NTI, VMTL, \ldots

- 1997 dependency pairs
- 2000 monotonic semantic path order
- 2001 context-dependent interpretations 2001
- 2003 match-bounds size-change principle
- 2003 termination competition

- 1997 dependency pairs
- 2000 monotonic semantic path order
- 2001 context-dependent interpretations 2001
- 2003 match-bounds 2004 size-change principle
- 2003 termination competition

- 1997 dependency pairs
- 2000 monotonic semantic path order
- 2001 context-dependent interpretations 2001
- 2003 match-bounds 2004 size-change principle
- 2003 termination competition

- 1997 dependency pairs
- 2000 monotonic semantic path order
- 2001 context-dependent interpretations 2001
- 2003 match-bounds 2004 size-change principle
- 2003 termination competition
- 2006 matrix interpretations predictive labeling uncurrying

- 1997 dependency pairs
- 2000 monotonic semantic path order
- 2001 context-dependent interpretations 2001
- 2003 match-bounds 2004 size-change principle
- 2003 termination competition
- 2006 matrix interpretations predictive labeling uncurrying
- 2007 bounded increase quasi-periodic interpretations

Termination and Complexity Research



Termination Tools

CiME, T_TT₂, AProVE, Matchbox, Jambox, MuTerm, VMTL, ...

Complexity Tools

T_CT, Matchbox, GT

- 1997 dependency pairs
- 2000 monotonic semantic path order
- 2001 context-dependent interpretations 2001, 2008
- 2003 match-bounds 2004 size-change principle
- 2003 termination competition
- 2006 matrix interpretations predictive labeling uncurrying
- 2007 bounded increase quasi-periodic interpretations
- 2008 arctic interpretations root-labeling

2008 complexity competition

2008

	termination		derivational complexity	2009
1997	dependency pairs	2009		
2000	monotonic semantic path order			
2001	context-dependent interpretations	2001,	2008	
2003	match-bounds size-change principle	2004		
2003	termination competition			
2006	matrix interpretations predictive labeling uncurrying	2008		
2007	bounded increase quasi-periodic interpretations			
2008	arctic interpretations root-labeling	2008	complexity competition	
_				

	termination		derivational complexity	2010
1997	dependency pairs	2009		
2000	monotonic semantic path order			
2001	context-dependent interpretations	2001,	2008	
2003	match-bounds size-change principle	2004		
2003	termination competition			
2006	matrix interpretations predictive labeling uncurrying	2008,	2010	
2007	bounded increase quasi-periodic interpretations			
2008	arctic interpretations root-labeling	2008	complexity competition	
_				

	termination		derivational complexity	2011
1997	dependency pairs	2009,	2011	
2000	monotonic semantic path order			
2001	context-dependent interpretations	2001,	2008	
2003	match-bounds size-change principle	2004		
2003	termination competition			
2006	matrix interpretations predictive labeling uncurrying	2008,	2010, 2011	
2007	bounded increase quasi-periodic interpretations			
2008	arctic interpretations root-labeling	2008	complexity competition	
_				

Outline

- Introduction
- History
- Matrix Interpretations
- Algebraic Methods
- Automata-Based Methods
- Unifying Algebraic and Automata-Based Methods
- Concluding Remarks

Definition

algebra ${\cal M}$ with well-founded order >

• carrier of $\mathcal M$ is $\mathbb N^d$ with d>0

•
$$(x_1,\ldots,x_d)^{\mathsf{T}} > (y_1,\ldots,y_d)^{\mathsf{T}} \iff x_1 > y_1 \land \bigwedge_{i=2}^d x_i \ge y_i$$

• interpretations (for every *n*-ary *f*)

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}_1,\ldots,\vec{x}_n)=F_1\,\vec{x}_1+\cdots+F_n\,\vec{x}_n+f$$

with

- matrices $F_1, \ldots, F_n \in \mathbb{N}^{d \times d}$ with $(F_i)_{1,1} \ge 1$ for all $1 \le i \le n$
- vector $f \in \mathbb{N}^d$

Lemma

 $(\mathcal{M}, >)$ is well-founded monotone algebra

Theorem

termination proof by matrix interpretation implies exponential upper bound on derivational complexity

