



Term Rewriting

Philipp Dablander and **Aart Middeldorp**

Outline

- 1. Summary of Lecture 7**
- 2. Knuth–Bendix Order**
- 3. Normalization Equivalence**
- 4. Abstract Completion**
- 5. Cola Gene Puzzle**
- 6. Exercises**
- 7. Further Reading**

Knuth–Bendix Completion Procedure (Simple Version)

input: ES \mathcal{E} and reduction order $>$

output: complete TRS \mathcal{R} such that $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$

$\mathcal{R} := \emptyset$ $C := \mathcal{E}$

while $C \neq \emptyset$ **do**

 select $s \approx t \in C$

$C := C \setminus \{s \approx t\}$

 compute \mathcal{R} -normal forms s' and t' of s and t

if $s' \neq t'$ **then**

if $s' > t'$ **then** $\mathcal{S} := \{s' \rightarrow t'\}$

else if $t' > s'$ **then** $\mathcal{S} := \{t' \rightarrow s'\}$

else **failure**

$C := C \cup \text{CP}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}) \cup \text{CP}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R}) \cup \text{CP}(\mathcal{S})$

$C := \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{S}$

Three Possibilities

Knuth–Bendix completion procedure may

- ① terminate without failure $\implies \mathcal{R}$ is complete and $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$
- ② terminate with failure
- ③ not terminate (divergence)

Definition

critical pair $t \approx u$ derived from critical peak $t \xrightarrow{p} s \rightarrow_{\epsilon} u$ is **prime** if all proper subterms of $s|_p$ are in normal form

Theorem

terminating TRS is confluent \iff all prime critical pairs are joinable

Theorem

first-order theory of rewriting is decidable for left-linear right-ground TRSs

Outline

1. Summary of Lecture 7

2. Knuth-Bendix Order

3. Normalization Equivalence

4. Abstract Completion

5. Cola Gene Puzzle

6. Exercises

7. Further Reading

Definitions (Weight Function)

- ▶ **weight function** (w, w_0) consists of mapping $w: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and constant $w_0 > 0$ such that $w(c) \geq w_0$ for all constants $c \in \mathcal{F}$

Definitions (Weight Function)

- ▶ weight function (w, w_0) consists of mapping $w: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and constant $w_0 > 0$ such that $w(c) \geq w_0$ for all constants $c \in \mathcal{F}$
- ▶ **weight** of term t :

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} w_0 & \text{if } t \in \mathcal{V} \\ w(f) + \sum_{i=1}^n w(t_i) & \text{if } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \end{cases}$$

Example

► rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

Example

► rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

► weight function: $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(^-) = 0$

Example

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

- ▶ weight function: $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(^-) = 0$

$$w(e \cdot x) = 3$$

Example

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

- ▶ weight function: $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(^-) = 0$

$$w(e \cdot x) = 3$$

$$w(x) = 1$$

Example

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

- ▶ weight function: $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(^-) = 0$

$$w(e \cdot x) = 3$$

$$w(x) = 1$$

$$w((x \cdot y)^-) = ?$$

Example

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

- ▶ weight function: $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(^-) = 0$

$$w(e \cdot x) = 3$$

$$w(x) = 1$$

$$w((x \cdot y)^-) = 3$$

Definitions (Weight Function)

- ▶ weight function (w, w_0) consists of mapping $w: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and constant $w_0 > 0$ such that $w(c) \geq w_0$ for all constants $c \in \mathcal{F}$
- ▶ weight of term t :

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} w_0 & \text{if } t \in \mathcal{V} \\ w(f) + \sum_{i=1}^n w(t_i) & \text{if } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ weight function (w, w_0) is **admissible** for precedence $>$ if

$$f > g \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{f\}$$

whenever f is unary function symbol in \mathcal{F} with $w(f) = 0$

Example

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

- ▶ weight function: $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(^-) = 0$

$$w(e \cdot x) = 3$$

$$w(x) = 1$$

$$w((x \cdot y)^-) = 3$$

- ▶ precedence: $^- > \cdot > e$

Example

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$\begin{array}{ll} e \cdot x \rightarrow x & x \cdot e \rightarrow x \\ x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e & x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e \\ (x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z) & x^{--} \rightarrow x \\ e^- \rightarrow e & (x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^- \\ x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y & x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y \end{array}$$

- ▶ weight function: $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(-) = 0$

$$w(e \cdot x) = 3 \qquad w(x) = 1 \qquad w((x \cdot y)^-) = 3$$

- ▶ precedence: $- > \cdot > e$
- ▶ admissible because $-$ is maximal in precedence

Definition (Knuth-Bendix Order)

binary relation $>_{\text{kbo}}$ on terms: $s >_{\text{kbo}} t$ if $|s|_x \geq |t|_x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$

Definition (Knuth-Bendix Order)

binary relation $>_{\text{kbo}}$ on terms: $s >_{\text{kbo}} t$ if $|s|_x \geq |t|_x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and either

- ▶ $w(s) > w(t)$

Definition (Knuth-Bendix Order)

binary relation $>_{\text{kbo}}$ on terms: $s >_{\text{kbo}} t$ if $|s|_x \geq |t|_x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and either

▶ $w(s) > w(t)$

▶ $w(s) = w(t)$ and either

① $s = f^n(t)$ for some $n > 0$ and $t \in \mathcal{V}$

Definition (Knuth-Bendix Order)

binary relation $>_{\text{kbo}}$ on terms: $s >_{\text{kbo}} t$ if $|s|_x \geq |t|_x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and either

▶ $w(s) > w(t)$

▶ $w(s) = w(t)$ and either

① $s = f^n(t)$ for some $n > 0$ and $t \in \mathcal{V}$

② $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and for some $1 \leq i \leq n$

a $s_j = t_j$ for all $1 \leq j < i$

b $s_i >_{\text{kbo}} t_i$

Definition (Knuth-Bendix Order)

binary relation $>_{\text{kbo}}$ on terms: $s >_{\text{kbo}} t$ if $|s|_x \geq |t|_x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and either