Example

rewrite rule

$$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(b(a(x)))$$

matrix interpretation (linear polynomial interpretation)

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = 3x$$
 $b_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = x + 1$

derivational complexity is exponential

$$\mathsf{a}^2\mathsf{b} \ \to^3 \ \mathsf{b}^4\mathsf{a}^2 \qquad \mathsf{a}^3\mathsf{b} \ \to^7 \ \mathsf{b}^8\mathsf{a}^3 \qquad \mathsf{a}^4\mathsf{b} \ \to^{15} \ \mathsf{b}^{16}\mathsf{a}^4$$

. . .

Theorem

termination proof by matrix interpretation implies exponential upper bound on derivational complexity

Example

rewrite rule

$$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(b(a(x)))$$

matrix interpretation (linear polynomial interpretation)

$$\mathsf{a}_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = 3x$$
 $\mathsf{b}_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = x + 1$

derivational complexity is exponential

$$\mathsf{a}^2\mathsf{b} \ \rightarrow^3 \ \mathsf{b}^4\mathsf{a}^2 \qquad \mathsf{a}^3\mathsf{b} \ \rightarrow^7 \ \mathsf{b}^8\mathsf{a}^3 \qquad \mathsf{a}^4\mathsf{b} \ \rightarrow^{15} \ \mathsf{b}^{16}\mathsf{a}^4$$

Aim

restrict matrix interpretations to obtain polynomial derivational complexity

. . .

restrict matrix interpretations to obtain polynomial derivational complexity

Original Approach (Moser, Schnabl, Waldmann 2008)

allow only special upper triangular matrices in interpretations

restrict matrix interpretations to obtain polynomial derivational complexity

Original Approach (Moser, Schnabl, Waldmann 2008)

allow only special upper triangular matrices in interpretations

Two Extensions

1 using weighted automata techniques

(Waldmann 2010)

restrict matrix interpretations to obtain polynomial derivational complexity

Original Approach (Moser, Schnabl, Waldmann 2008)

allow only special upper triangular matrices in interpretations

Two Extensions

- using weighted automata techniques
- 2 using linear algebra techniques

(Waldmann 2010)

(Neurauter, Zankl, Middeldorp 2010)

restrict matrix interpretations to obtain polynomial derivational complexity

Original Approach (Moser, Schnabl, Waldmann 2008)

allow only special upper triangular matrices in interpretations

Two Extensions

- using weighted automata techniques
- 2 using linear algebra techniques

(Waldmann 2010)

(Neurauter, Zankl, Middeldorp 2010)

joint spectral radius theory to unify and strengthen two extensions

restrict matrix interpretations to obtain polynomial derivational complexity

Original Approach (Moser, Schnabl, Waldmann 2008)

allow only special upper triangular matrices in interpretations

Two Extensions

- 1 using weighted automata techniques
- 2 using linear algebra techniques

(Waldmann 2010)

(Neurauter, Zankl, Middeldorp 2010)

joint spectral radius theory to unify and strengthen two extensions

are matrix interpretations complete for polynomial derivational complexity ?

are matrix interpretations complete for polynomial derivational complexity ?

Question

given TRS ${\cal R}$ which has polynomial derivational complexity given compatible matrix interpretation ${\cal M}$

 \exists compatible matrix interpretation ${\mathcal N}$ that is polynomially bounded ?

Outline

- Introduction
- History
- Matrix Interpretations
- Algebraic Methods
 - Spectral Radius
 - Joint Spectral Radius
- Automata-Based Methods
- Unifying Algebraic and Automata-Based Methods
- Concluding Remarks

Definition

 $S_{\mathcal{M}}$ is set of matrices occurring in matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} :

$$S_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigcup_{n-\text{ary } f} \{ F_1, \dots, F_n \mid f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n) = F_1 \vec{x}_1 + \dots + F_n \vec{x}_n + f \}$$

Definition

 $S_{\mathcal{M}}$ is set of matrices occurring in matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} :

$$S_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigcup_{n-\text{ary } f} \{ F_1, \ldots, F_n \mid f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n) = F_1 \vec{x}_1 + \cdots + F_n \vec{x}_n + f \}$$