▶ $w(s) > w(t)$

▶ $w(s) = w(t)$ and either

① $s = f^n(t)$ for some $n > 0$ and $t \in \mathcal{V}$

② $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and for some $1 \leq i \leq n$

a $s_j = t_j$ for all $1 \leq j < i$

b $s_i >_{\text{kbo}} t_i$

③ $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ and $f > g$

Definition (Knuth–Bendix Order)

binary relation $>_{\text{kbo}}$ on terms: $s >_{\text{kbo}} t$ if $|s|_x \geq |t|_x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and either

- ▶ $w(s) > w(t)$
- ▶ $w(s) = w(t)$ and either
 - ① $s = f^n(t)$ for some $n > 0$ and $t \in \mathcal{V}$
 - ② $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and for some $1 \leq i \leq n$
 - a $s_j = t_j$ for all $1 \leq j < i$
 - b $s_i >_{\text{kbo}} t_i$
 - ③ $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ and $f > g$

Theorem

$>_{\text{kbo}}$ is **reduction order** if $>$ is well-founded and (w, w_0) is admissible for $>$

Example 1

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

- ▶ weight function $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(^-) = 0$

- ▶ precedence $^- > \cdot > e$

Example 1

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

- ▶ weight function $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(^-) = 0$

- ▶ precedence $^- > \cdot > e$

$$e \cdot x >_{\text{kbo}} x$$

Example 1

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

- ▶ weight function $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(^-) = 0$

- ▶ precedence $^- > \cdot > e$

$$e \cdot x >_{\text{kbo}} x$$

$$x^{--} >_{\text{kbo}} x$$

Example 1

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$e \cdot x \rightarrow x$$

$$x^- \cdot x \rightarrow e$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

$$e^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

$$x \cdot e \rightarrow x$$

$$x \cdot x^- \rightarrow e$$

$$x^{--} \rightarrow x$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- \rightarrow y^- \cdot x^-$$

$$x \cdot (x^- \cdot y) \rightarrow y$$

- ▶ weight function $w(e) = w(\cdot) = w_0 = 1$ $w(^-) = 0$

- ▶ precedence $^- > \cdot > e$

$$e \cdot x >_{\text{kbo}} x$$

$$x^{--} >_{\text{kbo}} x$$

$$(x \cdot y)^- >_{\text{kbo}} y^- \cdot x^-$$

Example ②

- ▶ rewrite rules

$aa \rightarrow bbb$

$bbbbbb \rightarrow aaa$

Example ②

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$aa \rightarrow bbb$$

$$bbbbbb \rightarrow aaa$$

- ▶ weight function and precedence

$$w(a) = 3$$

$$w(b) = 2$$

$$a > b$$

Example ②

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$aa \rightarrow bbb$$

$$bbbbbb \rightarrow aaa$$

- ▶ weight function and precedence

$$w(a) = 3$$

$$w(b) = 2$$

$$a > b$$

$$w(a) = 5$$

$$w(b) = 3$$

$$b > a$$

Example ②

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$aa \rightarrow bbb$$

$$bbbbbb \rightarrow aaa$$

- ▶ weight function

$$w(\mathbf{a}) = 3$$

$$w(\mathbf{b}) = 2$$

$$\mathbf{a} > \mathbf{b}$$

$$w(\mathbf{a}) = 5$$

$$w(\mathbf{b}) = 3$$

$$\mathbf{b} > \mathbf{a}$$

$$w(\mathbf{a}) =$$

$$w(\mathbf{b}) =$$

Example ②

- ▶ rewrite rules

$$aa \rightarrow bbb$$

$$bbbbbb \rightarrow aaa$$

- ▶ weight function

$$w(a) = 3$$

$$w(b) = 2$$

$$a > b$$

$$w(a) = 5$$

$$w(b) = 3$$

$$b > a$$

$$w(a) = 13$$

$$w(b) = 8$$

Example ③

► rewrite rules

$$\begin{array}{llll} 0 + 0 \rightarrow 0 & 1 + 0 \rightarrow 1 & \dots & 9 + 0 \rightarrow 9 & 0 : x \rightarrow x \\ 0 + 1 \rightarrow 1 & 1 + 1 \rightarrow 2 & \dots & 9 + 1 \rightarrow 1 : 0 & x + (y : z) \rightarrow y : (x + z) \\ 0 + 2 \rightarrow 2 & 1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 & \dots & 9 + 2 \rightarrow 1 : 1 & (x : y) + z \rightarrow x : (y + z) \\ 0 + 3 \rightarrow 3 & 1 + 3 \rightarrow 4 & \dots & 9 + 3 \rightarrow 1 : 2 & x : (y : z) \rightarrow (x + y) : z \\ 0 + 4 \rightarrow 4 & 1 + 4 \rightarrow 5 & \dots & 9 + 4 \rightarrow 1 : 3 & \\ 0 + 5 \rightarrow 5 & 1 + 5 \rightarrow 6 & \dots & 9 + 5 \rightarrow 1 : 4 & \\ 0 + 6 \rightarrow 6 & 1 + 6 \rightarrow 7 & \dots & 9 + 6 \rightarrow 1 : 5 & \\ 0 + 7 \rightarrow 7 & 1 + 7 \rightarrow 8 & \dots & 9 + 7 \rightarrow 1 : 6 & \\ 0 + 8 \rightarrow 8 & 1 + 8 \rightarrow 9 & \dots & 9 + 8 \rightarrow 1 : 7 & \\ 0 + 9 \rightarrow 9 & 1 + 9 \rightarrow 1 : 0 & \dots & 9 + 9 \rightarrow 1 : 8 & \end{array}$$