Definition

matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} is polynomially bounded^① (with degree d) if growth of entries of matrix products

 $A_1 \cdots A_k$

with $A_1, \ldots, A_k \in S_M$ is polynomial (with degree d) in k

Example

term t

matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$a_{\mathcal{M}} = a \qquad b_{\mathcal{M}} = b \qquad c_{\mathcal{M}} = c$$
$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = F_1 \vec{x} + F_2 \vec{y} + f$$
$$g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = G_1 \vec{x} + G_2 \vec{y} + g$$

interpretation of t

$$[t]_{\mathcal{M}} = F_1 G_1 a + F_1 G_2 b + F_1 g + F_2 c + f$$

 F_1

 G_1

а

 F_2

С

 G_2

b

Remark

term t of size at most k

- ... has at most k subterms
- ... each subterm corresponds to product of at most k matrices

Remark

term t of size at most k

- ... has at most k subterms
- ... each subterm corresponds to product of at most k matrices

Lemma

if \mathcal{R} has compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} that is polynomially bounded^① with degree d then $dc_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^{d+1})$

Outline

- Introduction
- History
- Matrix Interpretations
- Algebraic Methods
 - Spectral Radius
 - Joint Spectral Radius
- Automata-Based Methods
- Unifying Algebraic and Automata-Based Methods
- Concluding Remarks
Approximation

over-approximate growth of entries of matrix products

$$A_1\cdots A_k\in S^*_{\mathcal{M}}$$
 by M^k

where $M_{ij} = \max \{ A_{ij} \mid A \in S_M \}$

Definitions

square matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ over ring \mathbb{R} (\mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R})

- spectral radius $\rho(A)$ of A is maximum of absolute values of its eigenvalues
- minimal polynomial $m_A(x)$ of A is unique monic polynomial of minimum degree that annihilates A

if \mathcal{R} has compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} such that $\rho(\mathcal{M}) \leqslant 1$ then

 $dc_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^{d+1})$

where $d = \max_{\lambda}(0, \#m_M(\lambda) - 1)$ and

- λ ranges over eigenvalues of A with absolute value exactly one
- #m_M(λ) denotes multiplicity of λ

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

compatible matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

compatible matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

compatible matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho(M) = 2$$

derivational complexity is linear but

$$M^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} - 1 \\ 0 & 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} \\ 0 & 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded ${}^{\rm \tiny (I)}$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}$:

• compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} of dimension n:

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

• Ff > FGf + Fg

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

• compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} of dimension n:

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

• *Ff* > *FGf*

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\textcircled{}}$:

• compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} of dimension n:

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

• Ff > FGf and thus $G \not\ge I_n$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

• compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} of dimension n:

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

• Ff > FGf and thus $G \ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

- Ff > FGf and thus $G \ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i
- $GG \ge I_n$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

- Ff > FGf and thus $G \ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i
- $GG \geqslant I_n$ and thus $(GG)_{ii} = \sum_j G_{ij}G_{ji} \geqslant 1$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

- Ff > FGf and thus $G \ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i
- $GG \geqslant I_n$ and thus $(GG)_{ii} = \sum_j G_{ij}G_{ji} \geqslant 1$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}G_{ji} > 0$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

- Ff > FGf and thus $G \not\ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i
- $GG \geqslant I_n$ and thus $(GG)_{ii} = \sum_j G_{ij}G_{ji} \geqslant 1$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}G_{ji} > 0$
- $B \ge I_n$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

- Ff > FGf and thus $G \not\ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i
- $GG \geqslant I_n$ and thus $(GG)_{ii} = \sum_j G_{ij}G_{ji} \geqslant 1$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}G_{ji} > 0$
- $B \ge I_n$ and thus $M \ge \max(I_n, G)$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}$:

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

- Ff > FGf and thus $G \not\ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i
- $GG \geqslant I_n$ and thus $(GG)_{ii} = \sum_j G_{ij}G_{ji} \geqslant 1$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}G_{ji} > 0$
- $B \ge I_n$ and thus $M \ge \max(I_n, G)$
- (*MM*)_{ii}

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

- Ff > FGf and thus $G \not\ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i
- $GG \geqslant I_n$ and thus $(GG)_{ii} = \sum_j G_{ij}G_{ji} \geqslant 1$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}G_{ji} > 0$
- $B \ge I_n$ and thus $M \ge \max(I_n, G)$

•
$$(MM)_{ii} = (M_{ii})^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} M_{ij} M_{ji}$$

rewrite system $\ensuremath{\mathcal{R}}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

- Ff > FGf and thus $G \not\ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i
- $GG \geqslant I_n$ and thus $(GG)_{ii} = \sum_j G_{ij}G_{ji} \geqslant 1$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}G_{ji} > 0$
- $B \ge I_n$ and thus $M \ge \max(I_n, G)$

•
$$(MM)_{ii} = (M_{ii})^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} M_{ij} M_{ji} \ge 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij} G_{ji}$$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

- Ff > FGf and thus $G \not\ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i
- $GG \geqslant I_n$ and thus $(GG)_{ii} = \sum_j G_{ij}G_{ji} \geqslant 1$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}G_{ji} > 0$
- $B \ge I_n$ and thus $M \ge \max(I_n, G)$

•
$$(MM)_{ii} = (M_{ii})^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} M_{ij} M_{ji} \ge 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij} G_{ji} > 1$$

rewrite system $\ensuremath{\mathcal{R}}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

no component-wise maximum matrix of compatible matrix interpretation is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}\colon$

$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$$
 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

- Ff > FGf and thus $G \not\ge I_n$ and thus $G_{ii} < 1$ for some index i
- $GG \geqslant I_n$ and thus $(GG)_{ii} = \sum_j G_{ij}G_{ji} \geqslant 1$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}G_{ji} > 0$
- $B \ge I_n$ and thus $M \ge \max(I_n, G)$
- $(MM)_{ii} = (M_{ii})^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} M_{ij}M_{ji} \ge 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}G_{ji} > 1$ hence $(M^k)_{ii}$ grows exponentially

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

compatible matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho(M) = 2$$

derivational complexity is linear: joint spectral radius

$$\rho\left(\left\{\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\right\}\right) = \mathbf{1}$$

Outline

- Introduction
- History
- Matrix Interpretations
- Algebraic Methods
 - Spectral Radius
 - Joint Spectral Radius
- Automata-Based Methods
- Unifying Algebraic and Automata-Based Methods
- Concluding Remarks

Definitions

finite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ of real square matrices

growth function

 $growth_{S}(k) = max \{ ||A_{1} \cdots A_{k}|| | A_{1}, \dots, A_{k} \in S \}$

for some matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$

Definitions

finite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ of real square matrices

• growth function

$$\mathsf{growth}_{\mathcal{S}}(k) = \max \left\{ \left\| A_1 \cdots A_k \right\| \mid A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{S}
ight\}$$

for some matrix norm $\left\|\cdot\right\|$

• joint spectral radius

$$\rho(S) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \max \left\{ \|A_1 \cdots A_k\|^{1/k} \mid A_1, \dots, A_k \in S \right\}$$

Definitions

finite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ of real square matrices

growth function

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{growth}}_S(k) = \max \left\{ \left\| \mathsf{A}_1 \cdots \mathsf{A}_k \right\| \mid \mathsf{A}_1, \dots, \mathsf{A}_k \in S
ight\}$$

for some matrix norm $\left\|\cdot\right\|$

• joint spectral radius

$$\rho(S) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \max \left\{ \|A_1 \cdots A_k\|^{1/k} \mid A_1, \dots, A_k \in S \right\}$$

Theorem

$$growth_{\mathcal{S}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^d)$$
 for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $\rho(\mathcal{S}) \leqslant 1$

problem

instance: finite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ question: $\rho(S) \leq 1$?

is undecidable in general

problem

instance: finite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ question: $\rho(S) \leq 1$?

is undecidable in general and decidable (in polynomial time) if $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n \times n}$

problem

instance: finite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ question: $\rho(S) \leq 1$?

is undecidable in general and decidable (in polynomial time) if $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n \times n}$