Example ③

- rewrite rules
- | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| $0 + 0 \rightarrow 0$ | $1 + 0 \rightarrow 1$ | \dots | $9 + 0 \rightarrow 9$ | $0 : x \rightarrow x$ |
| $0 + 1 \rightarrow 1$ | $1 + 1 \rightarrow 2$ | \dots | $9 + 1 \rightarrow 1 : 0$ | $x + (y : z) \rightarrow y : (x + z)$ |
| $0 + 2 \rightarrow 2$ | $1 + 2 \rightarrow 3$ | \dots | $9 + 2 \rightarrow 1 : 1$ | $(x : y) + z \rightarrow x : (y + z)$ |
| $0 + 3 \rightarrow 3$ | $1 + 3 \rightarrow 4$ | \dots | $9 + 3 \rightarrow 1 : 2$ | $x : (y : z) \rightarrow (x + y) : z$ |
| $0 + 4 \rightarrow 4$ | $1 + 4 \rightarrow 5$ | \dots | $9 + 4 \rightarrow 1 : 3$ | |
| $0 + 5 \rightarrow 5$ | $1 + 5 \rightarrow 6$ | \dots | $9 + 5 \rightarrow 1 : 4$ | |
| $0 + 6 \rightarrow 6$ | $1 + 6 \rightarrow 7$ | \dots | $9 + 6 \rightarrow 1 : 5$ | |
| $0 + 7 \rightarrow 7$ | $1 + 7 \rightarrow 8$ | \dots | $9 + 7 \rightarrow 1 : 6$ | |
| $0 + 8 \rightarrow 8$ | $1 + 8 \rightarrow 9$ | \dots | $9 + 8 \rightarrow 1 : 7$ | |
| $0 + 9 \rightarrow 9$ | $1 + 9 \rightarrow 1 : 0$ | \dots | $9 + 9 \rightarrow 1 : 8$ | |
- weight function
- | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|
| $w(0) = w(1) = w(2) = w(3) = w(4) = w(+)$ | $= w_0 = 1$ | $w(:) = 2$ |
| $w(5) = w(6) = w(7) = w(8) = w(9)$ | $= 3$ | |

Example ③

- rewrite rules
- | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| $0 + 0 \rightarrow 0$ | $1 + 0 \rightarrow 1$ | \dots | $9 + 0 \rightarrow 9$ | $0 : x \rightarrow x$ |
| $0 + 1 \rightarrow 1$ | $1 + 1 \rightarrow 2$ | \dots | $9 + 1 \rightarrow 1 : 0$ | $x + (y : z) \rightarrow y : (x + z)$ |
| $0 + 2 \rightarrow 2$ | $1 + 2 \rightarrow 3$ | \dots | $9 + 2 \rightarrow 1 : 1$ | $(x : y) + z \rightarrow x : (y + z)$ |
| $0 + 3 \rightarrow 3$ | $1 + 3 \rightarrow 4$ | \dots | $9 + 3 \rightarrow 1 : 2$ | $x : (y : z) \rightarrow (x + y) : z$ |
| $0 + 4 \rightarrow 4$ | $1 + 4 \rightarrow 5$ | \dots | $9 + 4 \rightarrow 1 : 3$ | |
| $0 + 5 \rightarrow 5$ | $1 + 5 \rightarrow 6$ | \dots | $9 + 5 \rightarrow 1 : 4$ | |
| $0 + 6 \rightarrow 6$ | $1 + 6 \rightarrow 7$ | \dots | $9 + 6 \rightarrow 1 : 5$ | |
| $0 + 7 \rightarrow 7$ | $1 + 7 \rightarrow 8$ | \dots | $9 + 7 \rightarrow 1 : 6$ | |
| $0 + 8 \rightarrow 8$ | $1 + 8 \rightarrow 9$ | \dots | $9 + 8 \rightarrow 1 : 7$ | |
| $0 + 9 \rightarrow 9$ | $1 + 9 \rightarrow 1 : 0$ | \dots | $9 + 9 \rightarrow 1 : 8$ | |
- weight function
- $$w(0) = w(1) = w(2) = w(3) = w(4) = w(+) = w_0 = 1 \quad w(:) = 2$$
- $$w(5) = w(6) = w(7) = w(8) = w(9) = 3$$
- precedence
- $$+ > : \quad + > 5 \quad + > 6 \quad + > 7 \quad + > 8$$

Example 4

► rewrite rules

$$11 \rightarrow 43$$

$$12 \rightarrow 21$$

$$22 \rightarrow 111$$

$$33 \rightarrow 56$$

$$34 \rightarrow 11$$

$$44 \rightarrow 3$$

$$55 \rightarrow 62$$

$$56 \rightarrow 12$$

$$66 \rightarrow 21$$

Example 4

► rewrite rules

$$11 \rightarrow 43$$

$$12 \rightarrow 21$$

$$22 \rightarrow 111$$

$$33 \rightarrow 56$$

$$34 \rightarrow 11$$

$$44 \rightarrow 3$$

$$55 \rightarrow 62$$

$$56 \rightarrow 12$$

$$66 \rightarrow 21$$

► weight function and precedence

$$w(1) = 32471712256$$

$$w(4) = 21696293888$$

$$3 > 1 > 2$$

$$w(2) = 48725750528$$

$$w(5) = 44731872512$$

$$1 > 4$$

$$w(3) = 43247130624$$

$$w(6) = 40598731520$$

Example 4

► rewrite rules

$$11 \rightarrow 43$$

$$33 \rightarrow 56$$

$$55 \rightarrow 62$$

$$12 \rightarrow 21$$

$$34 \rightarrow 11$$

$$56 \rightarrow 12$$

$$22 \rightarrow 111$$

$$44 \rightarrow 3$$

$$66 \rightarrow 21$$

► weight function and precedence

$$w(1) = 31$$

$$w(2) = 47$$

$$w(3) = 41$$

$$w(4) = 21$$

$$w(5) = 43$$

$$w(6) = 3$$

$$3 > 5 > 6 > 1 > 4$$

$$1 > 2$$

Theorem

► if $> \subseteq \sqsupset$ then $>_{kbo} \subseteq \sqsupset_{kbo}$ (incrementality)

Theorem

- ▶ if $> \subseteq \sqsupset$ then $>_{kbo} \subseteq \sqsupset_{kbo}$ (incrementality)
- ▶ if $>$ is total then $>_{kbo}$ is **total on ground terms**

Theorem

- ▶ if $> \subseteq \sqsupset$ then $>_{kbo} \subseteq \sqsupset_{kbo}$ (incrementality)
- ▶ if $>$ is total then $>_{kbo}$ is total on ground terms
- ▶ following two problems are **decidable**:
 - 1 instance: finite TRS \mathcal{R} , weight function (w, w_0) , precedence $>$
question: $\mathcal{R} \subseteq >_{kbo}$?