Theorem (based on Jungers, Protasov, Blondel 2008)

if $ho(S) \leqslant 1$ for finite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n imes n}$ then

 $growth_{S}(k) \in \Theta(k^{d})$

where d is largest integer such that \exists d different pairs of indices $(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_d, j_d)$

- $\forall \ 1 \leqslant n \leqslant d$ $i_n \neq j_n$ and \exists product $A \in S^*$ such that $A_{i_n i_n}, A_{i_n j_n}, A_{j_n j_n} \geqslant 1$
- $\forall \ 1 \leq n < d \quad \exists \text{ product } B \in S^* \text{ such that } B_{i_n i_{n+1}} \geqslant 1$

Corollary

if $\mathcal R$ has compatible matrix interpretation $\mathcal M$ such that

 $\rho(S_{\mathcal{M}}) \leqslant 1$

then $dc_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^{d+1})$ where d is largest integer such that ...

Remark

degree d + 1 can be computed in polynomial time

Outline

- Introduction
- History
- Matrix Interpretations
- Algebraic Methods
- Automata-Based Methods
- Unifying Algebraic and Automata-Based Methods
- Concluding Remarks

Definition

matrix interpretation \mathcal{M}

• growth function of ${\cal M}$

 $\operatorname{growth}_{\mathcal{M}}(k) = \max\{ [t]_1 \mid |t| \leq k \}$

where $[t]_1$ is first component of interpretation of t when all variables in t are assigned zero vector

Definition

matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

• growth function of ${\cal M}$

$$\operatorname{growth}_{\mathcal{M}}(k) = \max\{ [t]_1 \mid |t| \leq k \}$$

where $[t]_1$ is first component of interpretation of t when all variables in t are assigned zero vector

Lemma

if ${\mathcal R}$ has compatible matrix interpretation ${\mathcal M}$ then

$$t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u \implies [t]_1 > [u]_1$$

Definition

matrix interpretation \mathcal{M}

• growth function of ${\cal M}$

```
\operatorname{growth}_{\mathcal{M}}(k) = \max\{ [t]_1 \mid |t| \leq k \}
```

where $[t]_1$ is first component of interpretation of t when all variables in t are assigned zero vector

• \mathcal{M} is polynomially bounded[@] with degree *d* if growth_{\mathcal{M}}(*k*) $\in \mathcal{O}(k^d)$

Lemma

if ${\mathcal R}$ has compatible matrix interpretation ${\mathcal M}$ then

$$t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u \implies [t]_1 > [u]_1$$

matrix interpretation ${\cal M}$ of dimension 3

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} \qquad f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

weighted automaton \mathcal{A}



matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ of dimension 3

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} \qquad f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

weighted automaton ${\cal A}$


matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ of dimension 3

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} \qquad f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

weighted automaton \mathcal{A}



matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ of dimension 3

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} \qquad f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ of dimension 3

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} \qquad f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ of dimension 3

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} \qquad f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ of dimension 3

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} \qquad f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ of dimension 3

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} \qquad f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



matrix interpretation $\,\mathcal{M}$ of dimension 3 $\,$

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} \qquad f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



matrix interpretation $\,\mathcal{M}$ of dimension 3 $\,$

$$a_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} \qquad f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



weighted automaton is quintuple $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$ with

1	<i>Q</i> :	finite set of states
2	Σ:	finite alphabet
3	$\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}$	initial state
4	$\mu\colon \mathbf{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{N}^{ \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} }$	transition matrix
5	$\gamma \subseteq \pmb{Q}$	final states

 $\mu(a)_{pq}$ denotes weight of transition $p \xrightarrow{a} q$

weighted automaton is quintuple $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{Q}, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$ with

1	Q :	finite set of states
2	Σ:	finite alphabet
3	$\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}$	initial state
4	$\mu\colon \mathbf{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{N}^{ \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} }$	transition matrix
5	$\gamma\subseteq Q$	final states

 $\mu(a)_{pq}$ denotes weight of transition $p \xrightarrow{a} q$

Definition

weight of string $x \in \Sigma^*$

$$\operatorname{weight}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \sum_{q \in \gamma} \mu(x)_{\lambda q}$$

growth function of weighted automaton $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$

$$\mathsf{growth}_\mathcal{A}(k) = \mathsf{max}\, \{\, \mathsf{weight}_\mathcal{A}(x) \mid x \in \Sigma^k \, \}$$

growth function of weighted automaton $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$

$$\mathsf{growth}_\mathcal{A}(k) = \mathsf{max}\, \{\, \mathsf{weight}_\mathcal{A}(x) \mid x \in \Sigma^k \, \}$$

Definition

given matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} of dimension n for signature \mathcal{F} define weighted automaton $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$ as follows:

- $Q = \{1, ..., n\}$
- $\Sigma = \{ f_i \mid f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ has arity } m \text{ and } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m \}$
- λ = 1
- $\mu(f_i) = F_i$ where F_i denotes *i*-th matrix of f_M
- $\gamma = \{i \mid c_i > 0 \text{ for some vector } c \text{ in } \mathcal{M}\}$

weighted automaton
$$\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{Q}, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$$

• state q is useful if \mathcal{A} contains path from initial to final state containing q

weighted automaton
$$\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{Q}, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$$

- state q is useful if $\mathcal A$ contains path from initial to final state containing q
- A is trim if all states are useful

weighted automaton $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{Q}, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$

- state q is useful if $\mathcal A$ contains path from initial to final state containing q
- \mathcal{A} is trim if all states are useful

Lemma

 \forall weighted automaton $A \exists$ trim automaton B such that growth_A(k) = growth_B(k)

weighted automaton $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{Q}, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$

- state q is useful if $\mathcal A$ contains path from initial to final state containing q
- \mathcal{A} is trim if all states are useful

Lemma

 \forall weighted automaton $A \exists$ trim automaton B such that growth_A(k) = growth_B(k)

Example

weighted automaton ${\mathcal A}$ is not trim: state 2 is not useful



weighted automaton $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{Q}, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$

- state q is useful if $\mathcal A$ contains path from initial to final state containing q
- \mathcal{A} is trim if all states are useful

Lemma

 \forall weighted automaton $A \exists$ trim automaton B such that growth_A(k) = growth_B(k)

Example



matrix interpretation ${\mathcal M}$ and corresponding weighted automaton ${\mathcal A}$

 $growth_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^d) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad growth_{\mathcal{M}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^{d+1})$

matrix interpretation ${\mathcal M}$ and corresponding weighted automaton ${\mathcal A}$

 $growth_{\mathcal{A}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^d) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad growth_{\mathcal{M}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^{d+1})$

Definitions (based on Weber and Seidl 1991)

weighted automaton
$$\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{Q}, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$$

EDA $\exists q \in Q \ \exists x \in \Sigma^*$ such that q is useful and $\mu(x)_{qq} \ge 2$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{IDA}_d & \exists p_1, q_1, \dots, p_d, q_d \in Q \ \exists v_1, u_2, v_2, \dots, u_d, v_d \in \Sigma^* \text{ such that} \\ & \forall i \ge 1 \ p_i \text{ and } q_i \text{ are useful, } p_i \neq q_i \text{ and } p_i \xrightarrow{v_i} p_i \xrightarrow{v_i} q_i \xrightarrow{v_i} q_i \\ & \forall i \ge 2 \ q_{i-1} \xrightarrow{u_i} p_i \end{aligned}$



matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} and corresponding weighted automaton \mathcal{A} growth_{\mathcal{M}}(k) $\in \mathcal{O}(k^{d+1}) \iff \mathcal{A} \not\models \mathsf{EDA}, \mathcal{A} \not\models \mathsf{IDA}_{d+1}$

matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} and corresponding weighted automaton \mathcal{A} growth_{\mathcal{M}}(k) $\in \Theta(k^{d+1}) \iff \mathcal{A} \not\models \mathsf{EDA}, \mathcal{A} \not\models \mathsf{IDA}_{d+1}, \mathcal{A} \models \mathsf{IDA}_d$

matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} and corresponding weighted automaton \mathcal{A} growth_{\mathcal{M}}(k) $\in \Theta(k^{d+1}) \iff \mathcal{A} \not\models \mathsf{EDA}, \mathcal{A} \not\models \mathsf{IDA}_{d+1}, \mathcal{A} \models \mathsf{IDA}_d$