Theorem


- ▶ if $> \subseteq \sqsupset$ then $>_{kbo} \subseteq \sqsupset_{kbo}$ (incrementality)
- ▶ if $>$ is total then $>_{kbo}$ is total on ground terms
- ▶ following two problems are **decidable**:
 - 1 instance: finite TRS \mathcal{R} , weight function (w, w_0) , precedence $>$
question: $\mathcal{R} \subseteq >_{kbo}$?
 - 2 instance: finite TRS \mathcal{R}
question: \exists weight function (w, w_0) such that (w, w_0) is admissible for $>$?
 \exists precedence $>$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq >_{kbo}$

Remark

KBO, LPO and polynomial interpretations are incomparable


Remark

KBO, LPO and polynomial interpretations are incomparable



Remark

KBO, LPO and polynomial interpretations are incomparable



Outline

1. Summary of Lecture 7

2. Knuth–Bendix Order

3. Normalization Equivalence

4. Abstract Completion

5. Cola Gene Puzzle

6. Exercises

7. Further Reading

Example

TRS $\mathcal{R} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

$$x + 0 \xrightarrow{1} x$$

$$x - 0 \xrightarrow{2} x$$

$$s(x + p(y)) \xrightarrow{7} x + y$$

$$x + p(y) \xrightarrow{9} p(x + y)$$

TRS $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$

$$x + s(y) \xrightarrow{3} s(x + y)$$

$$x - s(y) \xrightarrow{4} p(x - y)$$

$$p(x - p(y)) \xrightarrow{8} x - y$$

$$x - p(y) \xrightarrow{10} s(x - y)$$

$$p(s(x)) \xrightarrow{5} x$$

$$s(p(x)) \xrightarrow{6} x$$

Example

TRS $\mathcal{R} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

$$x + 0 \xrightarrow{1} x$$

$$x - 0 \xrightarrow{2} x$$

$$s(x + p(y)) \xrightarrow{7} x + y$$

$$x + p(y) \xrightarrow{9} p(x + y)$$

TRS $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$

$$x + s(y) \xrightarrow{3} s(x + y)$$

$$x - s(y) \xrightarrow{4} p(x - y)$$



$$p(x - p(y)) \xrightarrow{8} x - y$$

$$x - p(y) \xrightarrow{10} s(x - y)$$

$$p(s(x)) \xrightarrow{5} x$$

$$s(p(x)) \xrightarrow{6} x$$

rewrite rules 7 and 8 are redundant:



Example

TRS $\mathcal{R} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

$$x + 0 \xrightarrow{1} x$$

$$x - 0 \xrightarrow{2} x$$

$$x + p(y) \xrightarrow{9} p(x + y)$$

TRS $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$

$$x + s(y) \xrightarrow{3} s(x + y)$$

$$x - s(y) \xrightarrow{4} p(x - y)$$

$$x - p(y) \xrightarrow{10} s(x - y)$$

$$p(s(x)) \xrightarrow{5} x$$

$$s(p(x)) \xrightarrow{6} x$$

rewrite rules 7 and 8 are redundant:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s(x + p(y)) & & x + y \\ & \searrow 9 \quad \nearrow 6 & \\ & s(p(x + y)) & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p(x - p(y)) & & x - y \\ & \searrow 10 \quad \nearrow 5 & \\ & p(s(x - y)) & \end{array}$$

Observation

- ▶ fewer rewrite rules \implies fewer critical pairs
- ▶ TRS without redundancy = reduced TRS

Observation

- ▶ fewer rewrite rules \implies fewer critical pairs
- ▶ TRS without redundancy = **reduced** TRS

Definition (Canonicity)

- ▶ TRS \mathcal{R} is **reduced** if for all $l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}$
 - ① r is normal form of \mathcal{R}

Observation

- ▶ fewer rewrite rules \implies fewer critical pairs
- ▶ TRS without redundancy = **reduced** TRS

Definition (Canonicity)

- ▶ TRS \mathcal{R} is **reduced** if for all $l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}$
 - ① r is normal form of \mathcal{R}
 - ② l is normal form of $\mathcal{R} \setminus \{l \rightarrow r\}$

Observation

- ▶ fewer rewrite rules \implies fewer critical pairs
- ▶ TRS without redundancy = reduced TRS

Definition (Canonicity)

- ▶ TRS \mathcal{R} is reduced if for all $l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}$
 - ① r is normal form of \mathcal{R}
 - ② l is normal form of $\mathcal{R} \setminus \{l \rightarrow r\}$
- ▶ reduced complete TRS is **canonical**

Example

TRS $\mathcal{R} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

$$x + 0 \xrightarrow{1} x$$

$$x - 0 \xrightarrow{2} x$$

$$s(x + p(y)) \xrightarrow{7} x + y$$

$$x + p(y) \xrightarrow{9} p(x + y)$$

TRS $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$

$$x + s(y) \xrightarrow{3} s(x + y)$$

$$x - s(y) \xrightarrow{4} p(x - y)$$

$$p(x - p(y)) \xrightarrow{8} x - y$$

$$x - p(y) \xrightarrow{10} s(x - y)$$

$$p(s(x)) \xrightarrow{5} x$$

$$s(p(x)) \xrightarrow{6} x$$

► \mathcal{R} is reduced

Example

TRS $\mathcal{R} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

$$x + 0 \xrightarrow{1} x$$

$$x - 0 \xrightarrow{2} x$$

$$s(x + p(y)) \xrightarrow{7} x + y$$

$$x + p(y) \xrightarrow{9} p(x + y)$$

TRS $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$

$$x + s(y) \xrightarrow{3} s(x + y)$$

$$x - s(y) \xrightarrow{4} p(x - y)$$

$$p(x - p(y)) \xrightarrow{8} x - y$$

$$x - p(y) \xrightarrow{10} s(x - y)$$

$$p(s(x)) \xrightarrow{5} x$$

$$s(p(x)) \xrightarrow{6} x$$

- ▶ \mathcal{R} is reduced
- ▶ \mathcal{S} is **not** reduced

Definitions (Equivalence)

- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are **conversion equivalent** if $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^*$

Definitions (Equivalence)

- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are conversion equivalent if $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^*$
- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are **normalization equivalent** if $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^! = \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^!$

Definitions (Equivalence)

- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are conversion equivalent if $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^*$
- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are normalization equivalent if $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^! = \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^!$

Example

ARSS

$$\mathcal{A}_1: \quad a \longrightarrow b$$

$$\mathcal{B}_1: \quad a \longleftarrow b$$

Definitions (Equivalence)

- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are conversion equivalent if $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^*$
- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are normalization equivalent if $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^! = \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^!$

Example

ARSSs

$$\mathcal{A}_1: \quad a \longrightarrow b$$

$$\mathcal{B}_1: \quad a \longleftarrow b$$

- ▶ \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{B}_1 are conversion equivalent

Definitions (Equivalence)

- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are conversion equivalent if $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^*$
- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are normalization equivalent if $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^! = \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^!$

Example

ARSS

$$\mathcal{A}_1: \quad a \longrightarrow b$$

$$\mathcal{B}_1: \quad a \longleftarrow b$$

- ▶ \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{B}_1 are conversion equivalent but not normalization equivalent

Definitions (Equivalence)

- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are conversion equivalent if $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^*$
- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are normalization equivalent if $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^! = \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^!$

Example

ARSSs

$$\mathcal{A}_1: \quad a \longrightarrow b$$

$$\mathcal{B}_1: \quad a \longleftarrow b$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2: \quad a \longrightarrow b \quad \text{↻}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2: \quad \text{↻} a \quad b \quad \text{↻}$$

- ▶ \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{B}_1 are conversion equivalent but not normalization equivalent
- ▶ \mathcal{A}_2 and \mathcal{B}_2 are normalization equivalent

Definitions (Equivalence)

- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are conversion equivalent if $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^*$
- ▶ TRSs \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are normalization equivalent if $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^! = \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}}^!$

Example

ARSSs

$$\mathcal{A}_1: \quad a \longrightarrow b$$

$$\mathcal{B}_1: \quad a \longleftarrow b$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2: \quad a \longrightarrow b \quad \text{↻}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2: \quad \text{↻} a \quad b \quad \text{↻}$$

- ▶ \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{B}_1 are conversion equivalent but not normalization equivalent
- ▶ \mathcal{A}_2 and \mathcal{B}_2 are normalization equivalent but not conversion equivalent

Lemma

normalization equivalent **terminating** TRSs are conversion equivalent

Lemma

normalization equivalent terminating TRSs are conversion equivalent

Theorem

normalization equivalent **reduced** TRSs are **unique** up to literal similarity

Lemma

normalization equivalent terminating TRSs are conversion equivalent

Theorem

normalization equivalent reduced TRSs are unique up to literal similarity

Theorem

conversion equivalent **canonical** TRSs that are **compatible with same reduction order** are unique up to literal similarity

simplification **after** completion

simplification **after** completion

Notation

$t \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ denotes unique normal form t for complete TRS \mathcal{R}

simplification **after** completion

Notation

$t \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ denotes unique normal form t for complete TRS \mathcal{R}

Definition

▶ $\dot{\mathcal{R}} = \{l \rightarrow r \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mid l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}\}$

Notation

$t \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ denotes unique normal form t for complete TRS \mathcal{R}

Definition

- ▶ $\dot{\mathcal{R}} = \{l \rightarrow r \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mid l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}\}$
- ▶ $\ddot{\mathcal{R}} = \{l \rightarrow r \in \dot{\mathcal{R}} \mid l \in \text{NF}(\dot{\mathcal{R}} \setminus \{l \rightarrow r\})\}$

Notation

$t \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ denotes unique normal form t for complete TRS \mathcal{R}

Definition

- ▶ $\dot{\mathcal{R}} = \{l \rightarrow r \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mid l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}\}$
- ▶ $\ddot{\mathcal{R}} = \{l \rightarrow r \in \dot{\mathcal{R}} \mid l \in \text{NF}(\dot{\mathcal{R}} \setminus \{l \rightarrow r\})\}$

Theorem

if \mathcal{R} is complete TRS then $\ddot{\mathcal{R}}$ is (normalization) equivalent canonical TRS

simplification after completion

Notation

$t \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ denotes unique normal form t for complete TRS \mathcal{R}

Definition

- ▶ $\dot{\mathcal{R}} = \{l \rightarrow r \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \mid l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}\}$
- ▶ $\ddot{\mathcal{R}} = \{l \rightarrow r \in \dot{\mathcal{R}} \mid l \in \text{NF}(\dot{\mathcal{R}} \setminus \{l \rightarrow r\})\}$

Theorem

if \mathcal{R} is complete TRS then $\ddot{\mathcal{R}}$ is (normalization) equivalent canonical TRS

more efficient: simplification **during** completion

Outline

1. Summary of Lecture 7
2. Knuth–Bendix Order
3. Normalization Equivalence
- 4. Abstract Completion**
5. Cola Gene Puzzle
6. Exercises
7. Further Reading

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete

$$\underline{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}$$

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}$$

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}$$

deduce
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } s \mathcal{R} \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$$

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}$$

deduce
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } s \mathcal{R} \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$$

compose
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{s \rightarrow t\}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}$$

deduce
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } s \mathcal{R} \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$$

compose
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{s \rightarrow t\}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow u\}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}$$

deduce
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } s \mathcal{R} \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$$

compose
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{s \rightarrow t\}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow u\}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

collapse
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{t \rightarrow s\}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow u\}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}$$

deduce
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } s \mathcal{R} \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$$

compose
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{s \rightarrow t\}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow u\}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

collapse
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{t \rightarrow s\}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{u \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}$$

deduce
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } s \mathcal{R} \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$$

compose
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{s \rightarrow t\}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow u\}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

collapse
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{t \rightarrow s\}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{u \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

orient
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{t \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } s > t$$