Remark

conditions are decidable in time $\mathcal{O}(|Q|^6 \cdot |\Sigma|)$ for $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, \lambda, \mu, \gamma)$

Corollary

if \mathcal{R} has compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} such that corresponding weighted automaton does not comply with EDA nor with IDA_{d+1} then $dc_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^{d+1})$

Outline

- Introduction
- History
- Matrix Interpretations
- Algebraic Methods
- Automata-Based Methods
- Unifying Algebraic and Automata-Based Methods
- Concluding Remarks

rewrite rule

$$f(x) \rightarrow x$$

compatible matrix interpretation ${\cal M}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

rewrite rule

$$f(x) \rightarrow x$$

compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{M}

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• \mathcal{M} is not polynomially bounded^① because $\rho(\mathcal{M}) = 2$

rewrite rule

$$f(x) \rightarrow x$$

compatible matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- \mathcal{M} is not polynomially bounded^① because $\rho(\mathcal{M}) = 2$
- \mathcal{M} is polynomially bounded[@] because $[t]_1 < |t|$ for any term t

rewrite rule

$$f(x) \rightarrow x$$

compatible matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- \mathcal{M} is not polynomially bounded⁽¹⁾ because $\rho(M) = 2$
- \mathcal{M} is polynomially bounded $^{\oslash}$ because $[t]_1 < |t|$ for any term t

Lemma

for every TRS $\ensuremath{\mathcal{R}}$

 \forall compatible matrix interpretation $\mathcal{M} \exists$ compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{N} such that corresponding automaton is trim and growth_{\mathcal{M}}(k) = growth_{\mathcal{N}}(k)

rewrite rule

$$f(x) \rightarrow x$$

compatible matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponding weighted automaton



is not trim because state 2 is not useful

rewrite rule

$$f(x) \rightarrow x$$

compatible matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(ec{x}) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & \ \end{pmatrix} ec{x} + egin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponding weighted automaton



is trim and $\mathcal M$ is polynomially bounded ${}^{\mathbb D}$

 \Leftrightarrow

Corollary

for every TRS $\ensuremath{\mathcal{R}}$

 \exists compatible matrix interpretation $\mathcal M$ that is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}$

 \exists compatible matrix interpretation ${\mathcal N}$ that is polynomially bounded $^{\circledast}$

 $growth_{\mathcal{N}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^{d+1})$ if and only if growth of entries of products $A_1 \cdots A_k$ of matrices in \mathcal{M} is polynomial with degree d in k

 \Leftrightarrow

 \Leftrightarrow

Corollary

for every TRS $\ensuremath{\mathcal{R}}$

 \exists compatible matrix interpretation $\mathcal M$ that is polynomially bounded $^{\odot}$

 \exists compatible matrix interpretation $\mathcal N$ that is polynomially bounded $^{\circledast}$

 $growth_{\mathcal{N}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^{d+1})$ if and only if growth of entries of products $A_1 \cdots A_k$ of matrices in \mathcal{M} is polynomial with degree d in k

Theorem

for every TRS $\ensuremath{\mathcal{R}}$

 $dc_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^d)$ can be shown using automata-based approach

 $dc_{\mathcal{R}}(k)\in\mathcal{O}(k^d)$ can be shown using algebraic approach

Outline

- Introduction
- History
- Matrix Interpretations
- Algebraic Methods
- Automata-Based Methods
- Unifying Algebraic and Automata-Based Methods
- Concluding Remarks

matrix interpretations are incomplete for polynomial derivational complexity

matrix interpretations are incomplete for polynomial derivational complexity

Example

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$ with linear derivational complexity

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$

compatible matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \rho(\{\dots\}) = 1$$

matrix interpretations are incomplete for polynomial derivational complexity

Example

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$ with linear derivational complexity

 $\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{f}(x)) \to \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{f}(x))) \qquad \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{g}(x)) \to x \qquad \mathsf{b}(x) \to x \qquad \mathsf{b}(x) \to \mathsf{g}(x)$

compatible matrix interpretation $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \rho(\{\dots\}) = 2$$

matrix interpretations are incomplete for polynomial derivational complexity

Example

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$ with linear derivational complexity