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}$$

deduce
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } s \mathcal{R} \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$$

compose
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{s \rightarrow t\}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow u\}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

collapse
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{t \rightarrow s\}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{u \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

orient
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow t\}} \quad \text{if } s > t$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{t \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow t\}}$$

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}$$

deduce
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } s \mathcal{R} \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$$

compose
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{s \rightarrow t\}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow u\}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

collapse
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{t \rightarrow s\}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{u \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

orient
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow t\}} \quad \text{if } s > t$$

simplify
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{t \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow t\}}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{t \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{t \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}$$

Definition (Abstract Completion)

set of equations \mathcal{E} set of rewrite rules \mathcal{R} reduction order $>$

inference system **KB** consists of eight rules

delete
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}$$

deduce
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } s \mathcal{R} \leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$$

compose
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{s \rightarrow t\}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow u\}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

collapse
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \uplus \{t \rightarrow s\}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{u \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

orient
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow t\}} \quad \text{if } s > t$$

simplify
$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{s \approx t\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{s \approx u\}, \mathcal{R}} \quad \text{if } t \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} u$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{t \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R} \cup \{s \rightarrow t\}}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \uplus \{t \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{E} \cup \{u \approx s\}, \mathcal{R}}$$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \approx g(x)$$

$$g(a) \approx b$$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \approx g(x)$$

$$g(a) \approx b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \approx g(x)$$

$$g(a) \approx b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **orient** $f(f(x)) >_{\text{lpo}} g(x)$

Example

$$g(a) \approx b$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **orient** $f(f(x)) >_{\text{lpo}} g(x)$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \approx b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ orient $g(a) >_{lpo} b$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ orient $g(a) >_{lpo} b$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **deduce** $f(g(x)) \leftarrow f(f(f(x))) \rightarrow g(f(x))$

critical pair

Example

$$f(g(x)) \approx g(f(x))$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$

▶ deduce $f(g(x)) \leftarrow f(f(f(x))) \rightarrow g(f(x))$ critical pair

Example

$$f(g(x)) \approx g(f(x))$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ orient $f(g(x)) >_{lpo} g(f(x))$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$

▶ orient $f(g(x)) >_{lpo} g(f(x))$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **deduce** $f(g(f(x))) \leftarrow f(f(g(x))) \rightarrow g(g(x))$ critical pair

Example

$$f(g(f(x))) \approx g(g(x))$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$

▶ deduce $f(b) \leftarrow f(g(a)) \rightarrow g(f(a))$

critical pair

Example

$$f(g(f(x))) \approx g(g(x))$$

$$f(b) \approx g(f(a))$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$

Example

$$f(g(f(x))) \approx g(g(x))$$

$$f(b) \approx g(f(a))$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **simplify** $f(g(f(x))) \rightarrow g(f(f(x)))$

Example

$$g(f(f(x))) \approx g(g(x))$$

$$f(b) \approx g(f(a))$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **simplify** $g(f(f(x))) \rightarrow g(g(x))$

Example

$$g(g(x)) \approx g(g(x))$$

$$f(b) \approx g(f(a))$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$

▶ **delete** $g(g(x)) = g(g(x))$

Example

$$f(b) \approx g(f(a))$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ orient $f(b) >_{\text{lpo}} g(f(a))$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

$$f(b) \rightarrow g(f(a))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **orient** $f(b) >_{\text{lpo}} g(f(a))$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

$$f(b) \rightarrow g(f(a))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ deduce $f(g(f(a))) \leftarrow f(f(b)) \rightarrow g(b)$

critical pair

Example

$$f(g(f(a))) \approx g(b)$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

$$f(b) \rightarrow g(f(a))$$

▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$

▶ deduce $f(g(f(a))) \leftarrow f(f(b)) \rightarrow g(b)$

critical pair

Example

$$f(g(f(a))) \approx g(b)$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

$$f(b) \rightarrow g(f(a))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **simplify** $f(g(f(a))) \rightarrow g(f(f(a)))$

Example

$$g(f(f(a))) \approx g(b)$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

$$f(b) \rightarrow g(f(a))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **simplify** $g(f(f(a))) \rightarrow g(g(a))$

Example

$$g(g(a)) \approx g(b)$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

$$f(b) \rightarrow g(f(a))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **simplify** $g(g(a)) \rightarrow g(b)$

Example

$$g(b) \approx g(b)$$

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

$$f(b) \rightarrow g(f(a))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **delete** $g(b) = g(b)$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x)$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

$$f(g(x)) \rightarrow g(f(x))$$

$$f(b) \rightarrow g(f(a))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$
- ▶ **canonical** TRS

Example

$$f(f(x)) \approx g(x)$$

$$g(a) \approx b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $f > g > b > a$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \approx g(x)$$

$$g(a) \approx b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \approx g(x)$$

$$g(a) \approx b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$
- ▶ **orient** $g(x) >_{\text{lpo}} f(f(x))$

Example

$$g(a) \approx b$$

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$
- ▶ **orient** $g(x) >_{\text{lpo}} f(f(x))$

Example

$$g(a) \approx b$$

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$
- ▶ **orient** $b >_{lpo} g(a)$

Example

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

$$b \rightarrow g(a)$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$
- ▶ **orient** $b >_{\text{lpo}} g(a)$

Example

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

$$b \rightarrow g(a)$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$
- ▶ **complete** TRS

Example

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

$$b \rightarrow g(a)$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$
- ▶ complete TRS but not **reduced**

Example

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

$$b \rightarrow g(a)$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$
- ▶ **compose** $g(a) \rightarrow f(f(a))$

Example

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

$$b \rightarrow f(f(a))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$
- ▶ **compose** $g(a) \rightarrow f(f(a))$

Example

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

$$b \rightarrow f(f(a))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$
- ▶ **canonical** TRS

Example

$$f(f(x)) \approx g(x)$$

$$g(a) \approx b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $b > g > f > a$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \approx g(x)$$

$$g(a) \approx b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $g > f > b > a$

Example

$$f(f(x)) \approx g(x)$$

$$g(a) \approx b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $g > f > b > a$
- ▶ **orient** $g(x) >_{\text{lpo}} f(f(x))$

Example

$$g(a) \approx b$$

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $g > f > b > a$
- ▶ **orient** $g(x) >_{\text{lpo}} f(f(x))$

Example

$$g(a) \approx b$$

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $g > f > b > a$
- ▶ orient $g(a) >_{lpo} b$

Example

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $g > f > b > a$
- ▶ orient $g(a) >_{lpo} b$

Example

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

$$g(a) \rightarrow b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $g > f > b > a$
- ▶ collapse $g(a) \rightarrow f(f(a))$

Example

$$f(f(a)) \approx b$$

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $g > f > b > a$
- ▶ collapse $g(a) \rightarrow f(f(a))$

Example

$$f(f(a)) \approx b$$

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $g > f > b > a$
- ▶ orient $f(f(a)) >_{lpo} b$

Example

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

$$f(f(a)) \rightarrow b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $g > f > b > a$
- ▶ orient $f(f(a)) >_{lpo} b$

Example

$$g(x) \rightarrow f(f(x))$$

$$f(f(a)) \rightarrow b$$

- ▶ LPO with precedence $g > f > b > a$
- ▶ **canonical** TRS

Lemma

if $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \vdash_{\text{KB}}^* (\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{R}')$ then

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}' \cup \mathcal{R}'}$$

Lemma

if $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \vdash_{\text{KB}}^* (\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{R}')$ then

① $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}' \cup \mathcal{R}'}$

② $\mathcal{R} \subseteq > \implies \mathcal{R}' \subseteq >$

Lemma

if $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \vdash_{\text{KB}}^* (\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{R}')$ then

① $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}' \cup \mathcal{R}'}$

② $\mathcal{R} \subseteq > \implies \mathcal{R}' \subseteq >$

Definition (Fair Run)

run for given ES \mathcal{E} is finite sequence

$$\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{R}_0 \vdash_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{R}_1 \vdash_{\text{KB}} \cdots \vdash_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{R}_n$$

such that $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 = \emptyset$

Lemma

if $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \vdash_{\text{KB}}^* (\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{R}')$ then

① $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}' \cup \mathcal{R}'}$

② $\mathcal{R} \subseteq > \implies \mathcal{R}' \subseteq >$

Definition (Fair Run)

run for given ES \mathcal{E} is finite sequence

$$\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{R}_0 \vdash_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{R}_1 \vdash_{\text{KB}} \cdots \vdash_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{R}_n$$

such that $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 = \emptyset$

► run **fails** if $\mathcal{E}_n \neq \emptyset$

Lemma

if $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \vdash_{\text{KB}}^* (\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{R}')$ then

① $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{R}}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}' \cup \mathcal{R}'}$

② $\mathcal{R} \subseteq > \implies \mathcal{R}' \subseteq >$

Definition (Fair Run)

run for given ES \mathcal{E} is finite sequence

$$\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{R}_0 \vdash_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{R}_1 \vdash_{\text{KB}} \cdots \vdash_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{R}_n$$

such that $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 = \emptyset$

▶ run fails if $\mathcal{E}_n \neq \emptyset$

▶ run is **fair** if $\text{PCP}(\mathcal{R}_n) \subseteq \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}_n} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^n \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}_i}$

Theorem

for every fair non-failing run

$$\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{R}_0 \vdash_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{R}_1 \vdash_{\text{KB}} \cdots \vdash_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{R}_n$$

\mathcal{R}_n is complete presentation of \mathcal{E}_0

Theorem

for every fair non-failing run

$$\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{R}_0 \vdash_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{R}_1 \vdash_{\text{KB}} \cdots \vdash_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{R}_n$$

\mathcal{R}_n is complete presentation of \mathcal{E}_0

Completion Tools

Waldmeister

mkbTT

KBCV

Maxcomp

Example

► ES \mathcal{E}

$$f(x) \approx f(a)$$

$$f(b) \approx b$$

Example

- ▶ ES \mathcal{E}

$$f(x) \approx f(a)$$

$$f(b) \approx b$$

- ▶ consider reduction order $>$

- ▶ if $f(b) \not\approx b$ then (\mathcal{E}, \emptyset) is normal form of \vdash_{KB}

Example

- ▶ ES \mathcal{E}

$$f(x) \approx f(a)$$

$$f(b) \approx b$$

- ▶ consider reduction order $>$

- ▶ if $f(b) \not> b$ then (\mathcal{E}, \emptyset) is normal form of \vdash_{KB}
- ▶ if $f(b) > b$ then

$$\mathcal{E}, \emptyset \vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a)\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

Example

▶ ES \mathcal{E}

$$f(x) \approx f(a)$$

$$f(b) \approx b$$

▶ consider reduction order $>$

▶ if $f(b) \not> b$ then (\mathcal{E}, \emptyset) is normal form of \vdash_{KB}

▶ if $f(b) > b$ then

$$\mathcal{E}, \emptyset \vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a)\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

$$\vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a), b \approx b\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

Example

▶ ES \mathcal{E}

$$f(x) \approx f(a)$$

$$f(b) \approx b$$

▶ consider reduction order $>$

▶ if $f(b) \not> b$ then (\mathcal{E}, \emptyset) is normal form of \vdash_{KB}

▶ if $f(b) > b$ then

$$\mathcal{E}, \emptyset \vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a)\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

$$\vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a), b \approx b\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

$$\vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a)\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

Example

▶ ES \mathcal{E}

$$f(x) \approx f(a)$$

$$f(b) \approx b$$

▶ consider reduction order $>$

▶ if $f(b) \not> b$ then (\mathcal{E}, \emptyset) is normal form of \vdash_{KB}

▶ if $f(b) > b$ then

$$\mathcal{E}, \emptyset \vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a)\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

$$\vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a), b \approx b\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

$$\vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a)\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

$$\vdash_{\text{KB}} \dots$$

so completion fails

Example

▶ ES \mathcal{E}

$$f(x) \approx f(a)$$

$$f(b) \approx b$$

▶ consider reduction order $>$

▶ if $f(b) \not> b$ then (\mathcal{E}, \emptyset) is normal form of \vdash_{KB}

▶ if $f(b) > b$ then

$$\mathcal{E}, \emptyset \vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a)\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

$$\vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a), b \approx b\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

$$\vdash_{\text{KB}} \{f(x) \approx f(a)\}, \{f(b) \rightarrow b\}$$

$$\vdash_{\text{KB}} \dots$$

so completion fails

▶ TRS \mathcal{R} consisting of single rule $f(x) \rightarrow b$ is complete presentation of \mathcal{E}