 $\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{f}(x)) \to \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{f}(x))) \qquad \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{g}(x)) \to x \qquad \mathsf{b}(x) \to x \qquad \mathsf{b}(x) \to \mathsf{g}(x)$

no polynomially bounded compatible matrix interpretation
Lemma

matrix interpretations are incomplete for polynomial derivational complexity

Example

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$ with linear derivational complexity

 $\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{f}(x)) \to \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{f}(x))) \qquad \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{g}(x)) \to x \qquad \mathsf{b}(x) \to x \qquad \mathsf{b}(x) \to \mathsf{g}(x)$

no polynomially bounded compatible matrix interpretation

compatible matrix interpretation *M* of dimension *n*:

 $b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$ $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

Lemma

matrix interpretations are incomplete for polynomial derivational complexity

Example

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$ with linear derivational complexity

 $f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$ $g(g(x)) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow x$ $b(x) \rightarrow g(x)$

no polynomially bounded compatible matrix interpretation

• compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} of dimension n:

 $b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$ $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

• $B \ge \max(I_n, G)$

Lemma

matrix interpretations are incomplete for polynomial derivational complexity

Example

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$ with linear derivational complexity

 $\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{f}(x)) \to \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{f}(x))) \qquad \mathsf{g}(\mathsf{g}(x)) \to x \qquad \mathsf{b}(x) \to x \qquad \mathsf{b}(x) \to \mathsf{g}(x)$

no polynomially bounded compatible matrix interpretation

• compatible matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} of dimension n:

 $b_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + b$ $f_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = F\vec{x} + f$ $g_{\mathcal{M}}(\vec{x}) = G\vec{x} + g$

• $B \ge \max(I_n, G)$... hence entries in B^k grows exponentially

• automation by mapping to finite-domain constraint systems (...)

- automation by mapping to finite-domain constraint systems (...)
- algebraic approach applies also to matrix interpretations over ${\mathbb Q}$ and ${\mathbb R}$

- automation by mapping to finite-domain constraint systems (...)
- algebraic approach applies also to matrix interpretations over ${\mathbb Q}$ and ${\mathbb R}$
- results extend to runtime complexity

- automation by mapping to finite-domain constraint systems (...)
- algebraic approach applies also to matrix interpretations over ${\mathbb Q}$ and ${\mathbb R}$
- results extend to runtime complexity

Definitions

• runtime complexity $\operatorname{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \max \{ \operatorname{dh}(t) \mid t \text{ is basic term and } |t| \leq k \}$

- automation by mapping to finite-domain constraint systems (...)
- algebraic approach applies also to matrix interpretations over ${\mathbb Q}$ and ${\mathbb R}$
- results extend to runtime complexity

Definitions

- runtime complexity $\operatorname{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \max \{ \operatorname{dh}(t) \mid t \text{ is basic term and } |t| \leq k \}$
- term $f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is basic if
 - 1 f is defined symbol
 - 2 t_1, \ldots, t_n are constructor terms

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

```
reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil
reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)
shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil
shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))
append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys
append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)
```

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)$ $shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$ $append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys$ $append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)$

derivational complexity

 $\mathsf{dc}_\mathcal{R}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^4)$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)$ $shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$ $append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys$ $append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)$

derivational complexity

 $\mathsf{dc}_\mathcal{R}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^4)$

runtime complexity

 $\operatorname{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^3)$

rewrite system ${\mathcal R}$

 $reverse(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $reverse(x :: xs) \rightarrow append(reverse(xs), x :: nil)$ $shuffle(nil) \rightarrow nil$ $shuffle(x :: xs) \rightarrow x :: shuffle(reverse(xs))$ $append(nil, ys) \rightarrow ys$ $append(x :: xs, ys) \rightarrow x :: append(xs, ys)$

derivational complexity

 $\mathsf{dc}_\mathcal{R}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^4)$

runtime complexity

$$\operatorname{rc}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \in \mathcal{O}(k^3)$$

... beyond reach of complexity tools