Outline

1. Summary of Lecture 7
2. Knuth–Bendix Order
3. Normalization Equivalence
4. Abstract Completion
- 5. Cola Gene Puzzle**
6. Exercises
7. Further Reading

A team of genetic engineers decides to create cows that produce cola instead of milk. To that end they have to transform the DNA of the milk gene

TAGCTAGCTAGCT

in every fertilized egg into the cola gene

CTGACTGACT



Techniques exist to perform the following DNA substitutions

TCAT ↔ T

GAG ↔ AG

CTC ↔ TC

AGTA ↔ A

TAT ↔ CT

Recently it has been discovered that the mad cow disease is caused by a retrovirus with the following DNA sequence **CTGCTACTGACT**. What now, if accidentally cows with this virus are created? According to the engineers there is little risk because this never happened in their experiments, but various action groups demand absolute assurance.

Example (Cola Gene Puzzle)

ε TCAT \approx T GAG \approx AG CTC \approx TC AGTA \approx A TAT \approx CT

► (milk gene) TAGCTAGCTAGCT CTGACTGACT (cola gene)

► (milk gene) TAGCTAGCTAGCT CTGCTACTGACT (mad cow retrovirus)

Example (Cola Gene Puzzle)

$$\mathcal{E} \quad \text{TCAT} \approx \text{T} \quad \text{GAG} \approx \text{AG} \quad \text{CTC} \approx \text{TC} \quad \text{AGTA} \approx \text{A} \quad \text{TAT} \approx \text{CT}$$

$$\mathcal{R} \quad \text{GA} \rightarrow \text{A} \quad \text{AGT} \rightarrow \text{AT} \quad \text{ATA} \rightarrow \text{A} \quad \text{CT} \rightarrow \text{T} \quad \text{TAT} \rightarrow \text{T} \quad \text{TCA} \rightarrow \text{TA}$$

- ▶ \mathcal{R} is canonical presentation of \mathcal{E}
- ▶ (milk gene) TAGCTAGCTAGCT CTGACTGACT (cola gene)
- ▶ (milk gene) TAGCTAGCTAGCT CTGCTACTGACT (mad cow retrovirus)

Example (Cola Gene Puzzle)

\mathcal{E} TCAT \approx T GAG \approx AG CTC \approx TC AGTA \approx A TAT \approx CT

\mathcal{R} GA \rightarrow A AGT \rightarrow AT ATA \rightarrow A CT \rightarrow T TAT \rightarrow T TCA \rightarrow TA

► \mathcal{R} is canonical presentation of \mathcal{E}

► (milk gene) TAGCTAGCTAGCT $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}}^*$ CTGACTGACT (cola gene)

TAGCTAGCTAGCT $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} T \xleftarrow{\mathcal{R}} CTGACTGACT$

► (milk gene) TAGCTAGCTAGCT CTGCTACTGACT (mad cow retrovirus)

Example (Cola Gene Puzzle)

$$\mathcal{E} \quad \text{TCAT} \approx \text{T} \quad \text{GAG} \approx \text{AG} \quad \text{CTC} \approx \text{TC} \quad \text{AGTA} \approx \text{A} \quad \text{TAT} \approx \text{CT}$$

$$\mathcal{R} \quad \text{GA} \rightarrow \text{A} \quad \text{AGT} \rightarrow \text{AT} \quad \text{ATA} \rightarrow \text{A} \quad \text{CT} \rightarrow \text{T} \quad \text{TAT} \rightarrow \text{T} \quad \text{TCA} \rightarrow \text{TA}$$

► \mathcal{R} is canonical presentation of \mathcal{E}

► (milk gene) $\text{TAGCTAGCTAGCT} \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}}^* \text{CTGACTGACT}$ (cola gene)

$$\text{TAGCTAGCTAGCT} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} \text{T} \xleftarrow{\mathcal{R}} \text{CTGACTGACT}$$

► (milk gene) $\text{TAGCTAGCTAGCT} \not\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}}^* \text{CTGCTACTGACT}$ (mad cow retrovirus)

$$\text{TAGCTAGCTAGCT} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} \text{T} \neq \text{TGT} \xleftarrow{\mathcal{R}} \text{CTGCTACTGACT}$$

Outline

1. Summary of Lecture 7
2. Knuth–Bendix Order
3. Normalization Equivalence
4. Abstract Completion
5. Cola Gene Puzzle
- 6. Exercises**
7. Further Reading

Homework Exercises for May 11

① Exercise 4.34.

1

② Exercise 4.37.

1

③ Exercise 5.22.

3

④ Exercise 5.31.

2

⑤ Exercise 4.41.

☆☆☆

Outline

1. Summary of Lecture 7
2. Knuth–Bendix Order
3. Normalization Equivalence
4. Abstract Completion
5. Cola Gene Puzzle
6. Exercises
- 7. Further Reading**

Lecture Notes

- ▶ Section 1.2 (from Definition 1.2.18)
- ▶ Section 4.4
- ▶ Section 5.3
- ▶ Section 5.4

Lecture Notes

- ▶ Section 1.2 (from Definition 1.2.18)
- ▶ Section 4.4
- ▶ Section 5.3
- ▶ Section 5.4

Additional Literature

- ▶ H. Zankl, N. Hirokawa and A. Middeldorp, **KBO Orientability**, Journal of Automated Reasoning 43(2), pp. 173–201, 2009

Important Concepts

- ▶ abstract completion
- ▶ admissibility
- ▶ canonical
- ▶ conversion equivalence
- ▶ failing run
- ▶ fair run
- ▶ Knuth–Bendix order
- ▶ normalization equivalence
- ▶ reduced
- ▶ run
- ▶ weight function